

From: Wes Albert [<mailto:wesalbert@bigpond.com>]
Sent: Monday, 26 June 2017 11:30 AM
To: Sub APESB <sub@apesb.org.au>
Subject: Submission on APES230 Financial Planning Services

The Chairman
Accounting Professional & Ethical Standards Board Limited
Level 11, 99 William Street
Melbourne VIC 3000
Email: sub@apesb.org.au

Dear Ms Roxon,

Submission on APES230 Financial Planning Services

I am writing to you concerning the APESB's current review of ethical standard APES230.

I was a licensed financial planner who, prior to my retirement in 2001, delivered genuine fee for service based advice where the fee arrangement was agreed to between the client and myself prior to any "work" being done and was NOT determined, nor influenced, by the "product manufacturer" (i.e.: no commissions, no third-party payments, no volume bonuses, no other product sales incentives).

That is, my commercial interests were purely aligned to client outcomes and not to a third party.

I encourage the APESB to amend APES230 to mandate a genuine fee for service approach for accountants who offer financial planning services. The removal of any perceived or real conflict regarding how advisers are remunerated for their advice is the only approach which is consistent with a true profession acting in the public interest. It is also the only approach fundamental for the impartiality and integrity required between financial advisers and the public if we are to serve as advice professionals.

No doubt, some will suggest that a genuine fee for service approach is impractical and unprofitable. This is just not correct. The approach my firm is took is proof of that, as is the growing number of financial planning practices throughout Australia which adopt a similar approach when delivering their professional advice.

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on this important topic.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Warm regards

Wes Albert