



COMPILED APES 110 CODE OF ETHICS FOR PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTANTS

(February 2008)

Except for paragraphs 290.14 to 290.26 this compiled Standard is effective from 15 February 2008. Paragraphs 290.14 to 290.26 are effective for Assurance Engagements for periods commencing on or after 1 July 2008 (refer Compilation Details). The Standard incorporates relevant amendments issued up to and including 15 February 2008.

The APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants is based on *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* (as published in the *Handbook of International Auditing, Assurance, and Ethics pronouncements*) of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, published by the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) and is used with permission of IFAC.

CONTENTS

COMPILATION DETAILS	3
PART A: GENERAL APPLICATION OF THE CODE	4
Section 100 Introduction And Fundamental Principles	5
Section 110 Integrity	9
Section 120 Objectivity	10
Section 130 Professional Competence and Due Care	11
Section 140 Confidentiality	12
Section 150 Professional Behaviour	14
PART B: MEMBERS IN PUBLIC PRACTICE	15
Section 200 Introduction	15
Section 210 Professional Appointment	19
Section 220 Conflicts of Interest	22
Section 230 Second Opinions	24
Section 240 Fees and Other Types of Remuneration	25
Section 250 Marketing Professional Services	27
Section 260 Gifts and Hospitality	28
Section 270 Custody of Client Assets	29
Section 280 Objectivity – All Services	30
Section 290 Independence – Assurance Engagements	31
Section 290 Appendix - Auditor's Independence declaration (AUST)	80
PART C: MEMBERS IN BUSINESS	81
Section 300 Introduction	81
Section 310 Potential Conflicts	84
Section 320 Preparation and Reporting of Information	85
Section 330 Acting with Sufficient Expertise	86
Section 340 Financial Interests	87
Section 350 Inducements	88
DEFINITIONS	90
OPERATIVE DATE	96
CONFORMITY WITH INTERNATIONAL PRONOUNCEMENTS	96

COMPILATION DETAILS

APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants as amended

Except for paragraphs 290.14 to 290.26 this compiled Standard is effective from 15 February 2008. Paragraphs 290.14 to 290.26 are effective for Assurance Engagements for periods commencing on or after 1 July 2008. The compiled Standard takes into account amendments up to and including 15 February 2008 and was prepared by the Technical Staff of the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board (APESB).

This compilation is not a separate Standard made by the APESB. Instead, it is a representation of APES 110 (June 2006) as amended or added to by subsequent APESB Standards, which are listed in the Tables below.

Table of Standards

Standard	Month issued	Operative date
APES 110	June 2006	1 July 2006
Amendment to Network Firms in Section 290	December 2007	Assurance Engagements for periods commencing on or after 1 July 2008
Amendments to Auditor Independence Requirements in Section 290	February 2008	15 February 2008

Table of Amendments

Paragraphs affected	How affected	Amending Standard
290.14–AUST290.34.1	renumbered as 290.27–Aust290.47.1	Network Firms
290.117–290.120	amended	Auditor Independence
290.144–AUST290.145.1	amended	Auditor Independence
Definition of Firm	amended	Network Firms
Definition of Network Firm	amended	Network Firms

Table of Additions

Paragraphs added	Amending Standard
290.14–290.26	Network Firms
Definition of Network	Network Firms
Conformity with International Pronouncements	Network Firms (Also refer Technical Staff comments)

APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

The Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board (APESB) issued APES 110 *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* on 30 June 2006.

This compiled version of APES 110 incorporates amendments contained in subsequent APESB Standards issued by the APESB up to and including 15 February 2008 (see Compilation Details).

Part A: GENERAL APPLICATION OF THE CODE

PREFACE (AUST)

Compliance with this Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (this Code) is mandatory for all Members. Non-compliance can lead to disciplinary proceedings by the professional body to which the Member belongs.

Some jurisdictions may have requirements and guidance that differ from this Code. Members should be aware of those differences and comply with the more stringent requirements and guidance unless prohibited by law or regulation.

Members should be guided, not merely by the terms, but also by the spirit of this Code. Members should be prepared to justify to their professional body, if called upon, any apparent departure from any of the provisions and spirit of this Code.

Members who conduct audits of companies, registered schemes and disclosing entities in accordance with Chapter 2M or financial services licencees in accordance with Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act 2001 are reminded that, in relation to such audits, this Code will have the force of law. This is because auditing standards issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) are legislative instruments under the Legislative Instruments Act 2003, and as such have the force of law in respect of Corporations Act audits. These auditing standards make reference to compliance with "relevant ethical requirements" relating to audit engagements. This *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* is a relevant ethical requirement for these purposes.

Section 100 Introduction and Fundamental Principles

- 100.1 A distinguishing mark of the accountancy profession is its acceptance of the responsibility to act in the public interest.
- Therefore, a Member's responsibility is not exclusively to satisfy the needs of an individual Client or employer. In acting in the public interest a Member should observe and comply with the ethical requirements of this Code.
- AUST100.1.1 The public interest is defined as the collective well-being of the community of people and institutions that the Members serve. The accountancy profession's public consists of Clients, credit providers, governments, employers, employees, investors, the business and financial community, and others who rely on the objectivity and integrity of Members to assist in maintaining the orderly functioning of commerce.
- 100.2 This Code is in three parts. Part A establishes the fundamental principles of professional ethics for Members and provides a conceptual framework for applying those principles. The conceptual framework provides guidance on fundamental ethical principles. Members are required to apply this conceptual framework to identify threats to compliance with the fundamental principles, to evaluate their significance and, if such threats are other than Clearly Insignificant to apply safeguards to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level such that compliance with the fundamental principles is not compromised.
- 100.3 Parts B and C illustrate how the conceptual framework is to be applied in specific situations. They provide examples of safeguards that may be appropriate to address threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and also provide examples of situations where safeguards are not available to address the threats and consequently the activity or relationship creating the threats should be avoided. Part B applies to Members in Public Practice. Part C applies to Members in Business. Members in Public Practice may also find the guidance in Part C relevant to their particular circumstances.
- AUST100.3.1 Members are encouraged, when in doubt as to the propriety of any course of action and if the doubt cannot be resolved by reference to the Code, to seek the guidance of their professional body. The professional bodies should use their best endeavours to provide a basis on which the Member's concerns can be discussed, objectively and in confidence.
- AUST100.3.2 The fact that a Member is performing an assignment in an honorary capacity should in no way compromise the standards required by this Code.
- AUST100.3.3 The provisions of this Code applicable in the case of a company apply in the case of other enterprises. For this purpose, "other enterprise" means any business organisation, incorporated or otherwise, other than a company and includes a partnership or trust.

Fundamental Principles

- 100.4 A Member is required to comply with the following fundamental principles:
- (a) Integrity
 - (b) Objectivity
 - (c) Professional competence and due care
 - (d) Confidentiality
 - (e) Professional behaviour

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Each of these fundamental principles is discussed in more detail in Sections 110 –150.

Conceptual Framework Approach

- 100.5 The circumstances in which Members operate may give rise to specific threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. It is impossible to define every situation that creates such threats and specify the appropriate mitigating action. In addition, the nature of engagements and work assignments may differ and consequently different threats may exist, requiring the application of different safeguards. A conceptual framework that requires a Member to identify, evaluate and address threats to compliance with the fundamental principles, rather than merely comply with a set of specific rules which may be arbitrary, is, therefore, in the public interest. This Code provides a framework to assist a Member to identify, evaluate and respond to threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. If identified threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, a Member should, where appropriate, apply safeguards to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level, such that compliance with the fundamental principles is not compromised.
- 100.6 A Member has an obligation to evaluate any threats to compliance with the fundamental principles when the Member knows, or could reasonably be expected to know, of circumstances or relationships that may compromise compliance with the fundamental principles.
- 100.7 A Member should take qualitative as well as quantitative factors into account when considering the significance of a threat. If a Member cannot implement appropriate safeguards, the Member should decline or discontinue the specific professional service involved, or where necessary resign from the Client (in the case of a Member in Public Practice) or the employing organisation (in the case of a Member in Business).
- 100.8 A Member may inadvertently violate a provision of this Code. Such an inadvertent violation, depending on the nature and significance of the matter, may not compromise compliance with the fundamental principles provided, once the violation is discovered, the violation is corrected promptly and any necessary safeguards are applied.
- 100.9 Parts B and C of the Code include examples that are intended to illustrate how the conceptual framework is to be applied. The examples are not intended to be, nor should they be interpreted as, an exhaustive list of all circumstances experienced by a Member that may create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. Consequently, it is not sufficient for a Member merely to comply with the examples presented; rather, the framework should be applied to the particular circumstances encountered by the Member.

Threats and Safeguards

- 100.10 Compliance with the fundamental principles may potentially be threatened by a broad range of circumstances. Many threats fall into the following categories:
- (a) Self-interest threats, which may occur as a result of the financial or other interests of a Member or of an Immediate or Close Family member;
 - (b) Self-review threats, which may occur when a previous judgment needs to be reevaluated by the Member responsible for that judgment;

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- (c) Advocacy threats, which may occur when a Member promotes a position or opinion to the point that subsequent objectivity may be compromised;
- (d) Familiarity threats, which may occur when, because of a close relationship, a Member becomes too sympathetic to the interests of others; and
- (e) Intimidation threats, which may occur when a Member may be deterred from acting objectively by threats, actual or perceived.

Parts B and C of the Code, respectively, provide examples of circumstances that may create these categories of threats for Members in Public Practice and Members in Business. Members in Public Practice may also find the guidance in Part C of the Code relevant to their particular circumstances.

100.11 Safeguards that may eliminate or reduce such threats to an acceptable level fall into two broad categories:

- (a) Safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation; and
- (b) Safeguards in the work environment.

100.12 Safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation include, but are not restricted to:

- Educational, training and experience requirements for entry into the profession.
- Continuing professional development requirements.
- Corporate governance regulations.
- Professional standards.
- Professional or regulatory monitoring and disciplinary procedures.
- External review by a legally empowered third party of the reports, returns, communications or information produced by a Member.

100.13 Parts B and C of the Code discuss safeguards in the work environment for Members in Public Practice and those in Business.

100.14 Certain safeguards may increase the likelihood of identifying or deterring unethical behaviour. Such safeguards, which may be created by the accounting profession, legislation, regulation or an employing organisation, include, but are not restricted to:

- Effective, well publicised complaints systems operated by the employing organisation, the profession or a regulator, which enable colleagues, employers and members of the public to draw attention to unprofessional or unethical behaviour.
- An explicitly stated duty to report breaches of ethical requirements.

100.15 The nature of the safeguards to be applied will vary depending on the circumstances. In exercising professional judgment, a Member should consider what a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, including the significance of the threat and the safeguards applied, would conclude to be unacceptable.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Ethical Conflict Resolution

- 100.16 In evaluating compliance with the fundamental principles, a Member may be required to resolve a conflict in the application of fundamental principles.
- 100.17 When initiating either a formal or informal conflict resolution process, a Member should consider the following, either individually or together with others, as part of the resolution process:
- (a) Relevant facts;
 - (b) Ethical issues involved;
 - (c) Fundamental principles related to the matter in question, including the identification of threats to those principles;
 - (d) Established internal procedures which might represent safeguards against the identified threats; and
 - (e) Alternative courses of action.
- Having considered these issues, a Member should determine the appropriate course of action that is consistent with the fundamental principles identified. The Member should also weigh the consequences of each possible course of action. If the matter remains unresolved, the Member should consult with other appropriate persons within the Firm or employing organisation for help in obtaining resolution.
- 100.18 Where a matter involves a conflict with, or within, an organisation, a Member should also consider consulting with those charged with governance of the organisation, such as the board of directors or the audit committee.
- 100.19 It may be in the best interests of the Member to document the substance of the issue and details of any discussions held or decisions taken, concerning that issue.
- 100.20 If a significant conflict cannot be resolved, a Member may wish to obtain professional advice from the relevant professional body or legal advisors, and thereby obtain guidance on ethical issues without breaching confidentiality. For example, a Member may have encountered a fraud, the reporting of which could breach the Member's responsibility to respect confidentiality. The Member should consider obtaining legal advice to determine whether there is a requirement to report.
- 100.21 If, after exhausting all relevant possibilities, the ethical conflict remains unresolved, a Member should, where possible, refuse to remain associated with the matter creating the conflict. The Member may determine that, in the circumstances, it is appropriate to withdraw from the Engagement Team or specific assignment, or to resign altogether from the Engagement, the Firm or the employing organisation.

Section 110 Integrity

- 110.1 The principle of integrity imposes an obligation on all Members to be straightforward and honest in professional and business relationships. Integrity also implies fair dealing and truthfulness.
- 110.2 A Member should not be associated with reports, returns, communications or other information where they believe that the information:
- (a) Contains a materially false or misleading statement;
 - (b) Contains statements or information furnished recklessly; or
 - (c) Omits or obscures information required to be included where such omission or obscurity would be misleading.
- 110.3 A Member will not be considered to be in breach of paragraph 110.2 if the Member provides a modified report in respect of a matter contained in paragraph 110.2.

Section 120 Objectivity

- 120.1 The principle of objectivity imposes an obligation on all Members not to compromise their professional or business judgment because of bias, conflict of interest or the undue influence of others.
- 120.2 A Member may be exposed to situations that may impair objectivity. It is impracticable to define and prescribe all such situations. Relationships that bias or unduly influence the professional judgment of the Member should be avoided.

Section 130 Professional Competence and Due Care

- 130.1 The principle of professional competence and due care imposes the following obligations on Members:
- (a) To maintain professional knowledge and skill at the level required to ensure that Clients or employers receive competent professional service; and
 - (b) To act diligently in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards when providing their services.
- 130.2 Competent professional service requires the exercise of sound judgment in applying professional knowledge and skill in the performance of such service. Professional competence may be divided into two separate phases:
- (a) Attainment of professional competence; and
 - (b) Maintenance of professional competence.
- 130.3 The maintenance of professional competence requires a continuing awareness and an understanding of relevant technical professional and business developments. Continuing professional development develops and maintains the capabilities that enable a Member to perform competently within the professional environments.
- 130.4 Diligence encompasses the responsibility to act in accordance with the requirements of an assignment, carefully, thoroughly and on a timely basis.
- 130.5 A Member should take steps to ensure that those working under the Member's authority in a professional capacity have appropriate training and supervision.
- 130.6 Where appropriate, a Member should make Clients, employers or other users of their services aware of limitations inherent in the services to avoid the misinterpretation of an expression of opinion as an assertion of fact.

Section 140 Confidentiality

- 140.1 The principle of confidentiality imposes an obligation on Members to refrain from:
- (a) Disclosing outside the Firm or employing organisation confidential information acquired as a result of professional and business relationships without proper and specific authority from the Client or employer or unless there is a legal duty to disclose; and
 - (b) Using confidential information acquired as a result of professional and business relationships to their personal advantage or the advantage of third parties.
- 140.2 A Member should maintain confidentiality even in a social environment. The Member should be alert to the possibility of inadvertent disclosure, particularly in circumstances involving long association with a business associate or a Close or Immediate Family member.
- 140.3 A Member should also maintain confidentiality of information disclosed by a prospective Client or employer.
- 140.4 A Member should also consider the need to maintain confidentiality of information within the Firm or employing organisation.
- 140.5 A Member should take all reasonable steps to ensure that staff under the Member's control and persons from whom advice and assistance is obtained respect the Member's duty of confidentiality.
- 140.6 The need to comply with the principle of confidentiality continues even after the end of relationships between a Member and a Client or employer unless otherwise agreed. When a Member changes employment or acquires a new Client, the Member is entitled to use prior experience. The Member should not, however, use or disclose any confidential information either acquired or received as a result of a professional or business relationship.
- 140.7 The following are circumstances where Members are or may be required to disclose confidential information or when such disclosure may be appropriate:
- (a) Disclosure is authorised by the Client or the employer;
 - (b) Disclosure is required or permitted by law; and
 - (c) There is a professional duty or right to disclose, when not prohibited by law, and provided that the Client or employer consents:
 - (i) To comply with the quality review of a member body or professional body;
 - (ii) To respond to an inquiry or investigation by a member body or regulatory body;
 - (iii) To protect the professional interests of a Member in legal proceedings; or
 - (iv) To comply with technical standards and ethics requirements.
- AUST140.7.1 Members considering disclosing confidential information of a Client or employer without their consent, on the basis that such disclosure is permitted by law, are advised to first obtain legal advice, and to also consider consulting their professional body.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 140.8 Where disclosure is not required by law, but the Member is permitted to disclose confidential information, Members should consider the following points:
- (a) Whether the interests of all parties, including third parties whose interests may be affected, could be harmed by the disclosure;
 - (b) Whether all the relevant information is known and substantiated, to the extent it is practicable; when the situation involves unsubstantiated facts, incomplete information or unsubstantiated conclusions, professional judgment should be used in determining the type of disclosure to be made, if any; and
 - (c) The type of communication that is expected and to whom it is addressed; in particular, Members should be satisfied that the parties to whom the communication is addressed are appropriate recipients.

Section 150 Professional Behaviour

- 150.1 The principle of professional behaviour imposes an obligation on Members to comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action or omission that may bring discredit to the profession. This includes actions or omissions which a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, would conclude negatively affects the good reputation of the profession.
- 150.2 In marketing and promoting themselves and their work, Members should not bring the profession into disrepute. Members should be honest and truthful and should not:
- (a) Make exaggerated claims for the services they are able to offer, the qualifications they possess, or experience they have gained; or
 - (b) Make disparaging references or unsubstantiated comparisons to the work of others.

Part B: MEMBERS IN PUBLIC PRACTICE

Section 200 Introduction

- 200.1 This Part of the Code illustrates how the conceptual framework contained in Part A is to be applied by Members in Public Practice. The examples in the following sections are not intended to be, nor should they be interpreted as, an exhaustive list of all circumstances experienced by a Member in Public Practice that may create threats to compliance with the principles. Consequently, it is not sufficient for a Member in Public Practice merely to comply with the examples presented; rather, the framework should be applied to the particular circumstances faced.
- 200.2 A Member in Public Practice should not engage in any business, occupation or activity that impairs or might impair integrity, objectivity or the good reputation of the profession and as a result would be incompatible with the rendering of Professional Services.
- AUST200.2.1 The simultaneous engagement in another business, occupation or activity unrelated to the provision of Professional Services to Clients which has the effect of not allowing the accountant to properly conduct the practice in accordance with the fundamental principles of professional conduct is inconsistent and incompatible with the practice of public accountancy.
- AUST200.2.2 A business, occupation or activity is inconsistent and incompatible with the provision of Professional Services to Clients when it:
- (a) Creates or would create conflict of interest with existing Clients;
 - (b) Impairs or would impair integrity, objectivity or independence in providing Professional Services to Clients;
 - (c) Impairs or would impair to a material extent the ability to provide Professional Services to the general public;
 - (d) Impairs or would impair the good reputation of the profession.

Threats and Safeguards

- 200.3 Compliance with the fundamental principles may potentially be threatened by a broad range of circumstances. Many threats fall into the following categories:
- (a) Self-interest;
 - (b) Self-review;
 - (c) Advocacy;
 - (d) Familiarity; and
 - (e) Intimidation

These threats are discussed further in Part A of the Code.

