



# APES 320 QUALITY CONTROL FOR FIRMS

(Effective as at XX December 200X)

## CONTENTS

	Paragraphs
Introduction	1-3
Objective	4-6
Definitions	7
Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements	8-11
Elements of a System of Quality Control	12-15
Leadership Responsibilities for Quality within the Firm	16-20
Relevant Ethical Requirements	21-39
Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements	40-48
Human Resources	49-59
Engagement Performance	60-107
Monitoring	108-125
Documentation of the System of Quality Control	126-131
Effective Date	132

**The Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board (APESB) issues the following Standard “Quality Control for Firms”. The Standard contains the basic principles and essential procedures identified in bold type (black letters) which are mandatory, together with related guidance.**

APES 320 conforms with the international standard ISQC 1 “Quality Control for Firms that perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Statements, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements”. In line with the approaches adopted by the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) and the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) when implementing international standards, words have only been changed where there is a need to accommodate the Australian legislation and environment, and to fit within the structure of APES 320. These changes do not affect the substance of the requirements. Where paragraphs of APES 320 have no equivalent in the corresponding international standard, they are denoted with the letters “AUST” before the paragraph number.

**Deleted:** Historical Financial Information

## Background

### Force of law

The Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) has issued revised auditing standards as legislative instruments under the *Corporations Act 2001*, effective for financial reporting periods commencing on or after 1 July 2006. For Corporations Act audits and reviews, those standards will have the force of law. To the extent that those force of law auditing standards make reference to the quality control requirements for firms issued by a professional accounting body, the requirements of APES 320 have the same level of legal enforceability as the explanatory guidance in which such reference is included in respect of Corporations Act audits and reviews. This is so because of the linkages with Auditing Standards ASA 200 *Objective and General Principles Governing an Audit of a Financial Report* and ASA 220 *Quality Control for Audits of Historical Financial Information*.

**Deleted:** The Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia (the Institute) and CPA Australia originally issued quality control standards in May 1982. Institute members were required to comply with APS 4, which was supported by guidance in APS 5. CPA Australia members were required to comply with APS 4/5.

The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) issued International Standard on Quality Control (ISQC) 1 "Quality Control for Firms that perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements" in February 2004.

In July 2005, the Institute and CPA Australia issued a revised APS 5 based on ISQC 1.

Following the establishment of the APESB, APS 5 has now been reissued under the aegis of the APESB as APES 320. This reissue incorporates conforming amendments to ISQC 1 occasioned by the issuing of International Standard on Auditing ISA ... [1]

**Deleted:** ¶

¶ **Transitional arrangements** ¶

¶ Within this Standard, reference is made to the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*. This is a reference to the professional standard to be released by the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board as a replacement for the existing joint Code of Professional Conduct of the Institute and CPA Australia. The new Code, which is currently available in Exposure Draft, is proposed to take effect from 1 July 2006. Until that date, or any such later date on which the new Code actually takes effect, references to the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* within this Standard should be read as references to the existing joint Code of Professional Conduct. References to Section 290 of the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* should similarly be read as references to Professional Statement F.1 of the joint Code of Professional Conduct, and the reference to Section ... [2]

[Give consideration to adding a Scope and Application section]

## Introduction

1. The purpose of this Standard is to establish basic principles and essential procedures and to provide guidance regarding a Firm's responsibilities for its system of quality control. This Standard is to be read in conjunction with Relevant Ethical Requirements. Guidance on the application of this Standard is contained in the Appendix.
2. Additional standards and guidance on the responsibilities of Firm Personnel regarding quality control procedures for specific types of Engagements are set out in the pronouncements of the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. Auditing Standard ASA 220 *Quality Control for Audits of Historical Financial Information*, for example, establishes standards and provides guidance on quality control procedures for audits of historical financial information.
3. The requirements of this Standard are expressed using "shall".

**Deleted:** Parts A and B of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

## Objective

4. **The Firm shall establish and maintain a system of quality control designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that the Firm and its Personnel comply with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements and that reports issued by the Firm or Engagement Partners are appropriate in the circumstances.**
5. A system of quality control consists of policies designed to achieve the objectives set out in paragraph 4 and the procedures necessary to implement and monitor compliance with those policies.
6. **This Standard applies to all Firms of professional accountants in respect of audits and reviews of financial statements, and other assurance and related service Engagements.** The nature and extent of the policies and procedures developed by an individual Firm to comply with this Standard will depend on various factors such as the size and operating characteristics of the Firm, and whether it is part of a Network.

**Deleted:** s

**AUST6.1** The policies and procedures developed by an individual Firm need not be complex or time-consuming to be effective. This Standard describes responsibilities for several different roles and functions within the Firm, including overall quality control and Monitoring. For a small Firm, it may be necessary for one person to perform more than one of these functions. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate to use the services of a Suitably Qualified External Person. When a Firm decides to use such a person, care would be taken to establish the legal responsibilities of the parties and to safeguard Client confidentiality.

**Deleted:** s

**Deleted:** c

## Definitions

7. In this Standard, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:
  - (a) **"Date of Report" – the date selected by the practitioner to date the report;**
  - (b) "Engagement Documentation"– the record of work performed, results obtained, and conclusions the practitioner reached (terms such as "working papers" or "workpapers" are sometimes used);
  - (c) "Engagement Partner" – the Partner or other person in the Firm who is responsible for the Engagement and its performance, and for the report that is issued on behalf of the Firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body;
  - (d) "Engagement Quality Control Review" – a process designed to provide an objective evaluation, on or before the Date of Report, of the significant judgments the Engagement Team made and the conclusions it reached in formulating the report. The Engagement Quality Control Review process is for audits of financial statements of listed entities, and those other Engagements, if any, for which the Firm has determined an Engagement Quality Control Review is required;
  - (e) "Engagement Quality Control Reviewer" – a Partner, other person in the Assurance Practice, Suitably Qualified External Person, or a team made up of such individuals, with

**Deleted:** . The documentation for a specific engagement is assembled in an engagement file

**Deleted:** engagement output

**Deleted:** the r

**Deleted:** is issued

**Deleted:** they

sufficient and appropriate experience and authority to objectively evaluate, before the report is issued, the significant judgments the Engagement Team made and the conclusions it reached in formulating the report;

- (f) "Engagement Team" – all Personnel performing the Engagement, and any individuals engaged by the Firm who perform procedures on the Engagement. This excludes external experts engaged by the Firm or Network Firm;
- (g) "Firm" means:
  - A sole practitioner, partnership, corporation or other entity of professional accountants;
  - An entity that controls such parties;
  - An entity controlled by such parties; or
  - An Auditor-General's office or department
- (h) "Inspection" – in relation to completed Engagements, procedures designed to provide evidence of compliance by Engagement Teams with the Firm's quality control policies and procedures;
- (i) "Listed Entity" – an entity whose shares, stock or debt are quoted or listed on a recognised stock exchange, or are marketed under the regulations of a recognised stock exchange or other equivalent body;
- (j) "Monitoring" – a process comprising an ongoing consideration and evaluation of the Firm's system of quality control, including a periodic inspection of a selection of completed Engagements, designed to provide the Firm with Reasonable Assurance that its system of quality control is operating effectively;
- (k) "Network Firm" or "Network Assurance Practice" – a Firm or entity that belongs to a Network.
- (l) "Network" – a larger structure:
  - (i) that is aimed at cooperation; and
  - (ii) that is clearly aimed at profit or cost-sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality control policies and procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common brand-name, or a significant part of professional resources;
- (m) "Partner" – any individual with authority to bind the Firm with respect to the performance of a professional services Engagement;
- (n) "Personnel" – Partners and Staff;
- (o) "Professional Standards" – all standards issued by the Accounting Professional & Ethical Standards Board and all professional and ethical requirements of the applicable professional body;
- (p) "Reasonable Assurance" – in the context of this Standard, a high, but not absolute, level of assurance;
- (q) "Relevant Ethical Requirements" – ethical requirements to which an Engagement Team and Engagement Quality Control Reviewer are subject, which ordinarily comprise Parts A and B of the Code.
- (r) "Staff" – professionals, other than Partners, including any experts the Firm employs; and
- (s) "Suitably Qualified External Person" – an individual outside the Firm with the competence and capabilities to act as an Engagement Partner, for example a Partner of another Assurance Practice, or an employee (with appropriate experience) of either a professional accountancy body whose members may perform audits and reviews of historical financial information, or other assurance or related services Engagements, or of an organisation that provides relevant quality control services.

- Deleted: they
- Deleted: an
- Deleted: including
- Deleted: experts contracted
- Deleted: in connection with that
- Deleted: -any entity where one or more members holds or are required to hold a Certificate of Public Practice in accordance with the Regulations of the Institute of CPA Australia –
- Deleted: and
- Formatted: English (Australia)
- Formatted: English (Australia)
- Deleted: enable
- Deleted: to obtain
- Deleted: an entity under common control, ownership or management with the Firm or any entity that a reasonable and informed third party having knowledge of all relevant information would reasonably conclude as being part of the Firm nationally or internationally;
- Deleted: all professional and ethical requirements of the Institute and CPA Australia and
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: of Ethics for Professional Accountants together with national requirements that are more restrictive
- Deleted: Assurance Practice
- Deleted: and competence
- Formatted: English (Australia)
- Deleted: a practitioner expresses
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: the Australian Auditing Standard AUS 108 "
- Deleted: "
- Deleted: the former Australian Auditing & Assurance Standards Board of the Australian Accounting Research Foundation, in accordance with specific standards for assurance engagements issued by the Australian
- Deleted: as defined in the Foreword to AUASB Pronouncements, and audits or reviews of a financial report in accordance with AUASB Standards

**AUST7.1** In this Standard, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:

- (a) "Assurance Engagement" – an Engagement in which a conclusion is expressed by a Member in Public Practice designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.  
This includes an Engagement in accordance with Framework for Assurance Engagements issued by Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) or in accordance with specific relevant standards, such as International Standards on Auditing, for Assurance Engagements;
- (b) "Assurance Practice" – the assurance division or section of a Firm, encompassing every Assurance Engagement conducted by the Firm, whether or not required to be conducted by a Registered Company Auditor and whether or not conducted by an individual auditor, an audit Firm or an audit company.