The nature and significance of the threats may differ depending on whether they arise in relation to the provision of services to a Financial Statement Audit Client, a non-financial statement audit Assurance Client or a non-Assurance Client.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 200.4 Examples of circumstances that may create self-interest threats for a Member in Public Practice include, but are not limited to:
- A Financial Interest in a Client.
 - Jointly holding a Financial Interest with a Client.
 - Undue dependence on total fees from a Client.
 - Having a close business relationship with a Client.
 - Concern about the possibility of losing a Client.
 - Potential employment with a Client.
 - Contingent Fees relating to an Assurance Engagement.
 - A loan to or from an Assurance Client or any of its Directors or Officers.
- 200.5 Examples of circumstances that may create self-review threats include, but are not limited to:
- The discovery of a significant error during a re-evaluation of the work of the Member in Public Practice.
 - Reporting on the operation of financial systems after being involved in their design or implementation.
 - Having prepared the original data used to generate records that are the subject matter of the Engagement.
 - A member of the Assurance Team, being, or having recently been, a Director or Officer of that Client.
 - A member of the Assurance Team being, or having recently been, employed by the Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Engagement.
 - Performing a service for a Client that directly affects the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement.
- 200.6 Examples of circumstances that may create advocacy threats include, but are not limited to:
- Promoting shares in a Listed Entity when that entity is a Financial Statement Audit Client.
 - Acting as an advocate on behalf of an Assurance Client in litigation or disputes with third parties.
- 200.7 Examples of circumstances that may create familiarity threats include, but are not limited to:
- A member of the Engagement Team having a Close or Immediate Family relationship with a Director or Officer of the Client.
 - A member of the Engagement Team having a Close or Immediate Family relationship with an employee of the Client who is in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Engagement.
 - A former Partner of the Firm being a Director or Officer of the Client or an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Engagement.
 - Accepting gifts or preferential treatment from a Client, unless the value is Clearly Insignificant.
 - Long association of senior personnel with the Assurance Client.
- 200.8 Examples of circumstances that may create intimidation threats include, but are not limited to:
- Being threatened with dismissal or replacement in relation to a Client Engagement.
 - Being threatened with litigation.
 - Being pressured to reduce inappropriately the extent of work performed in order to reduce fees.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 200.9 A Member in Public Practice may also find that specific circumstances give rise to unique threats to compliance with one or more of the fundamental principles. Such unique threats obviously cannot be categorised. In either professional or business relationships, a Member in Public Practice should always be on the alert for such circumstances and threats.
- 200.10 Safeguards that may eliminate or reduce threats to an acceptable level fall into two broad categories:
- (a) Safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation; and
 - (b) Safeguards in the work environment.
- Examples of safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation are described in paragraph 100.12 of the Code.
- 200.11 In the work environment, the relevant safeguards will vary depending on the circumstances. Work environment safeguards comprise Firm-wide safeguards and engagement-specific safeguards. A Member in Public Practice should exercise judgment to determine how to best deal with an identified threat. In exercising this judgment a Member in Public Practice should consider what a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, including the significance of the threat and the safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude to be acceptable. This consideration will be affected by matters such as the significance of the threat, the nature of the Engagement and the structure of the Firm.
- 200.12 Firm-wide safeguards in the work environment may include:
- Leadership of the Firm that stresses the importance of compliance with the fundamental principles.
 - Leadership of the Firm that establishes the expectation that members of an assurance team will act in the public interest.
 - Policies and procedures to implement and monitor quality control of Engagements.
 - Documented policies regarding the identification of threats to compliance with the fundamental principles, the evaluation of the significance of these threats and the identification and the application of safeguards to eliminate or reduce the threats, other than those that are Clearly Insignificant, to an acceptable level.
 - For Firms that perform Assurance Engagements, documented Independence policies regarding the identification of threats to Independence, the evaluation of the significance of these threats and the evaluation and application of safeguards to eliminate or reduce the threats, other than those that are Clearly Insignificant, to an acceptable level.
 - Documented internal policies and procedures requiring compliance with the fundamental principles.
 - Policies and procedures that will enable the identification of interests or relationships between the Firm or members of Engagement Teams and Clients.
 - Policies and procedures to monitor and, if necessary, manage the reliance on revenue received from a single Client.
 - Using different Partners and Engagement Teams with separate reporting lines for the provision of non-assurance services to an Assurance Client.
 - Policies and procedures to prohibit individuals who are not members of an Engagement Team from inappropriately influencing the outcome of the Engagement.
 - Timely communication of a Firm's policies and procedures, including any changes to them, to all partners and professional staff, and appropriate training and education on such policies and procedures.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- Designating a member of senior management to be responsible for overseeing the adequate functioning of the Firm's quality control system.
- Advising Partners and professional staff of those Assurance Clients and Related Entities from which they must be independent.
- A disciplinary mechanism to promote compliance with policies and procedures.
- Published policies and procedures to encourage and empower staff to communicate to senior levels within the Firm any issue relating to compliance with the fundamental principles that concerns them.

200.13 Engagement-specific safeguards in the work environment may include:

- Involving an additional professional accountant to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary.
- Consulting an independent third party, such as a committee of independent Directors, a professional regulatory body or another professional accountant.
- Discussing ethical issues with those charged with governance of the Client.
- Disclosing to those charged with governance of the Client the nature of services provided and extent of fees charged.
- Involving another Firm to perform or re-perform part of the Engagement.
- Rotating senior assurance team personnel.

200.14 Depending on the nature of the Engagement, a Member in Public Practice may also be able to rely on safeguards that the Client has implemented. However it is not possible to rely solely on such safeguards to reduce threats to an acceptable level.

200.15 Safeguards within the Client's systems and procedures may include:

- When a Client appoints a Firm in public practice to perform an Engagement, persons other than management ratify or approve the appointment.
- The Client has competent employees with experience and seniority to make managerial decisions.
- The Client has implemented internal procedures that ensure objective choices in commissioning non-Assurance Engagements.
- The Client has a corporate governance structure that provides appropriate oversight and communications regarding the Firm's services.

Section 210 Professional Appointment

Client Acceptance

- 210.1 Before accepting a new Client relationship, a Member in Public Practice should consider whether acceptance would create any threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. Potential threats to integrity or professional behaviour may be created from, for example, questionable issues associated with the Client (its owners, management and activities).
- 210.2 Client issues that, if known, could threaten compliance with the fundamental principles include, for example, Client involvement in illegal activities (such as money laundering), dishonesty or questionable financial reporting practices.
- 210.3 The significance of any threats should be evaluated. If identified threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level.
- 210.4 Appropriate safeguards may include obtaining knowledge and understanding of the Client, its owners, managers and those responsible for its governance and business activities, or securing the Client's commitment to improve corporate governance practices or internal controls.
- 210.5 Where it is not possible to reduce the threats to an acceptable level, a Member in Public Practice should decline to enter into the Client relationship.
- 210.6 Acceptance decisions should be periodically reviewed for recurring Client Engagements.

Engagement Acceptance

- 210.7 A Member in Public Practice should agree to provide only those services that the Member in Public Practice is competent to perform. Before accepting a specific Client Engagement, a Member in Public Practice should consider whether acceptance would create any threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, a self-interest threat to professional competence and due care is created if the Engagement Team does not possess, or cannot acquire, the competencies necessary to properly carry out the Engagement.
- 210.8 A Member in Public Practice should evaluate the significance of identified threats and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:
- Acquiring an appropriate understanding of the nature of the Client's business, the complexity of its operations, the specific requirements of the Engagement and the purpose, nature and scope of the work to be performed.
 - Acquiring knowledge of relevant industries or subject matters.
 - Possessing or obtaining experience with relevant regulatory or reporting requirements.
 - Assigning sufficient staff with the necessary competencies.
 - Using experts where necessary.
 - Agreeing on a realistic time frame for the performance of the Engagement.
 - Complying with quality control policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that specific Engagements are accepted only when they can be performed competently.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

210.9 When a Member in Public Practice intends to rely on the advice or work of an expert, the Member in Public Practice should evaluate whether such reliance is warranted. The Member in Public Practice should consider factors such as reputation, expertise, resources available and applicable professional and ethical standards. Such information may be gained from prior association with the expert or from consulting others.

Changes in a Professional Appointment

Note: Proposed Accountants refer to paragraphs 210.10, 210.11, 210.14, 210.15, 210.16, and 210.17 of the Code. Existing Accountants refer to paragraphs 210.12, 210.13, and 210.16, of the Code.

210.10 A Member in Public Practice who is asked to replace another professional accountant in public practice (the proposed accountant), or who is considering tendering for an Engagement currently held by another professional accountant, should determine whether there are any reasons, professional or other, for not accepting the Engagement, such as circumstances that threaten compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, there may be a threat to professional competence and due care if a proposed accountant accepts the Engagement before knowing all the pertinent facts.

210.11 The significance of the threats should be evaluated. This may require direct communication with the Existing Accountant to establish the facts and circumstances behind the proposed change so that the Member in Public Practice can decide whether it would be appropriate to accept the Engagement. For example, the apparent reasons for the change in appointment may not fully reflect the facts and may indicate disagreements with the Existing Accountant that may influence the decision as to whether to accept the appointment.

AUST210.11.1 The proposed accountant, who is asked to replace an existing auditor or to accept nomination as auditor must, save where the company or organisation has not previously had an auditor:

- (a) Request the prospective Client's permission to communicate with the existing auditor last appointed. If such permission is refused the proposed accountant should, in the absence of exceptional circumstances, decline the Audit Engagement or the nomination.
- (b) On receipt of permission, request in writing of the existing auditor last appointed all information which ought to be made available to the proposed accountant to enable a decision to be made as to whether the Audit Engagement or the nomination be accepted.

210.12 An Existing Accountant is bound by confidentiality. The extent to which the Existing Accountant can and should discuss the affairs of a Client with a proposed accountant will depend on:

- (a) Whether the Client's permission to do so has been obtained; or
- (b) The legal or ethical requirements relating to such communications and disclosure, which may vary by jurisdiction.

210.13 In the absence of specific instructions by the Client, an Existing Accountant should not ordinarily volunteer information about the Client's affairs. Circumstances where it may be appropriate to disclose confidential information are set out in Section 140 of the Code.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 210.14 If identified threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level.
- 210.15 Such safeguards may include:
- Discussing the Client's affairs fully and freely with the Existing Accountant;
 - Asking the Existing Accountant to provide known information on any facts or circumstances, that, in the Existing Accountant's opinion, the proposed accountant should be aware of before deciding whether to accept the Engagement;
 - When replying to requests to submit tenders, stating in the tender that, before accepting the Engagement, contact with the Existing Accountant will be requested so that inquiries may be made as to whether there are any professional or other reasons why the appointment should not be accepted.
- 210.16 Where the Existing Accountant provides information, it should be provided honestly and unambiguously. If the proposed accountant is unable to communicate with the Existing Accountant, the proposed accountant should try to obtain information about any possible threats by other means such as through inquiries of third parties or background investigations on senior management or those charged with governance of the Client.
- 210.17 Where the threats cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level through the application of safeguards, a proposed accountant should, unless there is satisfaction as to necessary facts by other means, decline the Engagement.
- 210.18 A Member in Public Practice may be asked to undertake work that is complementary or additional to the work of the Existing Accountant. Such circumstances may give rise to potential threats to professional competence and due care resulting from, for example, a lack of or incomplete information. Safeguards against such threats include notifying the Existing Accountant of the proposed work, which would give the Existing Accountant the opportunity to provide any relevant information needed for the proper conduct of the work.
- AUST210.18.1 The wishes of the Client must be paramount in the choice of professional advisers, whether or not special skills are involved. Accordingly a Member in Public Practice must not attempt to restrict in any way the Client's freedom of choice in obtaining special advice and, when appropriate, must encourage the Client to do so.

Section 220 Conflicts of Interest

- 220.1 A Member in Public Practice should take reasonable steps to identify circumstances that could pose a conflict of interest. Such circumstances may give rise to threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, a threat to objectivity may be created when a Member in Public Practice competes directly with a Client or has a joint venture or similar arrangement with a major competitor of a Client. A threat to objectivity or confidentiality may also be created when a Member in Public Practice performs services for Clients whose interests are in conflict or the Clients are in dispute with each other in relation to the matter or transaction in question.
- 220.2 A Member in Public Practice should evaluate the significance of any threats. Evaluation includes considering, before accepting or continuing a Client relationship or specific Engagement, whether the Member in Public Practice has any business interests, or relationships with the Client or a third party that could give rise to threats. If threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level.
- 220.3 Depending upon the circumstances giving rise to the conflict, safeguards should ordinarily include the Member in Public Practice:
- (a) Notifying the Client of the Firm's business interest or activities that may represent a conflict of interest, and obtaining their consent to act in such circumstances; or
 - (b) Notifying all known relevant parties that the Member in Public Practice is acting for two or more parties in respect of a matter where their respective interests are in conflict, and obtaining their consent to so act; or
 - (c) Notifying the Client that the Member in Public Practice does not act exclusively for any one Client in the provision of proposed services (for example, in a particular market sector or with respect to a specific service) and obtaining their consent to so act.
- 220.4 Where a Firm becomes aware of a possible conflict between the interests of two or more Clients, all reasonable steps should be taken to manage the conflict and thereby avoid any adverse consequences. These steps should include the following safeguards, except where they are inappropriate:
- (a) The use of separate Engagement Teams; and
 - (b) Procedures to prevent access to information (e.g., strict physical separation of such teams, confidential and secure data filing); and
 - (c) Clear guidelines for members of the Engagement Team on issues of security and confidentiality; and
 - (d) The use of confidentiality agreements signed by employees and Partners of the Firm; and
 - (e) Regular review of the application of safeguards by a senior individual not involved with relevant Client Engagements.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 220.5 Where a conflict of interest poses a threat to one or more of the fundamental principles, including objectivity, confidentiality or professional behaviour, that cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level through the application of safeguards, the Member in Public Practice should conclude that it is not appropriate to accept a specific Engagement or that resignation from one or more conflicting Engagements is required.
- 220.6 Where a Member in Public Practice has requested consent from a Client to act for another party (which may or may not be an existing Client) in respect of a matter where the respective interests are in conflict and that consent has been refused by the Client, then they must not continue to act for one of the parties in the matter giving rise to the conflict of interest.

Section 230 Second Opinions

- 230.1 Situations where a Member in Public Practice is asked to provide a second opinion on proposed accounting presentations or interpretations of Accounting Standards or application of Auditing Standards or other standards or principles to specific circumstances or transactions by or on behalf of a company or an entity that is not an existing Client may give rise to threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, there may be a threat to professional competence and due care in circumstances where the second opinion is not based on the same set of facts that were made available to the Existing Accountant, or is based on inadequate evidence. The significance of the threat will depend on the circumstances of the request and all the other available facts and assumptions relevant to the expression of a professional judgment.
- 230.2 When asked to provide such an opinion, a Member in Public Practice should evaluate the significance of the threats and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards include seeking Client permission to contact the Existing Accountant, describing the limitations surrounding any opinion in communications with the Client and providing the Existing Accountant with a copy of the opinion.
- 230.3 If the company or entity seeking the opinion will not permit communication with the Existing Accountant, a Member in Public Practice should consider whether, taking all the circumstances into account, it is appropriate to provide the opinion sought.

Section 240 Fees and Other Types of Remuneration

240.1 When entering into negotiations regarding their services, a Member in Public Practice may quote whatever fee is deemed to be appropriate. The fact that one Member in Public Practice may quote a fee lower than another is not in itself unethical. Nevertheless, there may be threats to compliance with the fundamental principles arising from the level of fees quoted. For example, a self interest threat to professional competence and due care is created if the fee quoted is so low that it may be difficult to perform the Engagement in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards for that price.

240.2 The significance of such threats will depend on factors such as the level of fee quoted and the services to which it applies. In view of these potential threats, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Safeguards which may be adopted include:

- Making the Client aware of the terms of the Engagement and, in particular, the basis on which fees are charged and which services are covered by the quoted fee.
- Assigning appropriate time and qualified staff to the task.

Contingent Fees

240.3 Contingent Fees are widely used for certain types of non-Assurance Engagements¹. They may, however, give rise to threats to compliance with the fundamental principles in certain circumstances. They may give rise to a self-interest threat to objectivity. The significance of such threats will depend on factors including:

- The nature of the Engagement.
- The range of possible fee amounts.
- The basis for determining the fee.
- Whether the outcome or result of the transaction is to be reviewed by an independent third party.

240.4 The significance of such threats should be evaluated and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:

- An advance written agreement with the Client as to the basis of remuneration.
- Disclosure to intended users of the work performed by the Member in Public Practice and the basis of remuneration.
- Quality control policies and procedures.
- Review by an objective third party of the work performed by the Member in Public Practice

Referral fees and commissions

240.5 A Member in Public Practice may receive a referral fee or commission relating to a Client. For example, where the Member in Public Practice does not provide the specific service required, a fee may be received for referring a continuing Client to another Member in Public Practice or other expert. A

¹ Contingent Fees for non-assurance services provided to Assurance Clients are discussed in paragraphs 290.210 to AUST290.212.1 of the Code.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Member in Public Practice may receive a commission from a third party (e.g., a software vendor, financial advisor) in connection with the sale of goods or services to a Client. Accepting such a referral fee or commission may give rise to self-interest threats to objectivity and professional competence and due care.

240.6 A Member in Public Practice may also pay a referral fee to obtain a Client, for example, where the Client continues as a Client of another Member in Public Practice but requires specialist services not offered by the Existing Accountant. The payment of such a referral fee may also create a self-interest threat to objectivity and professional competence and due care.

240.7 A Member in Public Practice should not pay or receive a referral fee or commission, unless the Member in Public Practice has established safeguards to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level. The safeguards required to reduce the threat to an acceptable level are to inform the Client in writing of:

- the existence of such arrangement;
- the identity of the other party or parties; and
- the method of calculation of the agency fee, commission or other benefit occurring directly or indirectly to the member.

240.8 A Member in Public Practice may purchase all or part of another Firm on the basis that payments will be made to individuals formerly owning the Firm or to their heirs or estates. Such payments are not regarded as commissions or referral fees for the purpose of Sections 240.5 – 240.7 of the Code.

Section 250 Marketing Professional Services

250.1 When a Member in Public Practice solicits new work through Advertising or other forms of marketing, there may be potential threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, a self-interest threat to compliance with the principle of professional behaviour is created if services, achievements or products are marketed in a way that is inconsistent with that principle.

250.2 A Member in Public Practice should not bring the profession into disrepute when marketing their services.

The Member in Public Practice should be honest and truthful and should not:

- Make exaggerated claims for services offered, qualifications possessed or experience gained; or
- Make disparaging references to unsubstantiated comparisons to the work of another.
- Falsely advertise or mislead potential Clients.

Section 260 Gifts and Hospitality

- 260.1 A Member in Public Practice, or an Immediate or Close Family member, may be offered gifts and hospitality from a Client. Such an offer ordinarily gives rise to threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, self-interest threats to objectivity may be created if a gift from a Client is accepted; intimidation threats to objectivity may result from the possibility of such offers being made public.
- 260.2 The significance of such threats will depend on the nature, value and intent behind the offer. Where gifts or hospitality which a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, would consider Clearly Insignificant are made a Member in Public Practice may conclude that the offer is made in the normal course of business without the specific intent to influence decision making or to obtain information. In such cases, the Member in Public Practice may generally conclude that there is no significant threat to compliance with the fundamental principles.
- 260.3 If evaluated threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. When the threats cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level through the application of safeguards, a Member in Public Practice should not accept such an offer.

Section 270 Custody of Client Assets

- 270.1 A Member in Public Practice should not assume custody of Client monies or other assets unless permitted to do so by law and, if so, in compliance with any additional legal duties imposed on a Member in Public Practice holding such assets.
- 270.2 The holding of Client assets creates threats to compliance with the fundamental principles; for example, there is a self-interest threat to professional behaviour and may be a self interest threat to objectivity arising from holding Client assets. To safeguard against such threats, a Member in Public Practice entrusted with money (or other assets) belonging to others should:
- (a) Keep such assets separately from personal or firm assets;
 - (b) Use such assets only for the purpose for which they are intended;
 - (c) At all times, be ready to account for those assets, and any income, dividends or gains generated, to any persons entitled to such accounting; and
 - (d) Comply with all relevant laws and regulations relevant to the holding of and accounting for such assets.
- 270.3 In addition, Members in Public Practice should be aware of threats to compliance with the fundamental principles through association with such assets, for example, if the assets were found to derive from illegal activities, such as money laundering. As part of Client and Engagement acceptance procedures for such services, Members in Public Practice should make appropriate inquiries about the source of such assets and should consider their legal and regulatory obligations. They may also consider seeking legal advice.

Section 280 Objectivity – All Services

- 280.1 A Member in Public Practice should consider when providing any Professional Service whether there are threats to compliance with the fundamental principle of objectivity resulting from having interests in, or relationships with, a Client or Directors, Officers or employees. For example, a familiarity threat to objectivity may be created from a family or close personal or business relationship, or where a person in a Firm has a mutual business interest with an Officer or employee of a Client or has an interest in a joint venture with a Client.
- 280.2 Section 290 provides specific guidance on independence requirements for Members in Public Practice when performing an Assurance Engagement.
- 280.3 The existence of threats to objectivity when providing any Professional Service will depend upon the particular circumstances of the Engagement and the nature of the work that the Member in Public Practice is performing.
- 280.4 A Member in Public Practice should evaluate the significance of identified threats and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:
- Withdrawing from the Engagement Team.
 - Supervisory procedures.
 - Terminating the financial or business relationship giving rise to the threat.
 - Discussing the issue with higher levels of management within the Firm.
 - Discussing the issue with those charged with governance of the Client.

Section 290 Independence – Assurance Engagements

PREFACE (AUST)

The concept of Independence is fundamental to compliance with the principles of integrity and objectivity. This Code adopts a conceptual framework that requires the identification and evaluation of threats to Independence and the application of safeguards to reduce any threats created to an acceptable level.

This approach may contrast with the rules adopted in legislation, which are often prescriptive in nature. Accordingly, Members and other readers of this Code should recognise that adherence to this Code is not a substitute for legislation and Members and other readers must refer to such legislation to determine their additional obligations.

While these differences in approach make precise comparisons to specific legislation, such as the Corporations Act, difficult, Members and other readers should recognise the underlying principles of integrity and objectivity are consistent with objective and impartial judgement, when both approaches are tested in the context of all relevant facts by a reasonable person.

As this Code is confined to the professional aspects of Independence, distinct from any requirements which may be imposed by law, Members should also ensure they comply with the spirit and the letter of the law.

The statutory independence of Auditors-General is provided for in legislation by the Parliament of each Australian jurisdiction in a number of ways. This includes defining the scope of the Auditor-General's mandate, the appointment and removal of the Auditor-General and the performance of his or her responsibilities. The requirements within this Code should apply to Auditors-General and their senior officers who are delegated or authorised to sign assurance reports, to the extent that they do not conflict with such legislation.

In interpreting this section, it is not possible to give a definition of "material" which would cover all circumstances where materiality is referred to in this section. In such circumstances regard should be had to the effect which an interest might have or be seen to have on the objectivity of a person in a Firm.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 290.1 In the case of an Assurance Engagement it is in the public interest and, therefore, required by this Code of Ethics, that members of Assurance Teams, Firms and, when applicable, Network Firms be independent of Assurance Clients.
- 290.2 Assurance Engagements are designed to enhance intended users' degree of confidence about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria. The International Framework for Assurance Engagements (the Assurance Framework) issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board describes the elements and objectives of an Assurance Engagement, and identifies Engagements to which International Standards on Auditing (ISAs), International Standards on Review Engagements (ISREs) and International Standards on Assurance Engagements (ISAEs) apply. For a description of the elements and objectives of an Assurance Engagement reference should be made to the Assurance Framework.
- 290.3 As further explained in the Assurance Framework, in an Assurance Engagement the Member in Public Practice expresses a conclusion designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.
- 290.4 The outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter is the information that results from applying the criteria to the subject matter. The term "subject matter information" is used to mean the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of subject matter. For example:
- (a) The recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure represented in the Financial Statements (subject matter information) result from applying a financial reporting framework for recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure, such as International Financial Reporting Standards, (criteria) to an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows (subject matter).
 - (b) An assertion about the effectiveness of internal control (subject matter information) results from applying a framework for evaluating the effectiveness of internal control, such as COSO or CoCo, (criteria) to internal control, a process (subject matter).
- 290.5 Assurance Engagements may be assertion-based or direct reporting. In either case they involve three separate parties: a public accountant in public practice, a responsible party and intended users.
- 290.6 In an assertion-based Assurance Engagement, which includes a Financial Statement Audit Engagement, the evaluation or measurement of the subject matter is performed by the responsible party, and the subject matter information is in the form of an assertion by the responsible party that is made available to the intended users.
- 290.7 In a direct reporting Assurance Engagement the professional accountant in public practice either directly performs the evaluation or measurement of the subject matter, or obtains a representation from the responsible party that has performed the evaluation or measurement that is not available to the intended users. The subject matter information is provided to the intended users in the assurance report.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- AUST290.7.1 The former Australian Auditing & Assurance Standards Board of the Australian Accounting Research Foundation issued Australian Auditing Standard AUS 108 “Framework for Assurance Engagements”. Paragraphs AUST290.7.2 through AUST290.7.4 of the Code are taken from the Australian Auditing Standard AUS 108 “Framework for Assurance Engagements” and describe the nature of an Assurance Engagement. These paragraphs are presented here only to describe the nature of an Assurance Engagement. To obtain a full understanding of the objectives and elements of an Assurance Engagement it is necessary to refer to the full text contained in Australian auditing and assurance standards, including the AUASB Standards, as identified in the *Foreword to AUASB Pronouncements* (issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board).
- AUST290.7.2 Not all Engagements performed by Members are Assurance Engagements. Other frequently performed Engagements that are not covered by this Framework include:
- Engagements covered by Australian Auditing Standards for agreed-upon procedures engagements
 - Compilations of financial or other information.
 - The preparation of tax returns where no conclusion conveying assurance is expressed.
 - Consulting (or advisory) Engagements, such as management and tax consulting.
- AUST290.7.3 An Assurance Engagement may be part of a larger Engagement, for example, when a business acquisition consulting Engagement² includes a requirement to convey assurance regarding historical or prospective financial information. In such circumstances, this Framework is relevant only to the assurance portion of the Engagement.
- AUST290.7.4 The following Engagements, which may meet the definition in paragraph .07 of Australian Auditing Standard AUS 108, need not be performed in accordance with this Framework:
- (a) Engagements to testify in legal proceedings regarding accounting, auditing, taxation or other matters; and
 - (b) Engagements that include professional opinions, views or wording from which a user may derive some assurance, if all of the following apply:
 - (i) These opinions, views or wording are merely incidental to the overall Engagement;
 - (ii) Any written report issued is expressly restricted for use by only the intended users specified in the report;
 - (iii) Under a written understanding with the specified intended users, the Engagement is not intended to be an Assurance Engagement; and
 - (iv) The Engagement is not represented as an Assurance Engagement in the Member’s report.

² Consulting engagements employ a professional accountant’s technical skills, education, observations, experiences, and knowledge of the consulting process. The consulting process is an analytical process that typically involves some combination of activities relating to: objective-setting, fact-finding, definition of problems or opportunities, evaluation of alternatives, development of recommendations including actions, communication of results, and sometimes implementation and follow-up. Reports (if issued) are generally written in a narrative (or “long form”) style. Generally the work performed is only for the use and benefit of the Client. The nature and scope of work is determined by agreement between the professional accountant and the Client. Any service that meets the definition of an Assurance Engagement is not a consulting engagement but an Assurance Engagement.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

290.8 Independence requires:

Independence of Mind

The state of mind that permits the expression of a conclusion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgment, allowing an individual to act with integrity, and exercise objectivity and professional scepticism.

Independence in Appearance

The avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant that a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, including safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude a Firm's, or a member of the Assurance Team's, integrity, objectivity or professional scepticism had been compromised.

290.9 The use of the word "independence" on its own may create misunderstandings. Standing alone, the word may lead observers to suppose that a person exercising professional judgment ought to be free from all economic, financial and other relationships. This is impossible, as every member of society has relationships with others. Therefore, the significance of economic, financial and other relationships should also be evaluated in the light of what a reasonable and informed third party having knowledge of all relevant information would reasonably conclude to be unacceptable.

290.10 Many different circumstances, or combination of circumstances, may be relevant and accordingly it is impossible to define every situation that creates threats to Independence and specify the appropriate mitigating action that should be taken. In addition, the nature of Assurance Engagements may differ and consequently different threats may exist, requiring the application of different safeguards. A conceptual framework that requires Firms and members of Assurance Teams to identify, evaluate and address threats to Independence, rather than merely comply with a set of specific rules which may be arbitrary, is, therefore, in the public interest.

A Conceptual Approach to Independence

290.11 Members of Assurance Teams, Firms and Network Firms are required to apply the conceptual framework contained in Section 100 to the particular circumstances under consideration. In addition to identifying relationships between the Firm, Network Firms, members of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client, consideration should be given to whether relationships between individuals outside of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client create threats to Independence.

290.12 The examples presented in this section are intended to illustrate the application of the conceptual framework and are not intended to be, nor should they be interpreted as, an exhaustive list of all circumstances that may create threats to Independence.

Consequently, it is not sufficient for a member of an Assurance Team, a Firm or a Network Firm merely to comply with the examples presented, rather they should apply the framework to the particular circumstances they face.

290.13 The nature of the threats to Independence and the applicable safeguards necessary to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level differ depending on the characteristics of the individual Assurance Engagement: whether it is an Audit Engagement or another type of Assurance Engagement; and in the latter case, the purpose, subject matter

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

information and intended users of the report. A Firm should, therefore, evaluate the relevant circumstances, the nature of the Assurance Engagement and the threats to Independence in deciding whether it is appropriate to accept or continue an Engagement, as well as the nature of the safeguards required and whether a particular individual should be a member of the Assurance Team.

Networks and Network Firms

Note: Paragraphs 290.14 – 290.26 are effective in respect of Assurance Engagements for periods commencing on or after 1 July 2008.

290.14 An entity that belongs to a Network might be a Firm, which is defined in this Code as a sole practitioner, partnership, corporation or other entity of professional accountants and an entity that controls or is controlled by such parties, or the entity might be another type of entity, such as a consulting practice, or a professional law practice. The Independence requirements in this section that apply to a Network Firm apply to any entity that meets the definition of a Network Firm irrespective of whether the entity itself meets the definition of a Firm.

290.15 If a Firm is considered to be a Network Firm, the Firm is required to be independent of the Financial Statement Audit Clients of the other firms within the Network. In addition, for Assurance Clients that are not Financial Statement Audit Clients, consideration should be given to any threats the Firm has reason to believe may be created by Financial Interests in the Client held by other entities in the Network or by relationships between the Client and other entities in the Network.

290.16 To enhance their ability to provide Professional Services, Firms frequently form larger structures with other Firms and entities. Whether these larger structures create a Network depends upon the particular facts and circumstances and does not depend on whether the Firms and entities are legally separate and distinct. For example, a larger structure may be aimed only at facilitating the referral of work, which in itself does not meet the criteria necessary to constitute a Network. Alternatively, a larger structure might be such that it is aimed at co-operation and the Firms share a common brand name, a common system of quality control, or significant professional resources and consequently is considered to be a Network.

290.17 The judgment as to whether the larger structure is a Network should be made in light of whether a reasonable and informed third party would be likely to conclude, weighing all the specific facts and circumstances, that the entities are associated in such a way that a Network exists. This judgment should be applied consistently throughout the Network.

290.18 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and it is clearly aimed at profit or cost sharing among the entities within the structure, it is considered to be a Network. However, the sharing of immaterial costs would not in itself create a Network. In addition, if the sharing of costs is limited only to those costs related to the development of audit methodologies, manuals, or training courses, this would not in itself create a Network. Further, an association between a Firm and an otherwise unrelated entity to jointly provide a service or develop a product would not in itself create a Network.

290.19 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share common ownership, control or management, it is considered to be a Network. This could be achieved by contract or other means.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 290.20 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share common quality control policies and procedures, it is considered to be a Network. For this purpose common quality control policies and procedures would be those designed, implemented and monitored across the larger structure.
- 290.21 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share a common business strategy, it is considered to be a Network. Sharing a common business strategy involves an agreement by the entities to achieve common strategic objectives. An entity is not considered to be a Network Firm merely because it co-operates with another entity solely to respond jointly to a request for a proposal for the provision of a Professional Service.
- 290.22 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share the use of a common brand name, it is considered to be a Network. A common brand name includes common initials or a common name. A Firm is considered to be using a common brand name if it includes, for example, the common brand name as part of, or along with, its Firm name, when a partner of the Firm signs an assurance report.
- 290.23 Even though a Firm does not belong to a Network and does not use a common brand name as part of its Firm name, it may give the appearance that it belongs to a Network if it makes reference in its stationery or promotional materials to being a member of an association of Firms. Accordingly, a Firm should carefully consider how it describes any such memberships in order to avoid the perception that it belongs to a Network.
- 290.24 If a Firm sells a component of its practice, the sales agreement sometimes provides that, for a limited period of time, the component may continue to use the name of the Firm, or an element of the name, even though it is no longer connected to the Firm. In such circumstances, while the two entities may be practicing under a common name, the facts are such that they do not belong to a larger structure aimed at co-operation and are, therefore, not Network Firms. Those entities should carefully consider how to disclose that they are not Network Firms when presenting themselves to outside parties.
- 290.25 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share a significant part of professional resources, it is considered to be a Network. Professional resources include:
- Common systems that enable Firms to exchange information such as client data, billing and time records;
 - Partners and staff;
 - Technical departments to consult on technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events for Assurance Engagements;
 - Audit methodology or audit manuals; and
 - Training courses and facilities.
- 290.26 The determination of whether the professional resources shared are significant, and therefore the Firms are Network Firms, should be made based on the relevant facts and circumstances. Where the shared resources are limited to common audit methodology or audit manuals, with no exchange of personnel or client or market information, it is unlikely that the shared resources would be considered to be significant. The same applies to a common training endeavour. Where, however, the shared resources involve the exchange of people or information, such as where staff are drawn from a shared pool, or a common technical department is created within the larger

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

structure to provide participating Firms with technical advice that the Firms are required to follow, a reasonable and informed third party is more likely to conclude that the shared resources are significant.

Assertion-based Assurance Engagements

Financial Statement and Other Audit Engagements

290.27 Financial Statement Audit Engagements and other Audit Engagements conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act are relevant to a wide range of potential users; consequently, in addition to independence of mind, independence in appearance is of particular significance. Accordingly, for Financial Statement Audit Clients, the members of the Assurance Team, the Firm and Network Firms are required to be independent of the Financial Statement Audit Client. Such Independence requirements include prohibitions regarding certain relationships between members of the Assurance Team and Directors, Officers and employees of the Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information (the Financial Statements). Also, consideration should be given to whether threats to Independence are created by relationships with employees of the Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter (the financial position, financial performance and cash flows).

Other Assertion-based Assurance Engagements

290.28 In an assertion-based Assurance Engagement where the Client is not an Audit Client, the members of the Assurance Team and the Firm are required to be independent of the Assurance Client (the responsible party, which is responsible for the subject matter information and may be responsible for the subject matter). Such Independence requirements include prohibitions regarding certain relationships between members of the Assurance Team and Directors, Officers and employees of the Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information. Also, consideration should be given to whether threats to Independence are created by relationships with employees of the Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Engagement. Consideration should also be given to any threats that the Firm has reason to believe may be created by Network Firm interests and relationships.

290.29 In the majority of assertion-based Assurance Engagements, that are not Financial Statement Audit Engagements, nor other Audit Engagements conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act, the responsible party is responsible for the subject matter information and the subject matter. However, in some Engagements the responsible party may not be responsible for the subject matter. For example, when a Member in Public Practice is engaged to perform an Assurance Engagement regarding a report that an environmental consultant has prepared about a company's sustainability practices, for distribution to intended users, the environmental consultant is the responsible party for the subject matter information but the company is responsible for the subject matter (the sustainability practices).

290.30 In those assertion-based Assurance Engagements that are not Financial Statement Audit Engagements, nor other Audit Engagements conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act, where the responsible party is responsible for the subject matter information but not the subject matter the members of the Assurance Team and the Firm are required to be independent of the party responsible for the subject matter information (the Assurance Client). In addition, consideration should be given to any threats the Firm has reason to believe may be created by interests and relationships

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

between a member of the Assurance Team, the Firm, a Network Firm and the party responsible for the subject matter.

Direct Reporting Assurance Engagements

290.31 In a direct reporting Assurance Engagement the members of the Assurance Team and the Firm are required to be independent of the Assurance Client (the party responsible for the subject matter).

Restricted Use Reports

290.32 In the case of an assurance report in respect of a non-Financial Statement Audit Client, or other Audit Engagement not conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act, expressly restricted for use by identified users, the users of the report are considered to be knowledgeable as to the purpose, subject matter information and limitations of the report through their participation in establishing the nature and scope of the Firm's instructions to deliver the services, including the criteria against which the subject matter are to be evaluated or measured. This knowledge and the enhanced ability of the Firm to communicate about safeguards with all users of the report increase the effectiveness of safeguards to independence in appearance. These circumstances may be taken into account by the Firm in evaluating the threats to Independence and considering the applicable safeguards necessary to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level. At a minimum, it will be necessary to apply the provisions of this section in evaluating the Independence of members of the Assurance Team and their Immediate and Close Family. Further, if the Firm had a material Financial Interest, whether direct or indirect, in the Assurance Client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Limited consideration of any threats created by Network Firm interests and relationships may be sufficient.

Multiple Responsible Parties

290.33 In some Assurance Engagements, whether assertion-based or direct reporting, that are not Financial Statement Audit Engagements, or other Audit Engagements conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act, there might be several responsible parties. In such engagements, in determining whether it is necessary to apply the provisions in this section to each responsible party, the Firm may take into account whether an interest or relationship between the Firm, or a member of the Assurance Team, and a particular responsible party would create a threat to independence that is other than Clearly Insignificant in the context of the subject matter information. This will take into account factors such as:

- The materiality of the subject matter information (or the subject matter) for which the particular responsible party is responsible; and
- The degree of public interest associated with the Engagement

If the Firm determines that the threat to Independence created by any such interest or relationship with a particular responsible party would be Clearly Insignificant it may not be necessary to apply all of the provisions of this section to that responsible party.

Other Considerations

290.34 The threats and safeguards identified in this section are generally discussed in the context of interests or relationships between the Firm, Network Firms, members of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client. In the case of an Audit Client that is a Listed Entity, the Firm and any Network Firms are

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

required to consider the interests and relationships that involve that Client's Related Entities. Ideally those entities and the interests and relationships should be identified in advance. For all other Assurance Clients, when the Assurance Team has reason to believe that a Related Entity of such an Assurance Client is relevant to the evaluation of the Firm's Independence of the Client, the Assurance Team should consider that Related Entity when evaluating Independence and applying appropriate safeguards.

290.35 The evaluation of threats to Independence and subsequent action should be supported by evidence obtained before accepting the Engagement and while it is being performed. The obligation to make such an evaluation and take action arises when a Firm, a Network Firm or a member of the Assurance Team knows, or could reasonably be expected to know, of circumstances or relationships that might compromise Independence. There may be occasions when the Firm, a Network Firm or an individual inadvertently violates this section. If such an inadvertent violation occurs, it would generally not compromise Independence with respect to an Assurance Client provided the Firm has appropriate quality control policies and procedures in place to promote Independence and, once discovered, the violation is corrected promptly and any necessary safeguards are applied.

AUST290.35.1 The necessary safeguards applied include, if appropriate, notification to a regulatory body.

290.36 Throughout this section, reference is made to significant and Clearly Insignificant threats in the evaluation of Independence. In considering the significance of any particular matter, qualitative as well as quantitative factors should be taken into account. A matter should be considered Clearly Insignificant only if it is deemed to be both trivial and inconsequential.

Objective and Structure of This Section

290.37 The objective of this section is to assist Firms and members of Assurance Teams in:

- (a) Identifying threats to Independence;
- (b) Evaluating whether these threats are Clearly Insignificant; and
- (c) In cases when the threats are not Clearly Insignificant, identifying and applying appropriate safeguards to eliminate or reduce the threats to an acceptable level.

Consideration should always be given to what a reasonable and informed third party having knowledge of all relevant information, including safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude to be unacceptable. In situations when no safeguards are available to reduce the threat to an acceptable level, the only possible actions are to eliminate the activities or interest creating the threat, or to refuse to accept or continue the Assurance Engagement.

290.38 This section concludes with some examples of how this conceptual approach to Independence is to be applied to specific circumstances and relationships. The examples discuss threats to Independence that may be created by specific circumstances and relationships (paragraphs 290.100 onwards). Professional judgment is used to determine the appropriate safeguards to eliminate threats to Independence or to reduce them to an acceptable level. In certain examples, the threats to Independence are so significant the only possible actions are to eliminate the activities or interest creating the threat, or to refuse to accept or continue the Assurance Engagement. In other examples, the threat can be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level by

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

the application of safeguards. The examples are not intended to be all-inclusive.

290.39 Certain examples in this section indicate how the framework is to be applied to a Financial Statement Audit Engagement, or other Audit Engagements conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act, conducted for a Listed Entity.

290.40 When threats to Independence that are not Clearly Insignificant are identified, and the Firm decides to accept or continue the Assurance Engagement, the decision shall be documented and include a description of the threats identified and the safeguards applied to eliminate or reduce the threats to an acceptable level.

AUST290.40.1 This documentation referred to in paragraph 290.40 is to be produced at the time of a quality review conducted by the Member's professional body.

290.41 The evaluation of the significance of any threats to Independence and the safeguards necessary to reduce any threats to an acceptable level, takes into account the public interest. Certain entities may be of significant public interest because, as a result of their business, their size or their corporate status they have a wide range of stakeholders. Examples of such entities might include listed companies, disclosing entities, registered schemes, credit institutions, insurance companies, and superannuation funds. Because of the strong public interest in the Financial Statements of Listed Entities certain paragraphs in this section deal with additional matters that are relevant to the audit of Listed Entities. Consideration should be given to the application of the principles set out in this section in relation to the audit and half-year review of Listed Entities, to other Financial Statement Audit Clients, or other Clients where Engagements are conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act that may be of significant public interest.

AUST290.41.1 With limited exceptions, there is no public sector equivalent of "listed entities", although there may be audits of particularly significant public sector entities which should be subject to the listed entity requirements of mandatory rotation of the Engagement Partner (or equivalent), Audit Review Partner (if any) and Engagement Quality Control Reviewer. There are no fixed criteria on which this determination of significance should be based. However, such an assessment should encompass an evaluation of all factors relevant to the audited entity. Such factors include size, complexity, commercial risk, parliamentary or media interest and the number and range of stakeholders affected.

AUST290.41.2 Independence is potentially affected by self-interest, self-review, advocacy, familiarity and intimidation threats.

AUST290.41.3 "Self-Interest Threat" occurs when a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team could benefit from a Financial Interest in, or other self-interest conflict with, an Assurance Client.