- (c) "Client" – an individual, firm, entity or organization to whom or to which professional services are provided by a Member in Public Practice in respect of Engagements of either a recurring or demand nature.
- (d) "Code" – APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants.
- (e) "Engagement" – an agreement, whether written or otherwise, between a Member in Public Practice and a Client relating to the provision of professional services by a Member in Public Practice. However, consultations with a prospective Client prior to such an agreement are not part of an Engagement.
- (f) "Independence" – means:
  - Independence of mind – the state of mind that permits the provision of an opinion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgment, allowing an individual to act with integrity, and exercise objectivity and professional skepticism; and
  - Independence in appearance – the avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, including any safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude a Firm's, or a member of the Engagement team's, integrity, objectivity or professional skepticism had been compromised.

### Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements

8. Personnel within the Firm responsible for establishing and maintaining the Firm's system of quality control shall have an understanding of the entire text of this Standard, including its application and other explanatory material, to understand its objective and to apply its requirements properly.
9. The Firm shall comply with each requirement of this Standard unless, in the circumstances of the Firm, the requirement is not relevant to the services provided in respect of audits and reviews of financial statements, and other assurance and related service Engagements.
10. This Standard does not call for compliance with requirements that are not relevant, for example, in the circumstances of a sole practitioner with no Staff. Requirements in this Standard such as those for policies and procedures for the assignment of appropriate Personnel to the Engagement Team (see paragraph 58), for review responsibilities (see paragraph 65), and for annual communication of the results of Monitoring to Engagement Partners within the Firm (see paragraph 119), are not relevant in the absence of Staff.
11. The requirements are designed to enable the Firm to achieve the objective stated in this Standard. The proper application of the requirements is therefore expected to provide a sufficient basis for the achievement of the objective. However, because circumstances vary widely and all such circumstances cannot be anticipated, the Firm shall consider whether there are particular matters or circumstances that require the Firm to establish policies and procedures in addition to those required by this Standard to meet the stated objective.

### Elements of a System of Quality Control

12. The Firm shall establish and maintain a system of quality control that includes policies and procedures that address each of the following elements:
  - (a) Leadership responsibilities for quality within the Firm.
  - (b) Relevant Ethical Requirements.
  - (c) Acceptance and continuance of Client relationships and specific Engagements.
  - (d) Human resources.
  - (e) Engagement performance.
  - (f) Monitoring.
13. The Firm shall document its policies and procedures, and communicate them to the Firm's Personnel.
14. In general, communication of quality control policies and procedures to Firm Personnel includes a description of the quality control policies and procedures and the objectives they are designed

Deleted: 's

Deleted: shall

Deleted: ing

Deleted: r

Deleted: quality control

Deleted: shall be documented

Deleted: d

Deleted: Such

Deleted: describes the

to achieve, and the message that each individual has a personal responsibility for quality and is expected to comply with these policies and procedures. Encouraging Firm Personnel to communicate their views or concerns on quality control matters, recognises the importance of obtaining feedback on the Firm's system of quality control.

**Deleted:** includes

**Deleted:** In addition, the Firm recognises the importance of obtaining feedback on its quality control system from its Personnel. Therefore, the Firm encourages its

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms

- 15. Documentation and communication of policies and procedures for smaller Firms may be less formal and extensive than for larger Firms.

**Leadership Responsibilities for Quality within the Firm**

- 16. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to promote an internal culture recognising that quality is essential in performing Engagements. Such policies and procedures shall require the Firm's chief executive officer (or equivalent) or, if appropriate, the Firm's managing board of Partners (or equivalent), to assume ultimate responsibility for the Firm's system of quality control.**

**Deleted:** based on the recognition

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** Partner(s), or for larger Firms the

- 17. The Firm's leadership and the examples it sets significantly influence the internal culture of the Firm. The promotion of a quality-oriented internal culture depends on clear, consistent and frequent actions and messages from all levels of the Firm's management that emphasise the Firm's quality control policies and procedures, and the requirement to:
  - (a) Perform work that complies with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements; and
  - (b) Issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances.

**Deleted:** ing

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

Such actions and messages encourage a culture that recognises and rewards high quality work. These actions and messages may be communicated by, but are not limited to, training seminars, meetings, formal or informal dialogue, mission statements, newsletters, or briefing memoranda. They may be incorporated in the Firm's internal documentation and training materials, and in Partner and Staff appraisal procedures such that they will support and reinforce the Firm's view on the importance of quality and how, practically, it is to be achieved.

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** y

**Deleted:** are

- 18. Of particular importance in promoting an internal culture based on quality is the need for the Firm's leadership to recognise that the Firm's business strategy is subject to the overriding requirement for the Firm to achieve quality in all the Engagements that the Firm performs. Promoting such an internal culture includes:
  - (a) Establishment of policies and procedures that address performance evaluation, compensation, and promotion (including incentive systems) with regard to its Personnel, in order to demonstrate the Firm's overriding commitment to quality;
  - (b) Assignment of management responsibilities so that commercial considerations do not override the quality of work performed; and
  - (c) Provision of sufficient resources for the development, documentation and support of its quality control policies and procedures.