Examples of circumstances that may create this threat include, but are not limited to:

- A Direct Financial Interest or material Indirect Financial Interest in an Assurance Client;
- A loan or guarantee to or from an Assurance Client or any of its Directors or Officers;
- Undue dependence on total fees from an Assurance Client;
- Concern about the possibility of losing the Engagement;
- Having a close business relationship with an Assurance Client;

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- Potential employment with an Assurance Client; and
- Contingent Fees relating to Assurance Engagements.

AUST290.41.4 Self-Review Threat” occurs when (1) any product or judgment of a previous Assurance Engagement or non-Assurance Engagement needs to be re-evaluated in reaching conclusions on the Assurance Engagement or (2) when a member of the Assurance Team was previously a Director or Officer of the Assurance Client or was an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement.

Examples of circumstances that may create this threat include, but are not limited to:

- A member of the Assurance Team being, or having recently been, a Director or Officer of the Assurance Client;
- A member of the Assurance Team being, or having recently been, an employee of the Assurance Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement;
- Performing services for an Assurance Client that directly affect the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement; and
- Preparation of original data used to generate a financial report or preparation of other records that are the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement.

AUST290.41.5 “Advocacy Threat” occurs when a Firm, or a member of the Assurance Team, promotes, or may be perceived to promote an Assurance Client's position or opinion to the point that objectivity may, or may be perceived to be, compromised. Such may be the case if a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team were to subordinate their judgment to that of the Client.

Examples of circumstances that may create this threat include, but are not limited to:

- Dealing in, or being a promoter of, shares or other securities in an Assurance Client; and
- Acting as an advocate on behalf of an Assurance Client in litigation or in resolving disputes with third parties.

AUST290.41.6 “Familiarity Threat” occurs when, by virtue of a close relationship with an Assurance Client, its Directors, Officers or employees, a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team becomes too sympathetic to the Client's interests.

Examples of circumstances that may create this threat include, but are not limited to:

- A member of the Assurance Team having an Immediate Family member or Close Family member who is a Director or Officer of the Assurance Client;
- A member of the Assurance Team having an Immediate Family member or Close Family member who, as an employee of the Assurance Client, is in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement;
- A former Partner of the Firm being a Director or Officer of the Assurance Client or an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Assurance Engagement;
- Long association of a senior member of the Assurance Team with the Assurance Client; and
- Acceptance of gifts or hospitality, unless the value is Clearly Insignificant, from the Assurance Client, its Directors, Officers or employees.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

AUST290.41.7 "Intimidation Threat" occurs when a member of the Assurance Team may be deterred from acting objectively and exercising professional scepticism by threats, actual or perceived, from the Directors, Officers or employees of an Assurance Client.

Examples of circumstances that may create this threat include, but are not limited to:

- Threat of replacement over a disagreement with the application of an accounting principle; and
- Pressure to reduce inappropriately the extent of work performed in order to reduce fees.

AUST290.41.8 The Firm and members of the Assurance Team have a responsibility to remain independent by taking into account the context in which they practice, the threats to Independence and the safeguards available to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.

AUST290.41.9 When threats are identified, other than those that are Clearly Insignificant, appropriate safeguards should be identified and applied to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level. This decision should be documented. The nature of the safeguards to be applied will vary depending upon the circumstances. Consideration should always be given to what a reasonable and informed third party having knowledge of all relevant information, including safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude to be unacceptable. The consideration will be affected by matters such as the significance of the threat, the nature of the Assurance Engagement, the intended users of the assurance report and the structure of the Firm.

AUST290.41.10 Safeguards fall into three broad categories:

- Safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation;
- Safeguards within the Assurance Client; and
- Safeguards within the Firm's own systems and procedures.

The Firm and the members of the Assurance Team should select appropriate safeguards to eliminate or reduce threats to Independence, other than those that are Clearly Insignificant, to an acceptable level.

AUST290.41.11 Safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation, include the following:

- Educational, training and experience requirements for entry into the profession;
- Continuing education requirements;
- Professional standards and monitoring and disciplinary processes;
- External review of a Firm's quality control system;
- Legislation governing the independence requirements of the Firm; and
- Recommendations on independence from relevant regulators.

AUST290.41.12 Safeguards within the Assurance Client, include the following:

- When the Assurance Client's management appoints the Firm, persons other than management ratify or approve the appointment;
- The Assurance Client has competent employees to make managerial decisions;
- Policies and procedures that emphasise the Assurance Client's commitment to fair financial reporting;
- Internal procedures that ensure objective choices in commissioning non-Assurance Engagements; and

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- A corporate governance structure, such as an audit committee, that provides appropriate oversight and communications regarding a Firm's services.

290.42 Audit committees can have an important corporate governance role when they are independent of Client management and can assist the Board of Directors in satisfying themselves that a Firm is independent in carrying out its audit role. There should be regular communications between the Firm and the audit committee (or other governance body if there is no audit committee) of Listed Entities regarding relationships and other matters that might, in the Firm's opinion, reasonably be thought to bear on Independence.

AUST290.42.1 There should be regular communications between the Firm and the audit committee (or other governance body if there is no audit committee) of disclosing entities and registered schemes regarding relationships and other matters that might, in the Firm's opinion, reasonably be thought to bear on Independence.

290.43 Firms should establish policies and procedures relating to Independence communications with audit committees, or others charged with governance of the Client. In the case of the audit of Listed Entities, disclosing entities and registered schemes the Firm should communicate orally and in writing, for each financial and half year report, all relationships and other matters between the Firm, Network Firms and the Audit Client that in the Firm's professional judgment may reasonably be thought to bear on Independence. Matters to be communicated will vary in each circumstance and should be decided by the Firm, but should generally address the relevant matters set out in this section and must include a written statement that, to the best of the knowledge and belief of the Lead Engagement Partner there have been no contraventions of the Independence requirements of this Code.

Engagement Period

290.44 The members of the Assurance Team and the Firm should be independent of the Assurance Client during the period of the Assurance Engagement. The period of the Engagement starts at the earlier of when the Firm consents to act or when the Firm begins to perform assurance services, and ends when the assurance report is issued, except when the Assurance Engagement is of a recurring nature. If the Assurance Engagement is expected to recur, the period of the Assurance Engagement ends with the notification by either party that the professional relationship has terminated or the issuance of the final assurance report, whichever is later.

290.45 In the case of a Financial Statement Audit Engagement, the engagement period includes the period covered by the Financial Statements reported on by the Firm. When an entity becomes a financial statement Audit Client during or after the period covered by the Financial Statements that the Firm will report on, the Firm should consider whether any threats to Independence may be created by:

- Financial or business relationships with the Audit Client during or after the period covered by the Financial Statements, but prior to the acceptance of the Audit Engagement; or
- Previous services provided to the Audit Client.

AUST290.45.1 In the case of an Engagement conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act, the engagement period includes the period covered by the subject matter reported on by the Firm. When an entity becomes an Audit Client during or after the period covered by the subject matter that the Firm

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

will report on, the Firm should consider whether any threats to independence may be created by:

- Financial or business relationships with the Audit Client during or after the period covered by the subject matter, but prior to the acceptance of the Audit Engagement; or
- Previous services provided to the Audit Client.

Similarly, in the case of an Assurance Engagement that is not an Audit Engagement, the Firm should consider whether any financial or business relationships or previous services may create threats to Independence.

290.46 If a non-assurance service was provided to the Financial Statement Audit Client during or after the period covered by the Financial Statements but before the commencement of services in connection with the financial statement audit and the non-assurance service would be prohibited during the period of the Financial Statement Audit Engagement, consideration should be given to the threats to Independence, if any, arising from the non-assurance service. If the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:

- Discussing Independence issues related to the provision of the non-assurance service with those charged with governance of the Client, such as the audit committee;
- Obtaining the Client's acknowledgement of responsibility for the results of the non-assurance service;
- Precluding personnel who provided the non-assurance service from participating in the Audit Engagement; and
- Engaging another Firm to review the results of the non-assurance service or having another Firm re-perform the non-assurance service to the extent necessary to enable it to take responsibility for the non-assurance service.

290.47 A non-assurance service provided to a non-listed Audit Client will not impair the Firm's Independence when the Client becomes a Listed Entity provided:

- (a) The previous non-assurance service was permissible under this section for non-listed Audit Clients;
- (b) The service will be terminated within a reasonable period of time of the Client becoming a Listed Entity, if they are impermissible under this section for Audit Clients that are Listed Entities; and
- (c) The Firm has implemented appropriate safeguards to eliminate any threats to Independence arising from the previous service or reduce them to an acceptable level.

AUST290.47.1 Because of the changing status of businesses, potential services that can be provided to an Assurance Client and changes in personnel engaged on Assurance Engagements the review and assessment of Independence is an ongoing process. Firms should ensure that they have established rigorous quality control systems to monitor changes in Client business activities and the services provided to Assurance Clients so as to ensure Independence is assured at all times.

Application of Framework to Specific Situations

Contents

Introduction	290.100
Financial Interests	290.104
Provisions Applicable to All Assurance Clients.....	290.106
Provisions Applicable to Audit Clients.....	290.113
Provisions Applicable to Non-Audit Assurance Clients.....	290.122
Loans and Guarantees.....	290.126
Close Business Relationships with Assurance Clients	290.132
Family and Personal Relationships	290.135
Employment with Assurance Clients	290.143
Recent Service with Assurance Clients.....	290.146
Serving as an Officer or Director on the Board of Assurance Clients	290.149
Long Association of Senior Personnel with Assurance Clients	
General Provisions	290.153
Audit Clients that are Listed Entities	290.154
Provision of Non-assurance Services of Assurance Clients	290.158
Preparing Accounting Records and Financial Statements	290.166
General Provisions.....	290.169
Audit Clients that are Not Listed Entities	290.170
Audit Clients that are Listed Entities	290.171
Emergency Situations	290.173
Valuation Services	290.174
Provision of Taxation Services to Audit Clients	290.180
Provision of Internal Audit Services to Audit Clients	290.181
Provision of IT Systems Services to Audit Clients	290.187
Temporary Staff Assignments to Audit Clients	290.192
Provision of Litigation Support Services to Audit Clients	290.193
Provision of Legal Services to Audit Clients	290.196
Recruiting Senior Management.....	290.203
Corporate Finance and Similar Activities	290.204
Fees and Pricing	
Fees – Relative Size	290.206
Fees – Overdue.....	290.208
Pricing.....	290.209
Contingent Fees	290.210
Gifts and Hospitality.....	290.212
Actual and Threatened Litigation.....	290.214

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Introduction

- 290.100 The following examples describe specific circumstances and relationships that may create threats to Independence. The examples describe the potential threats created and the safeguards that may be appropriate to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level in each circumstance. The examples are not all inclusive. In practice, the Firm, Network Firms and the members of the Assurance Team will be required to assess the implications of similar, but different, circumstances and relationships and to determine whether safeguards, including the safeguards in paragraphs 200.12 through 200.15 can be applied to satisfactorily address the threats to Independence.
- 290.101 Some of the examples deal with Audit Clients while others deal with Assurance Engagements for Clients that are not Audit Clients. The examples illustrate how safeguards should be applied to fulfil the requirement for the members of the Assurance Team, the Firm and Network Firms to be independent of an Audit Client, and for the members of the Assurance Team and the Firm to be independent of an Assurance Client that is not an Audit Client. The examples do not include assurance reports to a non-Audit Client expressly restricted for use by identified users. As stated in paragraph 290.32 for such Engagements, members of the Assurance Team and their Immediate and Close Family are required to be independent of the Assurance Client. Further, the Firm should not have a material Financial Interest, Direct or Indirect, in the Assurance Client.
- 290.102 The examples illustrate how the framework applies to Audit Clients and other Assurance Clients. The examples should be read in conjunction with paragraphs 290.27 to 290.33 which explain that, in the majority of Assurance Engagements, there is one responsible party and that responsible party comprises the Assurance Client. However, in some Assurance Engagements there are two responsible parties. In such circumstances, consideration should be given to any threats the Firm has reason to believe may be created by interests and relationships between a member of the Assurance Team, the Firm, a Network Firm and the party responsible for the subject matter.
- 290.103 Interpretation 2005-01 to this section provides further guidance on the application of the Independence requirements contained in this section to Assurance Engagements that are not Audit Engagements.

Financial Interests

- 290.104 A Financial Interest in an Assurance Client may create a self-interest threat. In evaluating the significance of the threat, and the appropriate safeguards to be applied to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level, it is necessary to examine the nature of the Financial Interest. This includes an evaluation of the role of the person holding the Financial Interest, the materiality of the Financial Interest and the type of Financial Interest (Direct or Indirect).
- 290.105 When evaluating the type of Financial Interest, consideration should be given to the fact that Financial Interests range from those where the individual has no control over the investment vehicle or the Financial Interest held (e.g., a mutual fund, unit trust or similar intermediary vehicle) to those where the individual has control over the Financial Interest (e.g., as a trustee) or is able to influence investment decisions. In evaluating the significance of any threat to Independence, it is important to consider the degree of control or influence that can be exercised over the intermediary, the Financial Interest held, or its investment strategy. When control exists, the Financial Interest should be considered Direct. Conversely, when the holder of the Financial Interest has

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

no ability to exercise such control the Financial Interest should be considered Indirect.

Provisions Applicable to All Assurance Clients

290.106 If a member of the Assurance Team, or their Immediate Family member, has a Direct Financial Interest, or a material Indirect Financial Interest, in the Assurance Client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant the only safeguards available to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level would be to:

- (a) Dispose of the Direct Financial Interest prior to the individual becoming a member of the Assurance Team;
- (b) Dispose of the Indirect Financial Interest in total or dispose of a sufficient amount of it so that the remaining interest is no longer material prior to the individual becoming a member of the Assurance Team; or
- (c) Remove the member of the Assurance Team from the Assurance Engagement.

290.107 If a member of the Assurance Team, or their Immediate Family member receives, by way of, for example, an inheritance, gift or, as a result of a merger, a Direct Financial Interest or a material Indirect Financial Interest in the Assurance Client, a self-interest threat would be created. The following safeguards should be applied to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level:

- (a) Disposing of the Financial Interest at the earliest practical date; or
- (b) Removing the member of the Assurance Team from the Assurance Engagement.

During the period prior to disposal of the Financial Interest or the removal of the individual from the Assurance Team, consideration should be given to whether additional safeguards are necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Discussing the matter with those charged with governance, such as the audit committee; or
- Involving an additional professional accountant to review the work done, or otherwise advise as necessary.

290.108 When a member of the Assurance Team knows that his or her Close Family member has a Direct Financial Interest or a material Indirect Financial Interest in the Assurance Client, a self-interest threat may be created. In evaluating the significance of any threat, consideration should be given to the nature of the relationship between the member of the Assurance Team and the Close Family member and the materiality of the Financial Interest. Once the significance of the threat has been evaluated, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary. Such safeguards might include:

- The Close Family member disposing of all or a sufficient portion of the Financial Interest at the earliest practical date;
- Discussing the matter with those charged with governance, such as the audit committee;
- Involving an additional professional accountant who did not take part in the Assurance Engagement to review the work done by the member of the Assurance Team with the Close Family relationship or otherwise advise as necessary; or

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- Removing the individual from the Assurance Engagement.

290.109 When a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team holds a Direct Financial Interest or a material Indirect Financial Interest in the Assurance Client as a trustee, a self-interest threat may be created by the possible influence of the trust over the Assurance Client. Accordingly, such an interest should only be held when:

- (a) The member of the Assurance Team, an Immediate Family member of the member of the Assurance Team, and the Firm are not beneficiaries of the trust;
- (b) The interest held by the trust in the Assurance Client is not material to the trust;
- (c) The trust is not able to exercise significant influence over the Assurance Client; and
- (d) The member of the assurance Team or the Firm does not have significant influence over any investment decision involving a Financial Interest in the Assurance Client.

290.110 Consideration should be given to whether a self-interest threat may be created by the Financial Interests of individuals outside of the Assurance Team and their Immediate and Close Family members. Such individuals would include :

- Partners, and their Immediate Family members, who are not members of the Assurance Team;
- Partners and Managerial Employees who provide non-assurance services to the Assurance Client; and
- Individuals who have a close personal relationship with a member of the Assurance Team.

Whether the interests held by such individuals may create a self-interest threat will depend upon factors such as:

- The Firm's organisational, operating and reporting structure; and
- The nature of the relationship between the individual and the member of the Assurance Team.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Where appropriate, policies to restrict people from holding such interests;
- Discussing the matter with those charged with governance, such as the audit committee; or
- Involving an additional professional accountant who did not take part in the Assurance Engagement to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary.

290.111 An inadvertent violation of this section as it relates to a Financial Interest in an Assurance Client would not impair the Independence of the Firm, the Network Firm or a member of the Assurance Team when:

- (a) The Firm, and the Network Firm, have established policies and procedures that require all professionals to report promptly to the Firm any breaches resulting from the purchase, inheritance or other acquisition of a Financial Interest in the Assurance Client;

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- (b) The Firm, and the Network Firm, promptly notify the professional that the Financial Interest should be disposed of; and
- (c) The disposal occurs at the earliest practical date after identification of the issue, or the professional is removed from the Assurance Team.

- 290.112 When an inadvertent violation of this section relating to a Financial Interest in an Assurance Client has occurred, the Firm should consider whether any safeguards should be applied. Such safeguards might include:
- Involving an additional professional accountant who did not take part in the Assurance Engagement to review the work done by the member of the Assurance Team; or
 - Excluding the individual from any substantive decision-making concerning the Assurance Engagement.

Provisions Applicable to Audit Clients

- 290.113 If a Firm, or a Network Firm, has a Direct Financial Interest in an Audit Client of the Firm the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Consequently, disposal of the Financial Interest would be the only action appropriate to permit the Firm to perform the Engagement.
- 290.114 If a Firm, or a Network Firm, has a material Indirect Financial Interest in an Audit Client of the Firm a self-interest threat is also created. The only actions appropriate to permit the Firm to perform the engagement would be for the Firm, or the Network Firm, either to dispose of the Indirect Interest in total or to dispose of a sufficient amount of it so that the remaining interest is no longer material.
- 290.115 If a Firm, or a Network Firm, has a material Financial Interest in an entity that has a controlling interest in an Audit Client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. The only actions appropriate to permit the Firm to perform the Engagement would be for the Firm, or the Network Firm, either to dispose of the Financial Interest in total or to dispose of a sufficient amount of it so that the remaining interest is no longer material.
- 290.116 If the superannuation fund of a Firm, or Network Firm, has a Financial Interest in an Audit Client a self-interest threat may be created. Accordingly, the significance of any such threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.
- 290.117 If other Partners, including Partners who do not perform Assurance Engagements, or their Immediate Family, in the Office in which the Engagement Partner practices in connection with the audit, hold a Direct Financial Interest, or a material Indirect Financial Interest, in that Audit Client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level.
- Accordingly, such Partners or their Immediate Family, should not hold any such Financial Interests in such an Audit Client.
- 290.118 The Office in which the Engagement Partner practices in connection with the audit is not necessarily the Office to which that Partner is assigned. Accordingly, when the Engagement Partner is located in a different Office from that of the other members of the Assurance Team, judgment should be

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

used to determine in which Office the Partner practices in connection with that audit.

- 290.119 If other Partners and Managerial Employees who provide non-assurance services to the Audit Client, except those whose involvement is Clearly Insignificant, or their Immediate Family, hold a Direct Financial Interest or a material Indirect Financial Interest in the Audit Client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Accordingly, such personnel or their Immediate Family should not hold any such financial interests in such an Audit Client.
- 290.120 A Financial Interest in an Audit Client that is held by (a) an Immediate Family member of a Partner located in the Office in which the Lead Engagement Partner practises in connection with the audit, or (b) an Immediate Family member of a Partner or Managerial Employee who provides non-assurance services to the Audit Client, is not considered to create an unacceptable threat provided it is received as a result of their employment rights (e.g. superannuation plan rights or share options) and, where necessary, appropriate safeguards are applied to reduce any threat to Independence to an acceptable level.
- 290.121 A self-interest threat may be created if the Firm, or the Network Firm, or a member of the Assurance Team has an interest in an entity and an Audit Client, or a Director, Officer or controlling owner thereof also has an investment in that entity. Independence is not compromised with respect to the Audit Client if the respective interests of the Firm, the Network Firm, or member of the Assurance Team, and the Audit Client, or Director, Officer or controlling owner thereof are both immaterial and the Audit Client cannot exercise significant influence over the entity. If an interest is material, to either the Firm, the Network Firm or the Audit Client, and the Audit Client can exercise significant influence over the entity, no safeguards are available to reduce the threat to an acceptable level and the Firm, or the Network Firm, should either dispose of the interest or decline the Audit Engagement. Any member of the Assurance Team with such a material interest should either:
- (a) Dispose of the interest;
 - (b) Dispose of a sufficient amount of the interest so that the remaining interest is no longer material; or
 - (c) Withdraw from the audit.

Provisions Applicable to Non-Audit Assurance Clients

- 290.122 If a Firm has a Direct Financial Interest in an Assurance Client that is not an Audit Client the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Consequently, disposal of the financial interest would be the only action appropriate to permit the Firm to perform the Engagement.
- 290.123 If a Firm has a material Indirect Financial Interest in an Assurance Client that is not an Audit Client a self-interest threat is also created. The only action appropriate to permit the Firm to perform the Engagement would be for the Firm to either dispose of the indirect interest in total or to dispose of a sufficient amount of it so that the remaining interest is no longer material.
- 290.124 If a Firm has a material Financial Interest in an entity that has a controlling interest in an Assurance Client that is not an Audit Client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. The only action appropriate to permit the Firm to perform the Engagement would be for the Firm either to dispose of the Financial

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Interest in total or to dispose of a sufficient amount of it so that the remaining interest is no longer material.

- 290.125 When a restricted use report for an Assurance Engagement that is not an Audit Engagement is issued, exceptions to the provisions in paragraphs 290.106 through 290.110 and 290.122 through 290.124 are set out in 290.32 of the Code.

Loans and Guarantees

- 290.126 A loan, or a guarantee of a loan, to the Firm from an Assurance Client, that is a financial institution, would not create a threat to Independence provided the loan, or guarantee, is made under normal lending procedures, terms and requirements and the loan is immaterial to both the Firm and the Assurance Client. If the loan is material to the Assurance Client or the Firm it may be possible, through the application of safeguards, to reduce the self-interest threat created to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include involving an additional professional accountant from outside the Firm, or Network Firm, to review the work performed.
- 290.127 A loan, or a guarantee of a loan, from an Assurance Client that is a financial institution, to a member of the Assurance Team or their Immediate Family would not create a threat to Independence provided the loan, or guarantee, is made under normal lending procedures, terms and requirements. Examples of such loans include home mortgages, bank overdrafts, car loans and credit card balances.
- 290.128 Similarly, deposits made by, or brokerage accounts of, a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team with an Assurance Client that is a financial institution would not create a threat to Independence provided the deposit or account is held under normal commercial terms.
- 290.129 If the Firm, or a member of the Assurance Team, makes a loan to an Assurance Client, that is not a financial institution, or guarantees such an Assurance Client's borrowing, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level, unless the loan or guarantee is immaterial to both the Firm or the member of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client.
- 290.130 Similarly, if the Firm or a member of the Assurance Team accepts a loan from, or has borrowing guaranteed by, an Assurance Client that is not a financial institution, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level, unless the loan or guarantee is immaterial to both the Firm or the member of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client.

Provisions Applicable to Audit Clients

- 290.131 The examples in paragraphs 290.126 through 290.130 relate to loans and guarantees between the Firm and an Assurance Client. In the case of a Financial Statement Audit Engagement, the provisions should be applied to the Firm, all Network Firms and the Audit Client.

Close Business Relationships With Assurance Clients

- 290.132 A close business relationship between a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client or its management, or between the Firm, a Network Firm and an Audit Client, will involve a commercial or common Financial Interest and may create self-interest and intimidation threats. The following are examples of such relationships:

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- Having a material Financial Interest in a joint venture with the Assurance Client or a controlling owner, Director, Officer or other individual who performs senior managerial functions for that Client.
- Arrangements to combine one or more services or products of the Firm with one or more services or products of the Assurance Client and to market the package with reference to both parties.
- Distribution or marketing arrangements under which the Firm acts as a distributor or marketer of the Assurance Client's products or services, or the Assurance Client acts as the distributor or marketer of the products or services of the Firm.

In the case of an Audit Client, unless the Financial Interest is immaterial and the relationship is Clearly Insignificant to the Firm, the Network Firm and the Audit Client, no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. In the case of an Assurance Client that is not an Audit Client, unless the Financial Interest is immaterial and the relationship is Clearly Insignificant to the Firm and the Assurance Client, no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Consequently, in both these circumstances the only possible courses of action are to:

- (a) Terminate the business relationship;
- (b) Reduce the magnitude of the relationship so that the Financial Interest is immaterial and the relationship is Clearly Insignificant; or
- (c) Refuse to perform the Assurance Engagement.

Unless any such Financial Interest is immaterial and the relationship is Clearly Insignificant to the member of the Assurance Team, the only appropriate safeguard would be to remove the individual from the Assurance Team.

290.133

In the case of an Audit Client, business relationships involving an interest held by the Firm, a Network Firm or a member of the Assurance Team or their Immediate Family in a closely held entity when the Audit Client or a Director or Officer of the Audit Client, or any group thereof, also has an interest in that entity, do not create threats to independence provided:

- (a) The relationship is Clearly Insignificant to the Firm, the Network Firm and the Audit Client;
- (b) The interest held is immaterial to the investor, or group of investors; and
- (c) The interest does not give the investor, or group of investors, the ability to control the closely held entity

290.134

The purchase of goods and services from an Assurance Client by the Firm (or from an Audit Client by a Network Firm) or a member of the Assurance Team would not generally create a threat to independence providing the transaction is in the normal course of business and on an arm's length basis. However, such transactions may be of a nature or magnitude so as to create a self-interest threat. If the threat created is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Eliminating or reducing the magnitude of the transaction;
- Removing the individual from the Assurance Team; or
- Discussing the issue with those charged with governance, such as the audit committee.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

AUST290.134.1 In the case of a corporate Audit Client, that is not a small proprietary company for the relevant financial year, where the Firm or a Partner appoints as a consultant for reward:

- (a) a Director of the Audit Client; or
- (b) an Officer of the Audit Client; or
- (c) an employee of the Audit Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Audit Engagement, or the conduct or efficacy of the audit;

the threat created would be so significant, no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level.

Consequently, in the case of a corporate Audit Client, that is not a small proprietary company for the relevant financial year, the only possible courses of action are to:

- Terminate the consultancy arrangement; or
- Refuse to perform the Audit Engagement.

Family and Personal Relationships

290.135 Family and personal relationships between a member of the Assurance Team and a Director, an Officer or certain employees, depending on their role, of the Assurance Client, may create self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats. It is impracticable to attempt to describe in detail the significance of the threats that such relationships may create. The significance will depend upon a number of factors including the individual's responsibilities on the Assurance Engagement, the closeness of the relationship and the role of the family member or other individual within the Assurance Client. Consequently, there is a wide spectrum of circumstances that will need to be evaluated and safeguards to be applied to reduce the threat to an acceptable level.

Immediate Family member influences subject matter information

290.136 When an Immediate Family member of a member of the Assurance Team is a Director, an Officer or an employee of the Assurance Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement, or was in such a position during any period covered by the Engagement, the threats to Independence can only be reduced to an acceptable level by removing the individual from the Assurance Team. The closeness of the relationship is such that no other safeguard could reduce the threat to Independence to an acceptable level. If application of this safeguard is not used, the only course of action is to withdraw from the Assurance Engagement. For example, in the case of an audit of Financial Statements, if the spouse of a member of the Assurance Team is an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the preparation of the Audit Client's accounting records or Financial Statements, the threat to Independence could only be reduced to an acceptable level by removing the individual from the Assurance Team.

Immediate Family member influences subject matter

290.137 When an Immediate Family member of a member of the Assurance Team is an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Engagement, threats to Independence may be created. The significance of the threats will depend on factors such as:

- The position the Immediate Family member holds with the Client; and

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- The role of the professional on the Assurance Team.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Removing the individual from the Assurance Team;
- Where possible, structuring the responsibilities of the Assurance Team so that the professional does not deal with matters that are within the responsibility of the Immediate Family member; or
- Policies and procedures to empower staff to communicate to senior levels within the Firm any issue of Independence and objectivity that concerns them.

290.138 When a Close Family member of a member of the Assurance Team is a Director, an Officer, or an employee of the Assurance Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement, threats to Independence may be created. The significance of the threats will depend on factors such as:

- The position the Close Family member holds with the Client; and
- The role of the professional on the Assurance Team.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Removing the individual from the Assurance Team;
- Where possible, structuring the responsibilities of the Assurance Team so that the professional does not deal with matters that are within the responsibility of the Close Family member; or
- Policies and procedures to empower staff to communicate to senior levels within the Firm any issue of Independence and objectivity that concerns them.

290.139 In addition, self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats may be created when a person who is other than an Immediate or Close Family member of a member of the Assurance Team has a close relationship with the member of the Assurance Team and is a Director, an Officer or an employee of the Assurance Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement. Therefore, members of the Assurance Team are responsible for identifying any such persons and for consulting in accordance with Firm procedures. The evaluation of the significance of any threat created and the safeguards appropriate to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level will include considering matters such as the closeness of the relationship and the role of the individual within the Assurance Client.

290.140 Consideration should be given to whether self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats may be created by a personal or family relationship between a Partner or employee of the Firm who is not a member of the Assurance Team and a Director, an Officer or an employee of the Assurance Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement. Therefore Partners and employees of the Firm are responsible for identifying any such relationships and for consulting in accordance with Firm procedures. The evaluation of the significance of any threat created and the safeguards appropriate to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level will include considering matters such as the closeness of the relationship, the interaction of the Firm

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

professional with the Assurance Team, the position held within the Firm, and the role of the individual within the Assurance Client.

290.141 An inadvertent violation of this section as it relates to family and personal relationships would not impair the Independence of a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team when:

- (a) The Firm has established policies and procedures that require all professionals to report promptly to the Firm any breaches resulting from changes in the employment status of their Immediate or Close Family members or other personal relationships that create threats to Independence;
- (b) Either the responsibilities of the Assurance Team are re-structured so that the professional does not deal with matters that are within the responsibility of the person with whom he or she is related or has a personal relationship, or, if this is not possible, the Firm promptly removes the professional from the Assurance Engagement; and
- (c) Additional care is given to reviewing the work of the professional.

290.142 When an inadvertent violation of this section relating to family and personal relationships has occurred, the Firm should consider whether any safeguards should be applied. Such safeguards might include:

- Involving an additional professional accountant who did not take part in the Assurance Engagement to review the work done by the member of the Assurance Team; or
- Excluding the individual from any substantive decision-making concerning the Assurance Engagement.

Employment with Assurance Clients

290.143 A Firm or a member of the Assurance Team's Independence may be threatened if a Director, an Officer or an employee of the Assurance Client in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement has been a member of the Assurance Team or Partner of the Firm. Such circumstances may create self-interest, familiarity and intimidation threats particularly when significant connections remain between the individual and his or her former Firm. Similarly, a member of the Assurance Team's Independence may be threatened when an individual participates in the Assurance Engagement knowing, or having reason to believe, that he or she is to, or may, join the Assurance Client some time in the future.

290.144 If a member of the Assurance Team, Partner or former Partner of the Firm has joined the Assurance Client, the significance of the self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats created will depend upon the following factors:

- (a) The position the individual has taken at the Assurance Client;
- (b) The amount of any involvement the individual will have with the Assurance Team;
- (c) The length of time that has passed since the individual was a member of the Assurance Team or Firm; and
- (d) The former position of the individual within the Assurance Team or Firm.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Considering the appropriateness or necessity of modifying the assurance plan for the Assurance Engagement;
- Assigning an Assurance Team to the subsequent Assurance Engagement that is of sufficient experience in relation to the individual who has joined the Assurance Client;
- Involving an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the Assurance Team to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary; or
- Quality control review of the Assurance Engagement.

In all cases all of the following safeguards are necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level:

- the individual concerned does not influence the operations or financial policies of the accounting and audit practice conducted by the Firm; and
- the individual does not participate, or appear to participate, in the business or professional activities of the accounting and audit practice conducted by the Firm; and
- the individual does not have any rights against the Firm, or the members of the Firm, in relation to the accounting and audit practice conducted by the Firm in relation to the termination of, or the value of, the individual's former partnership interest in the Firm; and
- the individual has no financial arrangements with the Firm in relation to the accounting and audit practice conducted by the Firm, other than:
 - an arrangement providing for regular payments of a fixed pre-determined dollar amount which is not dependent, directly or indirectly, on the revenues, profits or earnings of the Firm; or
 - an arrangement providing for regular payments of a dollar amount where the method of calculating the dollar amount is fixed and is not dependent, directly or indirectly, on the revenues, profits or earnings of the Firm; and
- without limiting the above, the individual has no financial arrangement with the Firm to receive a commission or similar payment in relation to business generated by the individual for the accounting and audit practice conducted by the Firm; and
- where a former Partner of the Firm, who was directly involved in the audit, has become an Officer or Director of an Audit Client, at least two years has elapsed since the date of the last annual or half-year audit report in respect of which the individual was a member of the Audit Team.

290.145

A self-interest threat is created when a member of the Assurance Team participates in the Assurance Engagement while knowing, or having reason to believe, that he or she is to, or may, join the Assurance Client some time in the future. This threat can be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of all of the following safeguards:

- (a) Policies and procedures to require the individual to notify the Firm when entering serious employment negotiations with the Assurance Client.
- (b) Removal of the individual from the Assurance Engagement.

In addition, consideration should be given to performing an independent review of any significant judgments made by that individual while on the Engagement.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

AUST290.145.1 If a former Partner of the Firm:

- (a) becomes an Officer or Director of a corporate Audit Client, that is not a small proprietary company, within a period of five years after the individual ceased (or last ceased) to be a Partner of the Firm; and
- (b) at the same time another former Partner of the Firm, who was a Partner of the Firm at the time when the Firm undertook an audit of the corporate Audit Client, is an Officer or Director of the corporate Audit Client;

the threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level.

This provision applies to a former Partner only if the former Partner was at 1 July 2004, or became after that date a Partner of the audit Firm and becomes an Officer or Director of the corporate Audit Client concerned on or after 1 July 2004.

Recent Service with Assurance Clients

290.146 To have a former Officer, Director or employee of the Assurance Client serve as a member of the Assurance Team may create self-interest, self-review and familiarity threats. This would be particularly true when a member of the Assurance Team has to report on, for example, subject matter information he or she had prepared or elements of the Financial Statements he or she had valued while with the Assurance Client.

290.147 If, during the period covered by the assurance report, a member of the Assurance Team had served as an Officer or Director of the Assurance Client, or had been an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement, or the conduct or efficacy of the audit, the threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Consequently, such individuals should not be assigned to the Assurance Team.

AUST290.147.1 If, during the period covered by the audit report, a member of the Audit Team is:

- (a) a partner of; or
- (b) an employer of; or
- (c) an employee of; or
- (d) a partner of an employee of; or
- (e) an employee of an employee of:
 - an Officer of the corporate Audit Client; or
 - a person who is in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Audit Engagement, or the conduct or efficacy of the audit, of the corporate Audit Client;

the threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level, unless the Audit Client is a small proprietary company.

Consequently, such individuals should not be assigned to the Audit Team.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

290.148 If, prior to the period covered by the assurance report, a member of the Assurance Team had served as an Officer or Director of the Assurance Client, or had been an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement, this may create self-interest, self-review and familiarity threats. For example, such threats would be created if a decision made or work performed by the individual in the prior period, while employed by the Assurance Client, is to be evaluated in the current period as part of the current Assurance Engagement. The significance of the threats will depend upon factors such as:

- The position the individual held with the Assurance Client;
- The length of time that has passed since the individual left the Assurance Client; and
- The role the individual plays on the Assurance Team.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Involving an additional professional accountant to review the work done by the individual as part of the Assurance Team or otherwise advise as necessary; or
- Discussing the issue with those charged with governance, such as the audit committee.

AUST290.148.1 If, during the twelve month period immediately preceding the beginning of the period to which the audit relates;

- (a) A Partner; or
- (b) A member of the Audit Team;

served as an Officer or Director of the Audit Client, or had been an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the Audit Engagement, or the conduct or efficacy of the audit, the threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level.

Serving as an Officer or Director on the Board of Assurance Clients

290.149 If a Partner or employee of the Firm serves as an Officer or as a Director on the board or as a liquidator, provisional liquidator, controller, scheme manager, official manager or administrator (except in the case of a members' voluntary winding up) of an Assurance Client the self-review and self-interest threats created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threats to an acceptable level. In the case of an Audit Engagement, if a Partner or employee of a Network Firm were to serve as an Officer or as a Director on the board of the Audit Client, or as an employee in a position to exert direct and significant influence over the subject matter of the audit engagement, or the conduct or efficacy of the audit, the threats created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threats to an acceptable level. Consequently, if such an individual were to accept such a position the only course of action is to refuse to perform, or to withdraw from, the Assurance Engagement.

290.150 [Deleted.]

290.151 [Deleted.]

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 290.152 Routine administrative services to support a company secretarial function or advisory work in relation to company secretarial administration matters is generally not perceived to impair Independence, provided Client management makes all relevant decisions.

Long Association of Senior Personnel With Assurance Clients

General Provisions

- 290.153 Using the same senior personnel on an Assurance Engagement over a long period of time may create a familiarity threat. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- The length of time that the individual has been a member of the Assurance Team
- The role of the individual on the Assurance Team;
- The nature of the Assurance Engagement.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Rotating the senior personnel off the Assurance Team;
- Involving an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the Assurance Team to review the work done by the senior personnel or otherwise advise as necessary; or
- Independent internal quality reviews.

Financial Statement Audit Clients That are Listed Entities³

- 290.154 Using the same:

- Lead Engagement Partner, or
- Audit Review Partner (if any), or
- Engagement Quality Control Reviewer

on an audit over a prolonged period may create a familiarity threat.

This threat is particularly relevant in the context of a Financial Statement audit of a Listed Entity and safeguards should be applied in such situations to reduce such threat to an acceptable level. Accordingly in respect of the Financial Statement audit of Listed Entities:

- (a) The Lead Engagement Partner, the Audit Review Partner (if any) and the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer should be rotated after serving in any of these capacities, or a combination thereof, for a pre-defined period, no longer than five financial years within a seven year period; and
- (b) Such an individual rotating after a pre-defined period should not participate in the Audit Engagement until a further period of time, no less than two years, since the end of the financial year following the end of the pre-defined period has elapsed.

Service as a Lead Engagement Partner, Audit Review Partner (if any) or Engagement Quality Control Reviewer in respect of an Audit Engagement is cumulative for the purposes of this requirement.

³ See also Interpretation 2003-02 of the Code.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

The pre-defined period of five years within a seven year period applies to an audit of the Financial Statements for a financial year or an audit or review of the Financial Statements for a half-year in a financial year, if the financial year begins on or after 1 July 2006.

Prior to that time the previous pre-defined period of seven years continued to apply.

290.155 When a Financial Statement Audit Client becomes a Listed Entity the length of time the Lead Engagement Partner, Audit Review Partner (if any) or Engagement Quality Control Reviewer have served the Audit Client in that capacity should be considered in determining when the individual should be rotated. However, the person may continue to serve as the Lead Engagement, or Audit Review Partner (if any), or Engagement Quality Control Reviewer for two additional years before rotating off the Engagement, provided this does not exceed seven years as at 1 July 2006.

290.156 While the Lead Engagement Partner, Audit Review Partner (if any) and Engagement Quality Control Reviewer should be rotated after such a pre-defined period, some degree of flexibility over timing of rotation may be necessary in certain circumstances. Examples of such circumstances include:

- Situations when the person's continuity is especially important to the Financial Statement Audit Client, for example, when there will be major changes to the Audit Client's structure that would otherwise coincide with the rotation of the person(s); and
- Situations when, due to the size of the Firm, rotation is not possible or does not constitute an appropriate safeguard.

In all such circumstances when the person is not rotated after such a pre-defined period equivalent safeguards, including the obtaining of an extension under the Corporations Act, should be applied to reduce any threats to an acceptable level.

290.157 When a Firm has only a few people with the necessary knowledge and experience to serve as Lead Engagement Partner, Audit Review Partner or Engagement Quality Control Reviewer on a Financial Statement Audit Client that is a Listed Entity, rotation may not be an appropriate safeguard. In these circumstances the Firm should apply other safeguards including the obtaining of an extension under the Corporations Act, to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards would include involving an additional professional accountant who was not otherwise associated with the Assurance Team to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary. This individual could be someone from outside the Firm or someone within the Firm who was not otherwise associated with the Assurance Team.

Provision of Non-assurance Services to Assurance Clients⁴

290.158 Firms have traditionally provided to their Assurance Clients a range of non-assurance services that are consistent with their skills and expertise. Assurance Clients value the benefits that derive from having these Firms, who have a good understanding of the business, bring their knowledge and skill to bear in other areas. Furthermore, the provision of such non-assurance services will often result in the Assurance Team obtaining information regarding the Assurance Client's business and operations that is helpful in relation to the Assurance Engagement. The greater the knowledge of the Assurance Client's business, the better the Assurance Team will understand

⁴ See also Interpretation 2003-01 of the Code.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

the Assurance Client's procedures and controls, and the business and financial risks that it faces.