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** Accordingly

**Deleted:** (a) . The Firm assigns its management responsibilities so that commercial considerations do not override the quality of work performed; ¶

**Deleted:** b

**Deleted:** The Firm's

**Deleted:** ing

**Deleted:** are designed

**Deleted:** and

**Deleted:** The Firm devotes

**Deleted:** A

**Deleted:** system

**Deleted:** shall have

- 19. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures such that any person or persons assigned operational responsibility for the Firm's system of quality control by the Firm's chief executive officer or managing board of Partners has sufficient and appropriate experience and ability, and the necessary authority, to assume that responsibility.**

- 20. Sufficient and appropriate experience and ability enables the responsible person or persons responsible for the Firm's system of quality control to identify and understand quality control issues and to develop appropriate policies and procedures. Necessary authority enables the person or persons to implement those policies and procedures.

**Relevant Ethical Requirements**

- 21. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that the Firm and its Personnel comply with Relevant Ethical Requirements.**

22. Ethical requirements are contained in the Professional Standards. The Code establishes the fundamental principles of professional ethics which include:
- (a) Integrity;
  - (b) Objectivity;
  - (c) Professional competence and due care;
  - (d) Confidentiality; and
  - (e) Professional behaviour.

Deleted: standards

23. Part B of the Code illustrates how the conceptual framework is to be applied in specific situations. It provides examples of safeguards that may be appropriate to address threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and also provides examples of situations where safeguards are not available to address the threats.

Deleted: Section 290

Deleted: of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Deleted: includes a

24. The fundamental principles are reinforced in particular by:

- The leadership of the Firm;
- Education and training;
- Monitoring; and
- A process for dealing with non-compliance.

Deleted: approach to independence that takes into account threats to independence, accepted safeguards and the public interest

Deleted: The Firm's policies and procedures emphasise t

Deleted: , which

Definition of "Firm", "Network" and "Network Firms"

25. The definitions of "Firm", "Network" or "Network Firm" are contained in paragraph 7. The Code also provides guidance in relation to the terms "Network" and "Network Firms". In complying with the requirements in paragraphs 21, 26–28, 31 and 33, the definitions of "Firm", "Network" and "Network Firms" used in the Relevant Ethical Requirements apply in so far as is necessary to interpret those ethical requirements.

Deleted: in RER may differ from those set out in this Standard. For example,

**Independence**

26. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that the Firm, its Personnel and, where applicable, others subject to Independence requirements (including Network Firm Personnel) maintain Independence where required by Relevant Ethical Requirements. Such policies and procedures shall enable the Firm to:
- (a) Communicate its Independence requirements to its Personnel and, where applicable, others subject to them; and
  - (b) Identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that create threats to Independence, and to take appropriate action to eliminate those threats or reduce them to an acceptable level by applying safeguards, or, if considered appropriate, to withdraw from the Engagement, where withdrawal is permitted by law or regulation.

Deleted: experts contracted by the Firm and

Deleted: the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Deleted: should

**Assurance Practices only**

27. Such policies and procedures shall require:
- (a) Engagement Partners to provide the Assurance Practice with relevant information about Client Engagements, including the scope of services, to enable the Assurance Practice to evaluate the overall impact, if any, on Independence requirements;
  - (b) Personnel to promptly notify the Assurance Practice of circumstances and relationships that create a threat to Independence so that appropriate action can be taken; and
  - (c) The accumulation and communication of relevant information to appropriate Personnel so that:
    - (i) The Assurance Practice and its Personnel can readily determine whether they satisfy Independence requirements;
    - (ii) The Assurance Practice can maintain and update its records relating to Independence; and
    - (iii) The Assurance Practice can take appropriate action regarding identified

Formatted: English (Australia)

threats to Independence that are not at an acceptable level.

28. The **Assurance Practice** shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that it is notified of breaches of Independence requirements, and to enable it to take appropriate actions to resolve such situations. The policies and procedures shall include requirements for:
- (a) Personnel to promptly notify the **Assurance Practice** of Independence breaches of which they become aware;
  - (b) The **Assurance Practice** to promptly communicate identified breaches of these policies and procedures to:
    - (i) The Engagement Partner who, with the **Assurance Practice**, needs to address the breach; and
    - (ii) Other relevant Personnel in the **Assurance Practice** and, where appropriate, the Network, and those subject to the Independence requirements who need to take appropriate action; and
  - (c) Prompt communication to the **Assurance Practice**, if necessary, by the Engagement Partner and the other individuals referred to in subparagraph (b)(ii) of the actions taken to resolve the matter, so that the **Assurance Practice** can determine whether it should take further action.

Deleted: All who are subject to independence requirements

29. Comprehensive guidance on threats to Independence and safeguards, including application to specific situations, is set out in the Code. The Code also requires threats to Independence that are not clearly insignificant to be documented and include a description of the threats identified and the safeguards applied to eliminate or reduce the threats to an acceptable level.