The provision of non-assurance services may, however, create threats to the Independence of the Firm, a Network Firm or the members of the Assurance Team, particularly with respect to perceived threats to Independence. Consequently, it is necessary to evaluate the significance of any threat created by the provision of such services. In some cases it may be possible to eliminate or reduce the threat created by application of safeguards. In other cases no safeguards are available to reduce the threat to an acceptable level, in such a situation, either the assurance or the non-assurance services should be refused.

290.159 The following activities would generally create self-interest or self-review threats that are so significant that only avoidance of the activity or refusal to perform the Assurance Engagement would reduce the threats to an acceptable level:

- authorising, executing or consummating a transaction, or otherwise exercising authority on behalf of the Assurance Client, or having the authority to do so;
- determining which recommendation of the Firm should be implemented; and
- reporting, in a management role, to those charged with governance; and
- any other activity barred by legislation (for example acting as a liquidator, provisional liquidator, controller, scheme manager, official manager or administrator of the Assurance Client within the previous two years).

290.160 The examples set out in paragraphs 290.166 through 290.205 are addressed in the context of the provision of non-assurance services to an Assurance Client. The potential threats to Independence will most frequently arise when a non-assurance service is provided to an Audit Client. The Financial Statements of an entity provides financial information about a broad range of transactions and events that have affected the entity. The subject matter information of other assurance services, however, may be limited in nature. Threats to Independence, however, may also arise when a Firm provides a non-assurance service related to the subject matter information, of a non-audit Assurance Engagement. In such cases, consideration should be given to the significance of the Firm's involvement with the subject matter information, of the Engagement, whether any self-review threats are created and whether any threats to Independence could be reduced to an acceptable level by application of safeguards, or whether the Engagement should be declined. When the non-assurance service is not related to the subject matter information, of the non-audit Assurance Engagement, the threats to Independence will generally be Clearly Insignificant.

290.161 The following activities may also create self-review or self-interest threats:

- Having custody of an Assurance Client's assets.
- Supervising Assurance Client employees in the performance of their normal recurring activities.
- Preparing source documents or originating data, in electronic or other form, evidencing the occurrence of a transaction (for example, purchase orders, payroll time records, and customer orders).

The significance of any threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Making arrangements so that personnel providing such services do not participate in the Assurance Engagement;
- Involving an additional professional accountant to advise on the potential impact of the activities on the Independence of the Firm and the Assurance Team; or
- Other relevant safeguards set out in Australian regulations.

290.162 New developments in business, the evolution of financial markets, rapid changes in information technology, and the consequences for management and control, make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of all situations when providing non-assurance services to an Assurance Client might create threats to Independence and of the different safeguards that might eliminate these threats or reduce them to an acceptable level. In general, however, a Firm may provide services beyond the Assurance Engagement provided any threats to Independence have been reduced to an acceptable level.

290.163 The following safeguards may be particularly relevant in reducing to an acceptable level threats created by the provision of non-assurance services to Assurance Clients:

- Policies and procedures to prohibit professional staff from making management decisions for the Assurance Client, or assuming responsibility for such decisions.
- Discussing Independence issues related to the provision of non-assurance services with those charged with governance, such as the audit committee.
- Policies within the Assurance Client regarding the oversight responsibility for provision of non-assurance services by the Firm.
- Involving an additional professional accountant to advise on the potential impact of the non-assurance engagement on the Independence of the member of the Assurance Team and the Firm.
- Involving an additional professional accountant outside of the Firm to provide assurance on a discrete aspect of the Assurance Engagement.
- Obtaining the Assurance Client's acknowledgement of responsibility for the results of the work performed by the Firm.
- Disclosing to those charged with governance, such as the audit committee, the nature and extent of fees charged.
- Making arrangements so that personnel providing non-assurance services do not participate in the Assurance Engagement.

290.164 Before the Firm accepts an Engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an Assurance Client, consideration should be given to whether the provision of such a service would create a threat to Independence. In situations when a threat created is other than Clearly Insignificant, the non-Assurance Engagement should be declined unless appropriate safeguards can be applied to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

290.165 The provision of certain non-assurance services to Audit Clients may create threats to Independence so significant that no safeguard could eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. However, the provision of such services to a Related Entity, division or discrete Financial Statement item of such Clients may be permissible when any threats to the Firm's Independence have been reduced to an acceptable level by arrangements for that Related Entity, division or discrete Financial Statement item to be audited by another Firm or when another Firm re-performs the non-assurance service to the extent necessary to enable it to take responsibility for that service.

Preparing Accounting Records and Financial Statements

- 290.166 Assisting an Audit Client in matters such as preparing accounting records or Financial Statements may create a self-review threat when the Financial Statements are subsequently audited by the Firm.
- 290.167 It is the responsibility of Audit Client management to ensure that accounting records are kept and Financial Statements are prepared, although they may request the Firm to provide assistance. If Firm, or Network Firm, personnel providing such assistance make management decisions, the self-review threat created could not be reduced to an acceptable level by any safeguards. Consequently, personnel should not make such decisions. Examples of such managerial decisions include:
- Determining or changing journal entries, or the classifications for accounts or transaction or other accounting records without obtaining the approval of the Audit Client;
 - Authorising or approving transactions; and
 - Preparing source documents or originating data (including decisions on valuation assumptions), or making changes to such documents or data.
- 290.168 The audit process involves extensive dialogue between the Firm and management of the Audit Client. During this process, management requests and receives significant input regarding such matters as accounting principles and Financial Statement disclosure, the appropriateness of controls and the methods used in determining the stated amounts of assets and liabilities. Technical assistance of this nature and advice on accounting principles for Audit Clients are an appropriate means to promote the fair presentation of the Financial Statements. The provision of such advice does not generally threaten the Firm's Independence. Similarly, the audit process may involve assisting an Audit Client in resolving account reconciliation problems, analysing and accumulating information for regulatory reporting, assisting in the preparation of consolidated Financial Statements (including the translation of local statutory accounts to comply with group accounting policies and the transition to a different reporting framework such as International Financial Reporting Standards), drafting disclosure items, proposing adjusting journal entries and providing assistance and advice in the preparation of local statutory accounts of subsidiary entities. These services are considered to be a normal part of the audit process and do not, under normal circumstances, threaten Independence.

General Provisions

- 290.169 The examples in paragraphs 290.170 through 290.173 indicate that self-review threats may be created if the Firm is involved in the preparation of accounting records or Financial Statements and those Financial Statements are subsequently the subject matter information of an Audit Engagement of the Firm. This notion may be equally applicable in situations when the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement is not Financial Statements. For example, a self-review threat would be created if the Firm developed and prepared prospective financial information and subsequently provided assurance on this prospective financial information. Consequently, the Firm should evaluate the significance of any self-review threat created by the provision of such services. If the self-review threat is other than Clearly Insignificant safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Audit Clients That are Not Listed Entities

290.170 The Firm, or a Network Firm, may provide an Audit Client that is not a Listed Entity with accounting and bookkeeping services, including payroll services, of a routine or mechanical nature, provided any self-review threat created is reduced to an acceptable level. Examples of such services include:

- Recording transactions for which the Audit Client has determined or approved the appropriate account classification;
- Posting coded transactions to the Audit Client's general ledger;
- Preparing Financial Statements based on information in the trial balance; and
- Posting the Audit Client approved entries to the trial balance.

The significance of any threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Making arrangements so such services are not performed by a member of the Assurance Team;
- Implementing policies and procedures to prohibit the individual providing such services from making any managerial decisions on behalf of the Audit Client;
- Requiring the source data for the accounting entries to be originated by the Audit Client;
- Requiring the underlying assumptions to be originated and approved by the Audit Client; or
- Obtaining Audit Client approval for any proposed journal entries or other changes affecting the Financial Statements.

Financial Statement Audit Clients That are Listed Entities

290.171 The provision of accounting and bookkeeping services, including payroll services and the preparation of Financial Statements or financial information which forms the basis of the Financial Statements on which the audit report is provided, on behalf of a Financial Statement Audit Client that is a Listed Entity, may impair the Independence of the Firm or Network Firm, or at least give the appearance of impairing Independence. Accordingly, no safeguard other than the prohibition of such services, except in emergency situations and when the services fall within the statutory audit mandate, could reduce the threat created to an acceptable level. Therefore, a Firm or a Network Firm should not, with the limited exceptions below, provide such services to a Listed Entity that is a Financial Statement Audit Client.

290.172 The provision of accounting and bookkeeping services of a routine or mechanical nature to divisions or subsidiaries of a Financial Statement Audit Client that is a Listed Entity would not be seen as impairing Independence with respect to the Audit Client provided that the following conditions are met:

- (a) The services do not involve the exercise of judgement.
- (b) The divisions or subsidiaries for which the service is provided are collectively immaterial to the Audit Client, or the services provided are collectively immaterial to the division or subsidiary.
- (c) The fees to the Firm, or Network Firm, from such services are collectively Clearly Insignificant.

If such services are provided, all of the following safeguards should be applied:

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- (a) The Firm, or Network Firm, should not assume any managerial role nor make any managerial decisions.
- (b) The Audit Client should accept responsibility for the results of the work.
- (c) Personnel providing the services should not participate in the audit.

Emergency Situations

- 290.173 The provision of accounting and bookkeeping services to Financial Statement Audit Clients in emergency or other unusual situations, when it is impractical for the Audit Client to make other arrangements, would not be considered to pose an unacceptable threat to independence provided:
- (a) The Firm, or Network Firm, does not assume any managerial role or make any managerial decisions;
 - (b) The Audit Client accepts responsibility for the results of the work; and
 - (c) Personnel providing the services are not members of the Assurance Team

Valuation Services

- 290.174 A valuation comprises the making of assumptions with regard to future developments, the application of certain methodologies and techniques, and the combination of both in order to compute a certain value, or range of values, for an asset, a liability or for a business as a whole.
- 290.175 A self-review threat may be created when a Firm or Network Firm performs a valuation for an Audit Client that is to be incorporated into the Client's Financial Statements.
- 290.176 If the valuation service involves the valuation of matters material to the Financial Statements and the valuation involves a significant degree of subjectivity, the self-review threat created could not be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of any safeguard. Accordingly, such valuation services should not be provided or, alternatively, the only course of action would be to withdraw from the Financial Statement Audit Engagement.
- 290.177 Performing valuation services for an Audit Client that are neither separately, nor in the aggregate, material to the Financial Statements, or that do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity, may create a self-review threat that could be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of safeguards. Such safeguards might include:
- Involving an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the Assurance Team to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary;
 - Confirming with the Audit Client their understanding of the underlying assumptions of the valuation and the methodology to be used and obtaining approval for their use;
 - Obtaining the Audit Client's acknowledgement of responsibility for the results of the work performed by the Firm; and
 - Making arrangements so that personnel providing such services do not participate in the Audit Engagement.

In determining whether the above safeguards would be effective, consideration should be given to the following matters:

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- (a) The extent of the Audit Client's knowledge, experience and ability to evaluate the issues concerned, and the extent of their involvement in determining and approving significant matters of judgment.
- (b) The degree to which established methodologies and professional guidelines are applied when performing a particular valuation service.
- (c) For valuations involving standard or established methodologies, the degree of subjectivity inherent in the item concerned.
- (d) The reliability and extent of the underlying data.
- (e) The degree of dependence on future events of a nature which could create significant volatility inherent in the amounts involved.
- (f) The extent and clarity of the disclosures in the Financial Statements.

290.178 When a Firm, or a Network Firm, performs a valuation service for an Audit Client for the purposes of making a filing or return to a tax authority, computing an amount of tax due by the Client, or for the purpose of tax planning, this would not create a significant threat to Independence because such valuations are generally subject to external review, for example by a tax authority.

290.179 When the Firm performs a valuation that forms part of the subject matter information of an Assurance Engagement that is not an Audit Engagement, the Firm should consider any self-review threats. If the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

Provision of Taxation Services to Audit Clients

290.180 In many jurisdictions, the Firm may be asked to provide taxation services to an Audit Client. Taxation services comprise a broad range of services, including compliance, planning, provision of formal taxation opinions and assistance in the resolution of tax disputes. Such assignments are generally not seen to create threats to Independence.

Provision of Internal Audit Services to Audit Clients

290.181 A self-review threat may be created when a Firm, or Network Firm, provides internal audit services to an Audit Client. Internal audit services may comprise an extension of the Firm's audit service beyond requirements of generally accepted auditing standards, assistance in the performance of a Client's internal audit activities or outsourcing of the activities. In evaluating any threats to Independence, the nature of the service will need to be considered. For this purpose, internal audit services do not include operational internal audit services unrelated to the internal accounting controls, financial systems or Financial Statements.

290.182 Services involving an extension of the procedures required to conduct an audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing would not be considered to impair independence with respect to the Audit Client provided that the Firm's or Network Firm's personnel do not act or appear to act in a capacity equivalent to a member of Audit Client management.

290.183 When the Firm, or a Network Firm, provides assistance in the performance of an Audit Client's internal audit activities or undertakes the outsourcing of some of the activities, any self-review threat created may be reduced to an acceptable level by ensuring that there is a clear separation between the

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

management and control of the internal audit by Client management and the internal audit activities themselves.

- 290.184 Performing a significant portion of the Audit Client's internal audit activities may create a self-review threat and a Firm, or Network Firm, should consider the threats and proceed with caution before taking on such activities. Appropriate safeguards should be put in place and the Firm, or Network Firm, should, in particular, ensure that the Audit Client acknowledges its responsibilities for establishing, maintaining and monitoring the system of internal controls.
- 290.185 Safeguards that should be applied in all circumstances to reduce any threats created to an acceptable level include ensuring that:
- (a) The Audit Client is responsible for internal audit activities and acknowledges its responsibility for establishing, maintaining and monitoring the system of internal controls;
 - (b) The Audit Client designates a competent employee, preferably within senior management, to be responsible for internal audit activities;
 - (c) The Audit Client, the audit committee or supervisory body approves the scope, risk and frequency of internal audit work;
 - (d) The Audit Client is responsible for evaluating and determining which recommendations of the Firm should be implemented;
 - (e) The Audit Client evaluates the adequacy of the internal audit procedures performed and the findings resulting from the performance of those procedures by, among other things, obtaining and acting on reports from the Firm; and
 - (f) The findings and recommendations resulting from the internal audit activities are reported appropriately to the audit committee or supervisory body.
- 290.186 Consideration should also be given to whether such non-assurance services should be provided only by personnel not involved in the Audit Engagement and with different reporting lines within the Firm.

Provision of IT Systems Services to Audit Clients

- 290.187 The provision of services by a Firm or Network Firm to an Audit Client that involve the design and implementation of financial information technology systems that are used to generate information forming part of a Client's Financial Statements may create a self-review threat.
- 290.188 The self-review threat is likely to be too significant to allow the provision of such services to an Audit Client unless appropriate safeguards are put in place ensuring that:
- (a) The Audit Client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls;
 - (b) The Audit Client designates a competent employee, preferably within senior management, with the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system;
 - (c) The Audit Client makes all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation process;

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- (d) The Audit Client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design and implementation of the system; and
 - (e) The Audit Client is responsible for the operation of the system (hardware or software) and the data used or generated by the system.
- 290.189 Consideration should also be given to whether such non-assurance services should be provided only by personnel not involved in the Audit Engagement and with different reporting lines within the Firm.
- 290.190 The provision of services by a Firm, or Network Firm, to an Audit Client which involve either the design or the implementation of financial information technology systems that are used to generate information forming part of a Client's Financial Statements may also create a self-review threat. The significance of the threat, if any, should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.
- 290.191 The provision of services in connection with the assessment, design and implementation of internal accounting controls and risk management controls are not considered to create a threat to Independence provided that Firm or Network Firm personnel do not perform management functions.

Temporary Staff Assignments to Audit Clients

- 290.192 The lending of staff by a Firm, or Network Firm, to an Audit Client may create a self-review threat when the individual is in a position to influence the preparation of a Client's accounts or Financial Statements. In practice, such assistance may be given (particularly in emergency situations) but only on the understanding that the Firm's or Network Firm's personnel will not be involved in:
- (a) Making management decisions;
 - (b) Approving or signing agreements or other similar documents; or
 - (c) Exercising discretionary authority to commit the Client.

Each situation should be carefully analysed to identify whether any threats are created and whether appropriate safeguards should be implemented. Safeguards that should be applied in all circumstances to reduce any threats to an acceptable level include:

- The staff providing the assistance should not be given audit responsibility for any function or activity that they performed or supervised during their temporary staff assignment; and
- The Audit Client should acknowledge its responsibility for directing and supervising the activities of Firm, or Network Firm, personnel.

Provision of Litigation Support Services to Audit Clients

- 290.193 Litigation support services may include activities such as acting as an expert witness, calculating estimated damages or other amounts that might become receivable or payable as the result of litigation or other legal dispute, and assistance with document management and retrieval in relation to a dispute or litigation.
- 290.194 A self-review threat may be created when the litigation support services provided to an Audit Client include the estimation of the possible outcome and thereby affects the amounts or disclosures to be reflected in the Financial

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Statements. The significance of any threat created will depend upon factors such as:

- The materiality of the amounts involved;
- The degree of subjectivity inherent in the matter concerned; and
- The nature of the engagement.

The Firm, or Network Firm, should evaluate the significance of any threat created and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Policies and procedures to prohibit individuals assisting the Audit Client from making managerial decisions on behalf of the Client;
- Using professionals who are not members of the Assurance Team to perform the service; or
- The involvement of others, such as independent experts.

290.195 If the role undertaken by the Firm or Network Firm involved making managerial decisions on behalf of the Audit Client, the threats created could not be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of any safeguard. Therefore, the Firm or Network Firm should not perform this type of service for an Audit Client.

Provision of Legal Services to Audit Clients

290.196 Legal services are defined as any services for which the person providing the services must either be admitted to practice before the Courts of the jurisdiction in which such services are to be provided, or have the required legal training to practice law. Legal services encompass a wide and diversified range of areas including both corporate and commercial services to Clients, such as contract support, litigation, mergers and acquisition advice and support and the provision of assistance to Clients' internal legal departments. The provision of legal services by a Firm, or Network Firm, to an entity that is an Audit Client may create both self-review and advocacy threats.

290.197 Threats to Independence need to be considered depending on the nature of the service to be provided, whether the service provider is separate from the Assurance Team and the materiality of any matter in relation to the Financial Statements of an entity that is an Audit Client. The safeguards set out in paragraph 290.163 may be appropriate in reducing any threats to Independence to an acceptable level. In circumstances when the threat to Independence cannot be reduced to an acceptable level the only available action is to decline to provide such services or withdraw from the Audit Engagement.

290.198 The provision of legal services to an Audit Client which involve matters that would not be expected to have a material effect on the Financial Statements are not considered to create an unacceptable threat to Independence.

290.199 There is a distinction between advocacy and advice. Legal services to support an Audit Client in the execution of a transaction (e.g., contract support, legal advice, legal due diligence and restructuring) may create self-review threats; however, safeguards may be available to reduce these threats to an acceptable level. Such a service would not generally impair Independence, provided that:

- (a) Members of the Assurance Team are not involved in providing the service; and

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- (b) In relation to the advice provided, the Audit Client makes the ultimate decision or, in relation to the transactions, the service involves the execution of what has been decided by the Audit Client.

- 290.200 Acting for an Audit Client in the resolution of a dispute or litigation in such circumstances when the amounts involved are material in relation to the Financial Statements of the Audit Client would create advocacy and self-review threats so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Therefore, the Firm should not perform this type of service for an Audit Client.
- 290.201 When a Firm is asked to act in an advocacy role for an Audit Client in the resolution of a dispute or litigation in circumstances when the amounts involved are not material to the Financial Statements of the Audit Client, the Firm should evaluate the significance of any advocacy and self-review threats created and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:
- Policies and procedures to prohibit individuals assisting the Audit Client from making managerial decisions on behalf of the Client; or
 - Using professionals who are not members of the Assurance Team to perform the service.
- 290.202 The appointment of a Partner or an employee of the Firm or Network Firm as General Counsel for legal affairs to an Audit Client would create self-review and advocacy threats that are so significant no safeguards could reduce the threats to an acceptable level. The position of General Counsel is generally a senior management position with broad responsibility for the legal affairs of a company and consequently, no member of the Firm or Network Firm should accept such an appointment for an Audit Client.

Recruiting Senior Management

- 290.203 The recruitment of senior management for an Assurance Client, such as those in a position to affect the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement, may create current or future self-interest, familiarity and intimidation threats. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:
- The role of the person to be recruited; and
 - The nature of the assistance sought.

The Firm could generally provide such services as reviewing the professional qualifications of a number of applicants and provide advice on their suitability for the post. In addition, the Firm could generally produce a short-list of candidates for interview, provided it has been drawn up using criteria specified by the Assurance Client.

The significance of the threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. In all cases, the Firm should not make management decisions and the decision as to whom to hire should be left to the Client.

Corporate Finance and Similar Activities

- 290.204 The provision of corporate finance services, advice or assistance to an Assurance Client may create advocacy and self-review threats. In the case of certain corporate finance services, the Independence threats created would be so significant no safeguards could be applied to reduce the threats to an

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

acceptable level. For example, promoting, dealing in, or underwriting of an Assurance Client's shares is not compatible with providing assurance services. Moreover, committing the Assurance Client to the terms of a transaction or consummating a transaction on behalf of the Client would create a threat to Independence so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. In the case of an Audit Client the provision of those corporate finance services referred to above by a Firm or a Network Firm would create a threat to Independence so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level.

290.205

Other corporate finance services may create advocacy or self-review threats; however, safeguards may be available to reduce these threats to an acceptable level. Examples of such services include assisting a Client in developing corporate strategies, assisting in identifying or introducing a Client to possible sources of capital that meet the Client specifications or criteria, and providing structuring advice and assisting a Client in analysing the accounting effects of proposed transactions. Safeguards that should be considered include:

- Policies and procedures to prohibit individuals assisting the Assurance Client from making managerial decisions on behalf of the Client;
- Using professionals who are not members of the Assurance Team to provide the services; and
- Ensuring the Firm does not commit the Assurance Client to the terms of any transaction or consummate a transaction on behalf of the Client.

Fees and Pricing

Fees - Relative Size

290.206 When the total fees generated by an Assurance Client represent a large proportion of a Firm's total fees, the dependence on that Client or Client group and concern about the possibility of losing the Client may create a self-interest threat. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- The structure of the Firm; and
- Whether the Firm is well established or newly created.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Discussing the extent and nature of fees charged with the audit committee, or others charged with governance;
- Taking steps to reduce dependency on the Client;
- External quality control reviews; and
- Consulting a third party, such as a professional regulatory body or another professional accountant.

AUST290.206.1 In all cases where the fees generated by an Assurance Client exceed 15% of the Firm's total fees the following safeguards are necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level:

- Involving an additional professional accountant who was not part of the Assurance Team to carry out reviews of the work done, or otherwise advise as necessary;
- provide documentation of such review to the applicable professional body, during quality review.

Where an Assurance Client provides a Firm with an unduly large proportion of its total fees, the only course of action is to refuse to perform, or to withdraw from, the Assurance Engagement.

290.207 A self-interest threat may also be created when the fees generated by the Assurance Client represent a large proportion of the revenue of an individual Partner. The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Policies and procedures to monitor and implement quality control of Assurance Engagements; and
- Involving an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the Assurance Team to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary.

Fees - Overdue

290.208 A self-interest threat may be created if fees due from an Assurance Client remain unpaid for a long time, especially if a significant part is not paid before the issue of the assurance report for the following year. Generally the payment of such fees should be required before the report is issued. The following safeguards may be applicable:

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- Discussing the level of outstanding fees with the audit committee, or others charged with governance.
- Involving an additional professional accountant who did not take part in the Assurance Engagement to provide advice or review the work performed.

The Firm should also consider whether the overdue fees might be regarded as being equivalent to a loan to the Client and whether, because of the significance of the overdue fees, it is appropriate for the Firm to be re-appointed.

Pricing

290.209 When a Firm obtains an Assurance Engagement at a significantly lower fee level than that charged by the predecessor Firm, or quoted by other Firms, the self-interest threat created will not be reduced to an acceptable level unless:

- (a) The Firm is able to demonstrate that appropriate time and qualified staff are assigned to the task; and
- (b) All applicable assurance standards, guidelines and quality control procedures are being complied with.

Contingent Fees

290.210 Contingent Fees are fees calculated on a predetermined basis relating to the outcome or result of a transaction or the result of the work performed. For the purposes of this section, fees are not regarded as being contingent if a court or other public authority has established them.

290.211 A Contingent Fee charged by a Firm in respect of an Assurance Engagement creates self-interest and advocacy threats that cannot be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of any safeguard. Accordingly, a Firm should not enter into any fee arrangement for an Assurance Engagement under which the amount of the fee is contingent on the result of the assurance work or on items that are the subject matter information of the Assurance Engagement.

290.212 A Contingent Fee charged by a Firm in respect of a non-assurance service provided to an Assurance Client may also create self-interest and advocacy threats. If the amount of the fee for a non-assurance engagement was agreed to, or contemplated, during an Assurance Engagement and was contingent on the result of that Assurance Engagement, the threats could not be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of any safeguard. Accordingly, the only acceptable action is not to accept such arrangements. For other types of Contingent Fee arrangements, the significance of the threats created will depend on factors such as:

- The range of possible fee amounts;
- The degree of variability;
- The basis on which the fee is to be determined;
- Whether the outcome or result of the transaction is to be reviewed by an independent third party; and
- The effect of the event or transaction on the Assurance Engagement.

The significance of the threats should be evaluated and, if the threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threats to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- Disclosing to the audit committee, or others charged with governance, the extent and nature of fees charged;
- Review or determination of the final fee by an unrelated third party; or
- Quality and control policies and procedures.

AUST290.212.1 The receipt of commissions or other benefits as a result of the Assurance Engagement poses a risk to Independence that cannot be resolved by safeguards other than the refusal to perform the Engagement.

Gifts and Hospitality

290.213 Accepting gifts or hospitality from an Assurance Client may create self-interest and familiarity threats. When a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team accepts gifts or hospitality, unless the value is Clearly Insignificant, the threats to independence cannot be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of any safeguard. Consequently, a Firm or a member of the Assurance Team should not accept such gifts or hospitality.

Actual or Threatened Litigation

290.214 When litigation takes place, or appears likely, between the Firm or a member of the Assurance Team and the Assurance Client, a self-interest or intimidation threat may be created. The relationship between Client management and the members of the Assurance Team must be characterised by complete candour and full disclosure regarding all aspects of a Client's business operations. The Firm and the Client's management may be placed in adversarial positions by litigation, affecting management's willingness to make complete disclosures and the Firm may face a self-interest threat. The significance of the threat created will depend upon such factors as:

- The materiality of the litigation;
- The nature of the Assurance Engagement; and
- Whether the litigation relates to a prior Assurance Engagement.

Once the significance of the threat has been evaluated the following safeguards should be applied, if necessary, to reduce the threats to an acceptable level:

- (a) Disclosing to the audit committee, or others charged with governance, the extent and nature of the litigation;
- (b) If the litigation involves a member of the Assurance Team, removing that individual from the Assurance Team; or
- (c) Involving an additional professional accountant in the Firm who was not a member of the Assurance Team to review the work done or otherwise advise as necessary.

If such safeguards do not reduce the threat to an appropriate level, the only appropriate action is to withdraw from, or refuse to accept, the Assurance Engagement.

Section 290 Interpretations

These interpretations are directed towards the application of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants to the topics identified below. Those subject to the regulations of other authoritative bodies, such as the US Securities and Exchange Commission, may wish to consult with them for their positions on these matters.

Interpretation 2003-01

The provision of non-assurance services to Assurance Clients

The Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants addresses the issue of the provision of non-assurance services to Assurance Clients in paragraphs 290.158 – 290.205 of the Code inclusive. The Code does not currently include any transitional provisions relating to the requirements set out in these paragraphs however the IFAC Ethics Committee⁵ has concluded that it is appropriate to allow a transitional period of one year, during which existing contracts to provide non-assurance services for Assurance Clients may be completed if additional safeguards are put in place to reduce any threat to Independence to an insignificant level. This transitional period commenced on 31 December 2003 and has now ceased.

Interpretation 2003-02

Rotation for audit clients that are listed entities

The Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants addresses the issue of Engagement Partner rotation for Audit Clients that are Listed Entities in paragraphs 290.154 – 290.157 of the Code.

The paragraphs state that in the audit of a Listed Entity the Lead Engagement Partner, Audit Review Partner (if any) and Engagement Quality Control Reviewer should be rotated after serving in that capacity for a pre-defined period, of no more than five years. They also state that some degree of flexibility in timing of rotation may be necessary in certain circumstances.

In May 2002 a seven year rotation requirement for the Lead Engagement Partner was adopted with application to assurance reports dated on or after 31 December 2003. The implementation (or early adoption) of the May 2002 requirement was accepted as constituting an example of a circumstance in which some degree of flexibility over timing of rotation was acceptable. Consequently, on implementation or early adoption of that rotation requirement, while the length of time the Lead Engagement Partner had served the Audit Client in that capacity would be considered in determining when rotation should occur, the Partner could continue to serve as the Engagement Partner for two additional years from the date of implementation (or early adoption) before rotating off the Engagement. In such circumstances, the additional requirements of paragraph 290.157 to apply equivalent safeguards in order to reduce any threats to an acceptable level should also have been followed.

The Code does not currently include any transitional provisions relating to subsequent amendments to these rotation requirements. In contrast to the May 2002 revisions, the application date of 1 July 2006 for the Code is considered to have provided sufficient time to Members providing audit

⁵ The IFAC Ethics Committee is the former name of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA).

services to Listed Entities to implement the revised rotation requirements and no additional transitional provisions apply.

Interpretation 2005-01

Application of Section 290 to Assurance Engagements that are not Audit Engagements

This interpretation provides guidance on the application of the Independence requirements contained in Section 290 to Assurance Engagements that are not Audit Engagements.

This interpretation focuses on the application issues that are particular to Assurance Engagements that are not Audit Engagements. There are other matters noted in Section 290 that are relevant in the consideration of independence requirements for all Assurance Engagements. For example, paragraph 290.28 states that consideration should be given to any threats the Firm has reason to believe may be created by Network Firms interests and relationships. Similarly, paragraph 290.34 states that for Assurance Clients, that are other than Listed Entity Audit Clients, when the Assurance Team has reason to believe that a Related Entity of such an Assurance Client is relevant to the evaluation of the Firm's Independence of the Client, the Assurance Team should consider that Related Entity when evaluating independence and applying appropriate safeguards. These matters are not specifically addressed in this interpretation.

As explained in The International Framework for Assurance Engagements issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, in an Assurance Engagement, the Member in Public Practice expresses a conclusion designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.

Assertion-based Assurance Engagements

In an assertion-based Assurance Engagement, the evaluation or measurement of the subject matter is performed by the responsible party, and the subject matter information is in the form of an assertion by the responsible party that is made available to the intended users.

In an assertion-based Assurance Engagement, Independence is required from the responsible party, which is responsible for the subject matter information and may be responsible for the subject matter.

In those assertion-based Assurance Engagements where the responsible party is responsible for the subject matter information but not the subject matter, Independence is required from the responsible party. In addition, consideration should be given to any threats the Firm has reason to believe may be created by interests and relationships between a member of the Assurance Team, the Firm, a Network Firm and the party responsible for the subject matter.

Direct Reporting Assurance Engagements

In a direct reporting Assurance Engagement, the Member in Public Practice either directly performs the evaluation or measurement of the subject matter, or obtains a representation from the responsible party that has performed the evaluation or measurement that is not available.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

In a direct reporting Assurance Engagement, Independence is required from the responsible party, which is responsible for the subject matter.

Multiple Responsible Parties

In both assertion-based Assurance Engagements and direct reporting Assurance Engagements there may be several responsible parties. For example, a public accountant in public practice may be asked to provide assurance on the monthly circulation statistics of a number of independently owned newspapers. The assignment could be an assertion based Assurance Engagement where each newspaper measures its circulation and the statistics are presented in an assertion that is available to the intended users. Alternatively, the assignment could be a direct reporting Assurance Engagement, where there is no assertion and there may or may not be a written representation from the newspapers.

In such Engagements, when determining whether it is necessary to apply the provisions in Section 290 to each responsible party, the Firm may take into account whether an interest or relationship between the Firm, or a member of the Assurance Team, and a particular responsible party would create a threat to Independence that is other than Clearly Insignificant in the context of the subject matter information. This will take into account:

- The materiality of the subject matter information (or the subject matter) for which the particular responsible party is responsible; and
- The degree of public interest that is associated with the Engagement.

If the Firm determines that the threat to Independence created by any such relationships with a particular responsible party would be Clearly Insignificant it may not be necessary to apply all of the provisions of this section to that responsible party.

The following example has been developed to demonstrate the application of Section 290. It is assumed that the Client is not also an Audit Client of the Firm, or a Network Firm.

A Firm is engaged to provide assurance on the total proven oil reserves of 10 independent companies. Each company has conducted geographical and engineering surveys to determine their reserves (subject matter). There are established criteria to determine when a reserve may be considered to be proven which the Member in Public Practice determines to be suitable criteria for the engagement.

The proven reserves for each company as at December 31, 20X0 were as follows:

	Proven oil reserves thousands barrels
Company 1	5,200
Company 2	725
Company 3	3,260
Company 4	15,000
Company 5	6,700
Company 6	39,126
Company 7	345
Company 8	175
Company 9	24,135
Company 10	9,635
Total	104,301

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

The Engagement could be structured in differing ways:

Assertion based Engagements

A1 Each company measures its reserves and provides an assertion to the Firm and to intended users.

A2 An entity other than the companies measures the reserves and provides an assertion to the Firm and to intended users.

Direct reporting engagements

D1 Each company measures the reserves and provides the Firm with a written representation that measures its reserves against the established criteria for measuring proven reserves. The representation is not available to the intended users.

D2 The Firm directly measures the reserves of some of the companies.

Application of approach

A1 Each company measures its reserves and provides an assertion to the Firm and to intended users.

There are several responsible parties in this engagement (companies 1-10). When determining whether it is necessary to apply the Independence provisions to all of the companies, the Firm may take into account whether an interest or relationship with a particular company would create a threat to Independence that is other than Clearly Insignificant. This will take into account factors such as:

- The materiality of the company's proven reserves in relation to the total reserves to be reported on; and
- The degree of public interest associated with the Engagement (paragraph 290.33 of the Code).

For example Company 8 accounts for 0.16% of the total reserves, therefore a business relationship or interest with the Company 8 would create less of a threat than a similar relationship with Company 6, which accounts for approximately 37.5% of the reserves.

Having determined those companies to which the Independence requirements apply, the Assurance Team and the Firm are required to be independent of those responsible parties which would be considered to be the Assurance Client (paragraph 290.33 of the Code).

A2 An entity other than the companies measures the reserves and provides an assertion to the Firm and to intended users.

The Firm would be required to be independent of the entity that measures the reserves and provides an assertion to the Firm and to intended users (paragraph 290.30 of the Code). That entity is not responsible for the subject matter and so consideration should be given to any threats the Firm has reason to believe may be created by interests/relationships with the party responsible for the subject matter (paragraph 290.30 of the Code). There are several parties responsible for subject matter in this engagement (companies 1-10) As discussed in example A1 above, the Firm may take into account whether an interest or relationship with a particular company would create a threat to Independence that is other than Clearly Insignificant.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

D1 Each company provides the Firm with a representation that measures its reserves against the established criteria for measuring proven reserves. The representation is not available to the intended users.

There are several responsible parties in this Engagement (companies 1-10). When determining whether it is necessary to apply the Independence provisions to all of the companies, the Firm may take into account whether an interest or relationship with a particular company would create a threat to Independence that is other than Clearly Insignificant. This will take into account factors such as:

- The materiality of the company's proven reserves in relation to the total reserves to be reported on; and
- The degree of public interest associated with the engagement (paragraph 290.33 of the Code).

For example Company 8 accounts for 0.16% of the reserves, therefore a business relationship or interest with the Company 8 would create less of a threat than a similar relationship with Company 6 that accounts for approximately 37.5% of the reserves.

Having determined those companies to which the Independence requirements apply, the Assurance Team and the Firm are required to be independent of those responsible parties which would be considered to be the Assurance Client (paragraph 290.33 of the Code).

D2 The firm directly measures the reserves of some of the companies

The application is the same as in example D1.

Section 290 Appendix

Auditor's Independence declaration (AUST)

In addition to the requirements of paragraph 290.43, the Corporations law requires that, for each financial and half year report, the Lead Engagement Partner must provide a written declaration to the Directors of the company, registered scheme or disclosing entity in the form of one of the following:

- that, to the best of the knowledge and belief of the Lead Engagement Partner there have been no contraventions of:
 - (i) the Independence requirements of the Corporations Act in relation to the audit or review; and
 - (ii) any applicable code of professional conduct in relation to the audit or review;

OR

- that, to the best of the Lead Engagement Partner's knowledge and belief, the only contraventions of (i) and (ii) above have been set out in the declaration.

The following pro-forma may be used as a guide in conjunction with the considerations outlined in this section which should be varied according to individual requirements and circumstances.

Auditor's Independence declaration

To the audit client:

As Lead Engagement partner/auditor for the audit/review of[name of audit client] for the half year/year ended[date], I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, there have been:

- no contraventions of the independence requirements of the Corporations Act in relation to the audit/review; and
- no contraventions of any applicable code of professional conduct in relation to the audit/review.

OR

- As lead engagement partner/auditor for the audit of[name of audit client] for the half year/year ended.....[date], I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the only contraventions of:

the independence requirements of the Corporations Act in relation to the audit/review; and
any applicable code of professional conduct in relation to the audit/review are set out below;

[Name of auditor]
Partner

[Location]

Name of firm

[Date]

Part C: MEMBERS IN BUSINESS

Section 300 Introduction

- 300.1 This Part of the Code illustrates how the conceptual framework contained in Part A is to be applied by Members in Business.
- 300.2 Investors, creditors, employers and other sectors of the business community, as well as governments and the public at large, all may rely on the work of Members in Business. Members in Business may be solely or jointly responsible for the preparation and reporting of financial and other information, which both their employing organisations and third parties may rely on. They may also be responsible for providing effective financial management and competent advice on a variety of business-related matters.
- 300.3 A Member in Business may be a salaried employee, a Partner, a Director (whether executive or non-executive), an owner manager, a volunteer or otherwise working for one or more employing organisations. The legal form of the relationship with the employing organisation, if any, has no bearing on the ethical responsibilities incumbent on the Member in Business.
- 300.4 A Member in Business has a responsibility to further the legitimate aims of their employing organisation. This Part of the Code does not seek to hinder a Member in Business from properly fulfilling that responsibility, but considers circumstances in which conflicts may be created with the absolute duty to comply with the fundamental principles.
- 300.5 A Member in Business often holds a senior position within an organisation. The more senior the position, the greater will be the ability and opportunity to influence events, practices and attitudes. A Member in Business is expected, therefore, to encourage an ethics-based culture in an employing organisation that emphasises the importance that senior management places on ethical behaviour.
- 300.6 The examples presented in the following sections are intended to illustrate how the conceptual framework is to be applied and are not intended to be, nor should they be interpreted as, an exhaustive list of all circumstances experienced by a Member in Business that may create threats to compliance with the principles. Consequently, it is not sufficient for a Member in Business merely to comply with the examples; rather, the framework should be applied to the particular circumstances faced.

Threats and Safeguards

- 300.7 Compliance with the fundamental principles may potentially be threatened by a broad range of circumstances. Many threats fall into the following categories:
- (a) Self-interest;
 - (b) Self-review;
 - (c) Advocacy;
 - (d) Familiarity; and
 - (e) Intimidation.

These threats are discussed further in Part A of the Code.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

- 300.8 Examples of circumstances that may create self-interest threats for a Member in Business include, but are not limited to:
- Financial Interests, loans or guarantees.
 - Incentive compensation arrangements.
 - Inappropriate personal use of corporate assets.
 - Concern over employment security.
 - Commercial pressure from outside the employing organisation.
- 300.9 Circumstances that may create self-review threats include, but are not limited to, business decisions or data being subject to review and justification by the same Member in Business responsible for making those decisions or preparing that data.
- 300.10 When furthering the legitimate goals and objectives of their employing organisations Members in Business may promote the organisation's position, provided any statements made are neither false nor misleading. Such actions generally would not create an advocacy threat.
- 300.11 Examples of circumstances that may create familiarity threats include, but are not limited to:
- A Member in Business in a position to influence financial or nonfinancial reporting or business decisions having an Immediate or Close Family member who is in a position to benefit from that influence.
 - Long association with business contacts influencing business decisions.
 - Acceptance of a gift or preferential treatment, unless the value is Clearly Insignificant.
- 300.12 Examples of circumstances that may create intimidation threats include, but are not limited to:
- Threat of dismissal or replacement of the Member in Business or a Close or Immediate Family member over a disagreement about the application of an accounting principle or the way in which financial information is to be reported.
 - A dominant personality attempting to influence the decision making process, for example with regard to the awarding of contracts or the application of an accounting principle.
- 300.13 Members in Business may also find that specific circumstances give rise to unique threats to compliance with one or more of the fundamental principles. Such unique threats obviously cannot be categorised. In all professional and business relationships, Members in Business should always be on the alert for such circumstances and threats.
- 300.14 Safeguards that may eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level the threats faced by Members in Business fall into two broad categories:
- (a) Safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation; and
 - (b) Safeguards in the work environment.
- 300.15 Examples of safeguards created by the profession, legislation or regulation are detailed in paragraph 100.12 of the Code.