Deleted: Section 290 of  
 Deleted: of Ethics for Professional Accountants  
 Deleted: Section 290

30. An Assurance Practice receiving notice of a breach of Independence policies and procedures promptly communicates relevant information to Engagement Partners, others in the Assurance Practice as appropriate and, where applicable, experts contracted by the Assurance Practice and Network Assurance Practice Personnel, for appropriate action. Appropriate action by the Assurance Practice and the relevant Engagement Partner includes applying appropriate safeguards to eliminate the threats to Independence or to reduce them to an acceptable level, or withdrawing from the Engagement. In addition, the Assurance Practice provides Independence education to Personnel who are required to be independent.

Deleted: Section 290 of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

31. At least annually, the **Assurance Practice** shall obtain written confirmation of compliance with its policies and procedures on Independence from all **Assurance Practice Personnel** required to be independent by relevant ethical requirements.

Deleted: Section 290 of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants discusses the familiarity threat that may be created by using the same senior Personnel on an Assurance Engagement over a long period of time and the safeguards that might be appropriate to address such a threat. Accordingly, t

32. Written confirmation may be in paper or electronic form. By obtaining confirmation and taking appropriate action on information indicating non-compliance, the **Assurance Practice** demonstrates the importance that it attaches to Independence and makes the issue current for, and visible to, its Personnel.

Deleted: all

33. The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures:

Deleted: requiring

- (a) Setting out criteria for determining the need for safeguards to reduce the familiarity threat to an acceptable level when using the same senior Personnel on an Assurance Engagement over a long period of time; and
- (b) Requiring, for audits of financial statements of Listed Entities, the rotation of the Engagement Partner and the individuals responsible for Engagement Quality Control Review, and where applicable, others subject to rotation requirements, after a specified period in compliance with relevant ethical requirements.

Deleted: Section 290 of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

Deleted: s

Deleted: pro

Deleted: ed

34. The Code discusses the familiarity threat that may be created by using the same senior Personnel on an Assurance Engagement over a long period of time and the safeguards that might be appropriate to address such threats.

Deleted: may create a familiarity threat or otherwise impair the quality of performance of the engagement. Therefore, the Assurance Practice establishes criteria for d

35. Determining appropriate criteria to address familiarity threat may include, such matters as: (a) the nature of the Engagement, including the extent to which it involves a matter of public interest; and (b) the length of service of the senior Personnel on the Engagement.

Deleted: determining the need for safeguards to address this threat. In d

Deleted: , the Assurance Practice considers

Deleted: ,

Examples of safeguards include rotating the senior Personnel or requiring an Engagement Quality Control Review.

36. ~~The Code recognises that the familiarity threat is particularly relevant in the context of financial statement audits of Listed Entities. For these audits, the Code requires the rotation of the key audit Partner after a pre-defined period, normally no more than five years, and provides related standards and guidance.~~

**Deleted:** Section 290 of t

**Deleted:** of Ethics for Professional Accountants

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** Section 290

**Deleted:** Engagement

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

Considerations specific to public sector organisations

37. ~~Statutory measures may provide safeguards for the Independence of public sector auditors. However, threats to Independence may still exist regardless of any statutory measures designed to protect it. Therefore, in establishing the policies and procedures required by paragraphs 21, 26–28, 31 and 33, the public sector auditor may have regard to the public sector mandate and address any threats to Independence in that context.~~

38. ~~Listed entities as referred to in paragraphs 33 and 36 are not common in the public sector. However, there may be other public sector entities that are significant due to size, complexity or public interest aspects, and which consequently have a wide range of stakeholders. Therefore, there may be instances when a Firm determines, based on its quality control policies and procedures, that a public sector entity is significant for the purposes of expanded quality control procedures.~~

39. ~~In the public sector, legislation may establish the appointments and terms of office of the auditor with Engagement Partner responsibility. As a result, it may not be possible to comply strictly with the Engagement Partner rotation requirements envisaged for listed entities. Nonetheless, for public sector entities considered significant, as noted in paragraph 38, it may be in the public interest for public sector audit organizations to establish policies and procedures to promote compliance with the spirit of Engagement Partner responsibility.~~

**Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements**

40. ~~The Firm shall establish policies and procedures for the acceptance and continuance of Client relationships and specific Engagements, designed to provide the Firm with Reasonable Assurance that it will only undertake or continue relationships and Engagements where the Firm:~~

**Deleted:** it

~~(a) Is competent to perform the Engagement and has the capabilities, time and resources to do so;~~

**Deleted:** it

**Deleted:** (a) . Has considered the integrity of the client and does not have information that would lead it to conclude that the client lacks integrity; ¶

~~(b) Can comply with ethical requirements; and~~

**Deleted:** b

~~(c) Has considered the integrity of the Client and does not have information that would lead it to conclude that the Client lacks integrity.~~

**Deleted:** and

41. ~~Consideration of whether the Firm has the competence, capabilities, and resources to undertake a new Engagement from a new or an existing Client involves reviewing the specific requirements of the Engagement and existing Partner and Staff profiles at all relevant levels, and including whether:~~

**Deleted:** .

**Deleted:** In considering

**Deleted:** capabilities,

**Deleted:** time

**Deleted:** , the Firm reviews

**Deleted:** . Matters the Firm considers include

- Firm Personnel have knowledge of relevant industries or subject matters;
- Firm Personnel have experience with relevant regulatory or reporting requirements, or the ability to gain the necessary skills and knowledge effectively;
- The Firm has sufficient Personnel with the necessary capabilities and competence;
- Experts are available, if needed;
- Individuals meeting the criteria and eligibility requirements to perform Engagement Quality Control Review are available, where applicable; and
- The Firm is able to complete the Engagement within the reporting deadline.