- 300.16 Safeguards in the work environment include, but are not restricted to:
- The employing organisation's systems of corporate oversight or other oversight structures.
 - The employing organisation's ethics and conduct programs.
 - Recruitment procedures in the employing organisation emphasizing the importance of employing high calibre competent staff.
 - Strong internal controls.
 - Appropriate disciplinary processes.
 - Leadership that stresses the importance of ethical behaviour and the expectation that employees will act in an ethical manner.
 - Policies and procedures to implement and monitor the quality of employee performance.
 - Timely communication of the employing organisation's policies and procedures, including any changes to them, to all employees and appropriate training and education on such policies and procedures.
 - Policies and procedures to empower and encourage employees to communicate to senior levels within the employing organisation any ethical issues that concern them without fear of retribution.
 - Consultation with another appropriate Member.
- 300.17 In circumstances where a Member in Business believes that unethical behaviour or actions by others will continue to occur within the employing organisation, they should consider seeking legal advice. In those extreme situations where all available safeguards have been exhausted and it is not possible to reduce the threat to an acceptable level, a Member in Business may conclude that it is appropriate to resign from the employing organisation.

Section 310 Potential Conflicts

- 310.1 A Member in Business has a professional obligation to comply with the fundamental principles. There may be times, however, when their responsibilities to an employing organisation and the professional obligations to comply with the fundamental principles are in conflict. Ordinarily, a Member in Business should support the legitimate and ethical objectives established by the employer and the rules and procedures drawn up in support of those objectives. Nevertheless, where compliance with the fundamental principles is threatened, a Member in Business must consider a response to the circumstances.
- 310.2 As a consequence of responsibilities to an employing organisation, a Member in Business may be under pressure to act or behave in ways that could directly or indirectly threaten compliance with the fundamental principles. Such pressure may be explicit or implicit; it may come from a supervisor, manager, Director or another individual within the employing organisation. A Member in Business may face pressure to:
- Act contrary to law or regulation.
 - Act contrary to technical or professional standards.
 - Facilitate unethical or illegal earnings management strategies.
 - Lie to, or otherwise intentionally mislead (including misleading by remaining silent) others, in particular:
 - The auditors of the employing organisation; or
 - Regulators.
 - Issue, or otherwise be associated with, a financial or non-financial report that materially misrepresents the facts, including statements in connection with, for example:
 - The Financial Statements;
 - Tax compliance;
 - Legal compliance; or
 - Reports required by securities regulators.
- 310.3 The significance of threats arising from such pressures, such as intimidation threats, should be evaluated and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:
- Obtaining advice where appropriate from within the employing organisation, an independent professional advisor or a relevant professional body.
 - The existence of a formal dispute resolution process within the employing organisation.
 - Seeking legal advice.

Section 320 Preparation and Reporting of Information

- 320.1 Members in Business are often involved in the preparation and reporting of information that may either be made public or used by others inside or outside the employing organisation. Such information may include financial or management information, for example, forecasts and budgets, Financial Statements, management discussion and analysis, and the management letter of representation provided to the auditors as part of an audit of financial statements. A Member in Business should prepare or present such information fairly, honestly and in accordance with relevant professional standards so that the information will be understood in its context.
- 320.2 A Member in Business who has responsibility for the preparation or approval of the general purpose Financial Statements of an employing organisation should ensure that those Financial Statements are presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting standards and any professional standards which apply.
- 320.3 A Member in Business should maintain information for which they are responsible in a manner that:
- (a) Describes clearly the true nature of business transactions, assets or liabilities;
 - (b) Classifies and records information in a timely and proper manner; and
 - (c) Represents the facts accurately and completely in all material respects.
- 320.4 Threats to compliance with the fundamental principles, for example self-interest or intimidation threats to objectivity or professional competence and due care, may be created where a Member in Business may be pressured (either externally or by the possibility of personal gain) to become associated with misleading information or to become associated with misleading information through the actions of others.
- 320.5 The significance of such threats will depend on factors such as the source of the pressure and the degree to which the information is, or may be, misleading. The significance of the threats should be evaluated and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include consultation with superiors within the employing organisation, for example, the audit committee or other body responsible for governance, or with a relevant professional body.
- 320.6 Where it is not possible to reduce the threat to an acceptable level, a Member in Business should refuse to remain associated with information they consider is or may be misleading. Should the Member in Business be aware that the issuance of misleading information is either significant or persistent, they should consider informing appropriate authorities in line with the guidance in Section 140 of the Code. The Member in Business may also wish to seek legal advice or resign.

Section 330 Acting with Sufficient Expertise

- 330.1 The fundamental principle of professional competence and due care requires that a Member in Business should only undertake significant tasks for which they have, or can obtain, sufficient specific training or experience. A Member in Business should not intentionally mislead an employer as to the level of expertise or experience possessed, nor should a Member in Business fail to seek appropriate expert advice and assistance when required.
- 330.2 Circumstances that threaten the ability of a Member in Business to perform duties with the appropriate degree of professional competence and due care include:
- Insufficient time for properly performing or completing the relevant duties.
 - Incomplete, restricted or otherwise inadequate information for performing the duties properly.
 - Insufficient experience, training and/or education.
 - Inadequate resources for the proper performance of the duties.
- 330.3 The significance of such threats will depend on factors such as the extent to which the Member in Business is working with others, relative seniority in the business and the level of supervision and review applied to the work. The significance of the threats should be evaluated and, if they are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. Safeguards that may be considered include:
- Obtaining additional advice or training.
 - Ensuring that there is adequate time available for performing the relevant duties.
 - Obtaining assistance from someone with the necessary expertise.
 - Consulting, where appropriate, with:
 - superiors within the employing organisation;
 - independent experts; or
 - a relevant professional body.
- 330.4 Where threats cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level, Members in Business should consider whether to refuse to perform the duties in question. If the Member in Business determines that refusal is appropriate the reasons for doing so should be clearly communicated.

Section 340 Financial Interests

- 340.1 Members in Business may have Financial Interests, or may know of Financial Interests of Immediate or Close Family members, that could, in certain circumstances, give rise to threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. For example, self-interest threats to objectivity or confidentiality may be created through the existence of the motive and opportunity to manipulate price sensitive information in order to gain financially. Examples of circumstances that may create self-interest threats include, but are not limited to situations where the Member in Business or an Immediate or Close Family member:
- Holds a Direct or Indirect Financial Interest in the employing organisation and the value of that Financial Interest could be directly affected by decisions made by the Member in Business;
 - Is eligible for a profit related bonus and the value of that bonus could be directly affected by decisions made by the Member in Business;
 - Holds, directly or indirectly, share options in the employing organisation, the value of which could be directly affected by decisions made by the Member in Business;
 - Holds, directly or indirectly, share options in the employing organisation which are, or will soon be, eligible for conversion; or
 - May qualify for share options in the employing organisation or performance related bonuses if certain targets are achieved.
- 340.2 In evaluating the significance of such a threat, and the appropriate safeguards to be applied to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level, Members in Business must examine the nature of the Financial Interest. This includes an evaluation of the significance of the Financial Interest and whether it is Direct or Indirect. Clearly, what constitutes a significant or valuable stake in an organisation will vary from individual to individual, depending on personal circumstances.
- 340.3 If threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:
- Policies and procedures for a committee independent of management to determine the level or form of remuneration of senior management.
 - Disclosure of all relevant interests, and of any plans to trade in relevant shares to those charged with the governance of the employing organisation, in accordance with any internal policies.
 - Consultation, where appropriate, with superiors within the employing organisation.
 - Consultation, where appropriate, with those charged with the governance of the employing organisation or relevant professional bodies.
 - Internal and external audit procedures.
 - Up-to-date education on ethical issues and the legal restrictions and other regulations around potential insider trading.
- 340.4 A Member in Business should neither manipulate information nor use confidential information for personal gain.

Section 350 Inducements

Receiving Offers

- 350.1 A Member in Business or an Immediate or Close Family member may be offered an inducement. Inducements may take various forms, including gifts, hospitality, preferential treatment and inappropriate appeals to friendship or loyalty.
- 350.2 Offers of inducements may create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles. When a Member in Business or an Immediate or Close Family member is offered an inducement, the situation should be carefully considered. Self interest threats to objectivity or confidentiality are created where an inducement is made in an attempt to unduly influence actions or decisions, encourage illegal or dishonest behaviour or obtain confidential information. Intimidation threats to objectivity or confidentiality are created if such an inducement is accepted and it is followed by threats to make that offer public and damage the reputation of either the Member in Business or an Immediate or Close Family member.
- 350.3 The significance of such threats will depend on the nature, value and intent behind the offer. If a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, would consider the inducement insignificant and not intended to encourage unethical behaviour, then a Member in Business may conclude that the offer is made in the normal course business and may generally conclude that there is no significant threat to compliance with the fundamental principles.
- 350.4 If evaluated threats are other than Clearly Insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. When the threats cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level through the application of safeguards, a Member in Business should not accept the inducement.

As the real or apparent threats to compliance with the fundamental principles do not merely arise from acceptance of an inducement but, sometimes, merely from the fact of the offer having been made, additional safeguards should be adopted. A Member in Business should assess the risk associated with all such offers and consider whether the following actions should be taken:

- (a) Where such offers have been made, immediately inform higher levels of management or those charged with governance of the employing organisation;
- (b) Inform third parties of the offer – for example, a professional body or the employer of the individual who made the offer; a Member in Business should, however, consider seeking legal advice before taking such a step; and
- (c) Advise Immediate or Close Family members of relevant threats and safeguards where they are potentially in positions that might result in offers of inducements, for example as a result of their employment situation; and
- (d) Inform higher levels of management or those charged with governance of the employing organisation where Immediate or Close Family members are employed by competitors or potential suppliers of that organisation.

Making Offers

- 350.5 A Member in Business may be in a situation where they are expected to, or are under other pressure to, offer inducements to subordinate the judgment of another individual or organisation, influence a decision making process or obtain confidential information.
- 350.6 Such pressure may come from within the employing organisation, for example, from a colleague or superior. It may also come from an external individual or organisation suggesting actions or business decisions that would be advantageous to the employing organisation possibly influencing the Member in Business improperly.
- 350.7 A Member in Business should not offer an inducement to improperly influence professional judgment of a third party.
- 350.8 Where the pressure to offer an unethical inducement comes from within the employing organisation, the Member should follow the principles and guidance regarding ethical conflict resolution set out in Part A of this Code.

DEFINITIONS

In this Code the following expressions have the following meanings assigned to them:

Advertising

The communication to the public of information as to the services or skills provided by Members in Public Practice with a view to procuring professional business.

Assurance Client

An entity in respect of which a Firm conducts an Assurance Engagement.

Assurance Engagement

An Engagement in which a conclusion is expressed by a practitioner or Auditor-General or his/her delegate designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.

This would include an Engagement in accordance with the Australian Auditing Standard AUS 108 "Framework for Assurance Engagements" issued by the former Australian Auditing & Assurance Standards Board (AuASB) or in accordance with specific relevant standards for assurance engagements.

Assurance Team

- (a) All professionals participating in the Assurance Engagement; and
- (b) All others within a Firm who can directly influence the outcome of the Assurance Engagement, including:
 - (i) those who recommend the compensation of, or who provide direct supervisory, management or other oversight of the Assurance Engagement Partner in connection with the performance of the Assurance Engagement.
 - (ii) those who provide consultation regarding technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events for the Assurance Engagement; and
 - (iii) those who provide quality control for the Assurance Engagement.

Audit Client

An entity in respect of which a Firm conducts an Audit Engagement. When the Audit Client is a Listed Entity, disclosing entity or registered scheme, Audit Client will always include its Related Entities.

Audit Engagement

An Assurance Engagement to provide a high level of assurance that a financial report is free of material misstatement, such as an Engagement in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards. This includes a statutory audit which is an audit required by legislation or other regulation, and other audits conducted for the purposes of the Corporations Act.

Audit Review Partner

The Partner or other person in the Firm who is responsible for reviewing the conduct of the Audit Engagement and its performance, and for reviewing the auditor's report that is issued on behalf of the Firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body.

This definition does not extend to an auditor who performs merely a technical role in the audit and whose contact with the Audit Client is not material to the day-to-day conduct of the audit as a whole.

Audit Team

- (a) All professionals participating in the Audit Engagement;
 - (b) All others within a Firm who can directly influence the outcome of the Audit Engagement, including:
 - those who recommend the compensation of, or who provide direct supervisory, including audit design and planning, management or other oversight of the Lead Engagement Partner in connection with the performance of the Audit Engagement. This includes those at all successively senior levels above the Lead Engagement Partner through the Firm's chief executive;
 - those who provide consultation regarding technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events for the Audit Engagement; and
 - those who provide quality control for the Audit Engagement.
- and
- (c) All those within a Network Firm who can directly influence the outcome of the Audit Engagement.

Clearly Insignificant

A matter that is deemed to be both trivial and inconsequential.

Clients

Those individuals, firms, entities or organisations to whom services are provided by a Member in Public Practice in respect of Engagements of either a recurring or demand nature.

Close Family

A parent, child or sibling, who is not an Immediate Family member.

Contingent Fee

A fee calculated on a predetermined basis relating to the outcome or result of a transaction or the result of the work performed. A fee that is established by a court or other public authority is not a contingent fee.

Direct Financial Interest

A Financial Interest:

- Owned directly by and under the control of an individual or entity (including those managed on a discretionary basis by others); or
- Beneficially owned through a collective investment vehicle, estate, trust or other intermediary over which the individual or entity has control

Directors

Those charged with the governance of an entity, regardless of their title.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Engagement

An agreement, whether written or otherwise, between a Member in Public Practice and a Client relating to the provision of services by a Member in Public Practice. However, consultations with a prospective Client prior to such agreement are not part of an Engagement.

Engagement Partner

The Partner or other person in the Firm who is responsible for the Engagement and its performance, and for the report that is issued on behalf of the Firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body.

Engagement Quality Control Review

A process designed to provide an objective evaluation, before the report is issued, of the significant judgments the Engagement Team made and the conclusions they reached in formulating the report.

Engagement Quality Control Reviewer

A Partner, other person in the assurance practice, suitably qualified external person, or a team made up of such individuals, with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority to objectively evaluate, before the report is issued, the significant judgments the engagement team made and the conclusions they reached in formulating the report.

Engagement Team

All personnel performing an Engagement, including any experts contracted by the Firm in connection with that Engagement.

Existing Accountant

A professional accountant in public practice currently holding an audit appointment or carrying out accounting, taxation, consulting or similar services for a Client.

Financial Interest

An interest in an equity or other security, debenture, loan or other debt instrument of an entity, including rights and obligations to acquire such an interest and derivatives directly related to such interest.

Financial Statement Audit Client

An entity in respect of which a Firm conducts a Financial Statement Audit Engagement. When the Client is a Listed Entity, Financial Statement Audit Client will always include its Related Entities.

Financial Statement Audit Engagement

A reasonable assurance engagement in which a professional accountant in public practice expresses an opinion whether financial statements are prepared in all material respects in accordance with an identified financial reporting framework, such as an Engagement conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing. This includes a Statutory Audit, which is a financial statement audit required by legislation or other regulation.

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Financial statements

The balance sheets, income statements or profit and loss accounts, statements of changes in financial position (which may be presented in a variety of ways, for example, as a statement of cash flows or a statement of fund flows), notes and other statements and explanatory material which are identified as being part of the financial statements.

Firm definition effective to 30 June 2008

Firm

- (a) A sole practitioner, partnership or corporation of professional accountants;
- (b) An entity that controls such parties;
- (c) An entity controlled by such parties; and
- (d) An Auditor-General's office or department.

Firm definition effective from 1 July 2008

Firm

- (a) A sole practitioner, partnership, corporation or other entity of professional accountants;
- (b) An entity that controls such parties through ownership, management or other means;
- (c) An entity controlled by such parties through ownership, management or other means; or
- (d) An Auditor-General's office or department

Immediate Family

A spouse (or equivalent) or dependant.

Independence

Independence is:

- (a) Independence of mind – the states of mind that permits the provision of an opinion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgment, allowing an individual to act with integrity, and exercise objectivity and professional scepticism.
- (b) Independence in appearance – the avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, including any safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude a Firm's, or a member of the Assurance Team's, integrity, objectivity or professional scepticism had been compromised.

Indirect Financial Interest

A financial interest beneficially owned through a collective investment vehicle, estate, trust or other intermediary over which the individual or entity has no control.

Lead Engagement Partner

In connection with an audit, the Partner or other person in the Firm who is responsible for the Audit Engagement and its performance, and for the auditor's report that is issued on behalf of

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

the Firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body.

Listed Entity

An entity whose shares, stock or debt are quoted or listed on a recognised stock exchange, or are marketed under the regulations of a recognised stock exchange or other equivalent body.

Managerial Employee

An employee who acts in a managerial capacity within the structure of the Firm, including providing oversight, in the provision of services to Clients.

Member

A member of a professional body that has adopted this Code as applicable to their membership, as defined by that professional body.

Member in Business

A Member employed or engaged in an executive or non executive capacity in such areas as commerce, industry, service, the public sector, education, the not for profit sector, regulatory bodies or professional bodies, or a Member contracted by such entities.

Member in Public Practice

A Member, irrespective of functional classification (e.g., audit, tax or consulting) in a Firm that provides Professional Services.

This term is also used to refer to a Firm of members in public practice and means a practice entity and a participant in that practice entity as defined by the applicable professional body.

Network definition effective from 01 July 2008

- Network**⁶ A larger structure:
- (a) That is aimed at co-operation, and
 - (b) That is clearly aimed at profit or cost sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality control policies and procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common brand-name, or a significant part of professional resources.

Network Firm definition effective to 30 June 2008

Network Firm

An entity under common control, ownership or management with the Firm or any entity that a reasonable and informed third party having knowledge of all relevant information would reasonably conclude as being part of the Firm nationally or internationally.

Network Firm definition effective from 01 July 2008

Network Firm

A Firm or entity that belongs to a Network.

⁶This definition is to be read in the context of the guidance provided in paragraphs 290.14-26

Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Office

A distinct sub-group, whether organised on geographical or practice lines.

Officer

An Officer within the meaning of the Corporations Act.

Partner

Any individual with authority to bind the Firm with respect to the performance of an Engagement.

Professional Services

Services requiring accountancy or related skills performed by a professional accountant including accounting, auditing, taxation, management consulting and financial management services.

Related Entity

An entity that has any of the following relationships with the Client:

- (a) An entity that has direct or indirect control over the Client provided the Client is material to such entity;
- (b) An entity with a direct financial interest in the Client provided that such entity has significant influence over the Client and the interest in the Client is material to such entity;
- (c) An entity over which the Client has direct or indirect control;
- (d) An entity in which the Client, or an entity related to the Client under (c) above, has a Direct Financial Interest that gives it significant influence over such entity and the interest is material to the Client and its related entity in (c); and
- (e) An entity which is under common control with the Client (hereinafter a "sister entity") provided the sister entity and the Client are both material to the entity that controls both the Client and sister entity.

OPERATIVE DATE

This Code is operative from 1 July 2006, or as otherwise provided within this Code.

Note: For operative dates of paragraphs changed or added by an amending Standard, see Compilation Details.

CONFORMITY WITH INTERNATIONAL PRONOUNCEMENTS

The amendments to the Code dealing with “Networks” and “Network Firms” conform to the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants Section 290 (Revised)* issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) in July 2006.

Technical Staff comments

Except as noted below, this compiled APES 110 is materially consistent with the IFAC *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) in June 2005 and the Network Firm amendments issued in July 2006. The main differences between APES 110 and the IFAC Code are:

- The addition of an Australian preface in APES 110;
- The addition of paragraphs prefixed as AUST in APES 110;
- The deletion of two IFAC Code paragraphs noted as deleted in APES 110;
- APES 110 generally refers to Members where as the IFAC Code refers to professional accountants;
- Defined terms are indicated with leading capitals in APES 110;
- Section 140.1 (a) in APES 110 refers to a legal duty to disclose; the IFAC Code refers to a professional or legal duty to disclose;
- Section 250 of APES 110 does not include the guidance in the IFAC Code concerning consultation with the relevant professional body if the professional accountant is in doubt whether a proposed form of advertising or marketing is inappropriate;
- The auditor independence requirements in APES 110 are aligned with the Australian Corporations Act;
- Section 290 Application of Framework for Specific Situations in APES 110 generally refers to Audit Clients where as the IFAC Code refers to Financial Statement Audit Clients;
- Section 320.2 of APES 110 additionally states that a Member in Business should ensure compliance with professional standards;
- APES 110 additionally includes the following defined terms; Audit Client, Audit Engagement; Audit Review Partner; Audit Team; Clients; Engagement; Engagement Quality Control Reviewer; Firm; Lead Engagement Partner; Managerial employee; Officer; Partner.