42. ~~With regard to the integrity of a Client, matters to consider include, for example:~~

**Deleted:** that the Firm

- The identity and business reputation of the Client's principal owners, key management, related parties and those charged with its governance.

**Deleted:** s

- The nature of the Client’s operations, including its business practices.
- Information concerning the attitude of the Client’s principal owners, key management and those charged with its governance towards such matters as aggressive interpretation of accounting standards and the internal control environment.
- Whether the Client is aggressively concerned with maintaining the Firm’s fees as low as possible.
- Indications of an inappropriate limitation in the scope of work.
- Indications that the Client might be involved in money laundering or other criminal activities.
- The reasons for the proposed appointment of the Firm and non-reappointment of the previous Firm.
- The identity and business reputation of related parties.

The extent of knowledge a Firm will have regarding the integrity of a Client will generally grow within the context of an ongoing relationship with that Client.

43. Sources of information on such matters obtained by the Firm may include the following:
- Communications with existing or previous providers of professional accountancy services to the Client in accordance with Relevant Ethical Requirements, and discussions with other third parties.
  - Inquiry of other Firm Personnel or third parties such as bankers, legal counsel and industry peers.
  - Background searches of relevant databases.

Deleted: I  
 Deleted: that  
 Deleted: obtains  
 Deleted: come from, for example  
 Deleted: Section 210 of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants

44. Such policies and procedures shall require:
- The Firm to obtain such information as it considers necessary in the circumstances before accepting an Engagement with a new Client, when deciding whether to continue an existing Engagement, and when considering acceptance of a new Engagement with an existing Client.
  - If a potential conflict of interest is identified in accepting an Engagement from a new or an existing Client, the Firm to determine whether it is appropriate to accept the Engagement.
  - If issues have been identified, and the Firm decides to accept or continue the Client relationship or a specific Engagement, the Firm to document how the issues were resolved.

Deleted: shall  
 Deleted:  
 Deleted: Where issues have been  
 Deleted: and  
 Deleted: decides  
 Deleted: accept or continue the client relationship or a specific  
 Deleted: ,

45. Deciding whether to continue a Client relationship includes consideration of significant matters that have arisen during the current or previous Engagements, and their implications for continuing the relationship. For example, a Client may have started to expand its business operations into an area where the Firm does not possess the necessary expertise.

Deleted: it  
 Deleted: shall  
 Deleted: knowledge or

46. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures on continuing an Engagement and the Client relationship, addressing the circumstances where the Firm obtains information that would have caused it to decline the Engagement had that information been available earlier. Such policies and procedures shall include consideration of:
- The professional and legal responsibilities that apply to the circumstances, including whether there is a requirement for the Firm to report to the person or persons who made the appointment or, in some cases, to regulatory authorities; and
  - The possibility of withdrawing from the Engagement or from both the Engagement and the Client relationship.

Deleted: Where t  
 Deleted: obtains information that would have caused it to decline an engagement if that information had been available earlier,  
 Deleted: the continuance of the  
 Deleted: <#>The Firm also considers whether accepting an engagement from a new or an existing client may give rise to an actual or perceived conflict of interest. Where a potential conflict is identified, the Firm considers whether it is appropriate to accept the engagement. ¶  
 <#>¶

47. Policies and procedures on withdrawal from an Engagement or from both the Engagement and the Client relationship address issues that include the following:
- Discussing with the appropriate level of the Client’s management and those charged with its governance the appropriate action that the Firm might take based on the relevant facts and circumstances.

Deleted: regarding

- If the Firm determines that it is appropriate to withdraw, discussing with the appropriate level of the Client's management and those charged with its governance withdrawal from the Engagement or from both the Engagement and the Client relationship, and the reasons for the withdrawal.
- Considering whether there is a professional, regulatory or legal requirement for the Firm to remain in place, or for the Firm to report the withdrawal from the Engagement, or from both the Engagement and the Client relationship, together with the reasons for the withdrawal, to regulatory authorities.
- Documenting significant issues, consultations, conclusions and the basis for the conclusions.

Considerations specific to public sector audit organisations

48. In the public sector, auditors may be appointed in accordance with statutory procedures. Accordingly, certain of the requirements and considerations regarding the acceptance and continuance of Client relationships and specific Engagements as set out paragraphs 40-47 may not be relevant. Nonetheless, establishing policies and procedures as described may provide valuable information to public sector auditors in performing risk assessments and in carrying out reporting responsibilities.

**Human Resources**

49. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that it has sufficient Personnel with the competence, capabilities, and commitment to ethical principles necessary to:

- (a) perform Engagements in accordance with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements; and
- (b) enable the Firm or Engagement Partners to issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances.

Deleted: capabilities,

Deleted: its

Deleted: to

50. Personnel issues relevant to the Firm's policies and procedures related to human resources include, for example:

- Recruitment;
- Performance evaluation;
- Capabilities, including time to perform assignments;
- Competence;
- Career development;
- Promotion;
- Compensation; and
- The estimation of Personnel needs.

Deleted: Such policies and procedures address the following

Effective recruitment processes and procedures help the Firm select individuals of integrity with who have the capacity to develop the competence and capabilities necessary to perform the Firm's work and possess the appropriate characteristics to enable them to perform competently.

51. Competence can be developed through a variety of methods, including the following:

- Professional education.
- Continuing professional development, including training.
- Work experience.
- Coaching by more experienced Staff, for example, other members of the Engagement Team.
- Independence education for Personnel who are required to be independent.

Deleted: Addressing these issues enables the Firm to ascertain the number and characteristics of the individuals required for the Firm's engagements.

Deleted: The Firm's recruitment processes include

Deleted: that

Deleted: and competence

Deleted: Capabilities and c

Deleted: are

52. The continuing competence of the Firm's Personnel depends to a significant extent on an appropriate level of continuing professional development so that Personnel maintain their knowledge and capabilities. Effective policies and procedures emphasise the need for continuing training for all levels of Firm Personnel, and provide the necessary training resources and assistance to enable Personnel to develop and maintain the required competence and capabilities.

Deleted: The Firm therefore emphasises in its

Formatted: English (Australia)

Deleted: s

Deleted: and competence

53. The Firm may use a suitably qualified external person, for example, when internal technical and training resources are unavailable
54. Performance evaluation, compensation and promotion procedures give due recognition and reward to the development and maintenance of competence and commitment to ethical principles. Steps a Firm may take in developing and maintaining competence and commitment to ethical principles include:
- (a) Making Personnel aware of the Firm's expectations regarding performance and ethical principles;
  - (b) Providing Personnel with evaluation of, and counseling on, performance, progress and career development; and
  - (c) Helping Personnel understand that advancement to positions of greater responsibility depends, among other things, upon performance quality and adherence to ethical principles, and that failure to comply with the Firm's policies and procedures may result in disciplinary action.

**Deleted:** Where internal technical and training resources are unavailable, or for any other reason, t

**Deleted:** for that purpose

**Deleted:** .

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** The Firm's p

**Deleted:** In particular, the

**Deleted:** es

**Deleted:** es

**Deleted:** s

Considerations specific to smaller Firms

55. The size and circumstances of the Firm will influence the structure of the Firm's performance evaluation process. Smaller Firms, in particular, may employ less formal methods of evaluating the performance of their Personnel.

**Assignment of Engagement Teams**

56. **The Firm shall assign responsibility for each Engagement to an Engagement Partner and shall establish policies and procedures requiring that:**
- (a) **The identity and role of the Engagement Partner are communicated to key members of Client management and those charged with governance;**
  - (b) **The Engagement Partner has the appropriate competence, capabilities, and authority to perform the role; and**
  - (c) **The responsibilities of the Engagement Partner are clearly defined and communicated to that Partner.**

**Deleted:** . The Firm

**Deleted:** competence,

**Deleted:** and time

57. Policies and procedures may include systems to monitor the workload and availability of Engagement Partners so as to enable these individuals to have sufficient time to adequately discharge their responsibilities.

58. **The Firm shall also establish policies and procedures to assign appropriate Personnel with the necessary competence, and capabilities to:**
- (a) **perform Engagements in accordance with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements; and**
  - (b) **enable the Firm or Engagement Partners to issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances.**

**Deleted:** Staff

**Deleted:** , competence and time

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** to

59. The Firm's assignment of Engagement Teams, and the determination of the level of supervision required, include for example, consideration of the Engagement Team's:
- Understanding of, and practical experience with, Engagements of a similar nature and complexity through appropriate training and participation;
  - Understanding of Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements;
  - Technical knowledge and expertise, including knowledge of relevant information technology;
  - Knowledge of relevant industries in which the Clients operate;
  - Ability to apply professional judgment; and
  - An understanding of the Firm's quality control policies and procedures.

**Deleted:** establishes procedures to assess its Staff's capabilities and competence. The capabilities and competence considered when assigning

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** in determining

**Deleted:** the following

**Deleted:** An u

**Deleted:** An u

**Deleted:** Appropriate t

## Engagement Performance

60. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that Engagements are performed in accordance with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements, and that the Firm or the Engagement Partner issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances. Such policies and procedures shall include:

- (a) Matters relevant to promoting consistency in the quality of Engagement performance;
- (b) Supervision responsibilities; and
- (c) Review responsibilities.

61. The Firm promotes consistency in the quality of Engagement performance through its policies and procedures. This is often accomplished through written or electronic manuals, software tools or other forms of standardised documentation, and industry or subject matter-specific guidance materials. Matters addressed may include:

- How Engagement Teams are briefed on the Engagement to obtain an understanding of the objectives of their work;
- Processes for complying with applicable Engagement standards;
- Processes of Engagement supervision, Staff training and coaching;
- Methods of reviewing the work performed, the significant judgments made and the form of report being issued;
- Appropriate documentation of the work performed and of the timing and extent of the review; and
- Processes to keep all policies and procedures current.

**Deleted:** Through its policies and procedures, t

**Deleted:** seeks to establish

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** the following

**Deleted:** individual Staff members, or

**Deleted:** where applicable,

**Deleted:** staff

62. Appropriate teamwork and training assist less experienced members of the Engagement Team to clearly understand the objectives of the assigned work.

63. Engagement supervision includes the following:

- Tracking the progress of the Engagement;
- Considering the competence and capabilities of individual members of the Engagement Team, whether they have sufficient time to carry out their work, whether they understand their instructions and whether the work is being carried out in accordance with the planned approach to the Engagement;
- Addressing significant issues arising during the Engagement, considering their significance and modifying the planned approach appropriately; and
- Identifying matters for consultation or consideration by more experienced Engagement Team members during the Engagement.

**Deleted:** It is important that all members of the Engagement Team understand the objectives of the work they are to perform.

**Deleted:** -

**Deleted:** ing

**Deleted:** are necessary to

**Deleted:** S

**Deleted:** and competence

64. A review consists of consideration of whether:

- (a) The work has been performed in accordance with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements;
- (b) Significant matters have been raised for further consideration;
- (c) Appropriate consultations have taken place and the resulting conclusions have been documented and implemented;
- (d) There is a need to revise the nature, timing and extent of work performed;
- (e) The work performed supports the conclusions reached and is appropriately documented;
- (f) The evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the report; and
- (g) The objectives of the Engagement procedures have been achieved.

**Deleted:** Review responsibilities are determined on the basis that more experienced Engagement Team members, including the Engagement Partner, review work performed by less experienced team members. Reviewers consider whether

65. The Firm's review responsibility policies and procedures shall be determined on the basis that work of less experienced team members is reviewed by more experienced Engagement Team members.

Consultation

66. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that:
- (a) Appropriate consultation takes place on difficult or contentious matters;
  - (b) Sufficient resources are available to enable appropriate consultation to take place;
  - (c) The nature and scope of, and conclusions arising from, such consultations are documented and agreed by both the individual seeking consultation and the individual consulted; and
  - (d) Conclusions resulting from consultations are implemented.

**Deleted:** documented and

67. Consultation includes discussion, at the appropriate professional level, with individuals within or outside the Firm who have specialised expertise.

**Deleted:** within the Firm, or

**Deleted:** , to resolve a difficult or contentious matter

68. Consultation uses appropriate research resources as well as the collective experience and technical expertise of the Firm. Consultation helps to promote quality and improves the application of professional judgment. Appropriate recognition of consultation in the Firm's policies and procedures helps to promote a culture in which consultation is recognised as a strength and encourages Personnel to consult on difficult or contentious matters.

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** The Firm seeks to establish

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

69. Effective consultation on significant technical, ethical and other matters, within the Firm, or where applicable, outside the Firm, can be achieved when those consulted:

**Deleted:** with other professionals requires that those consulted be given all the relevant facts that will enable them to provide informed advice on

- Are given all the relevant facts that will enable them to provide informed advice; and
- Have appropriate knowledge, seniority and experience.

**Deleted:** or

and when conclusions resulting from consultations are appropriately documented and implemented.

**Deleted:** . Consultation procedures require consultation with those having appropriate knowledge, seniority and experience

Considerations specific to smaller Firms

70. A Firm needing to consult externally, for example, a Firm without appropriate internal resources, may take advantage of advisory services provided by:

**Deleted:** (

- Other Firms;
- Professional and regulatory bodies; or
- Commercial organisations that provide relevant quality control services.

**Deleted:** ,

Before contracting for such services, consideration of the competence and capabilities of the external provider helps the Firm to determine whether the external provider is suitably qualified for that purpose.

**Deleted:** ) on significant technical, ethical and other matters, and appropriate documentation and implementation of conclusions resulting from consultations.

**Deleted:** within the Firm,

**Deleted:** (a)

**Deleted:** o

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** (b) p

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** (c) c

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** the Firm

**Deleted:** s

**Deleted:** whether

**Deleted:** The d

Assurance Practices only

71. Documentation of consultations with other professionals that involve difficult or contentious matters is agreed by both the individual seeking consultation and the individual consulted.

The documentation of consultations by the Firm in accordance with policies and procedures developed to comply with paragraph 66(c) and (d) above, is sufficiently complete and detailed to enable an understanding of:

- (a) The issue on which consultation was sought; and
- (b) The results of the consultation, including any decisions taken, the basis for those decisions and how they were implemented.

**Assurance Practices only**

**Engagement Quality Control Review**

72. The **Assurance Practice** shall establish policies and procedures requiring, for appropriate Engagements, an Engagement Quality Control Review that provides an objective evaluation of the significant judgments made by the Engagement Team and the conclusions reached in formulating the report. Such policies and procedures shall:
- (a) Require an Engagement Quality Control Review for all audits of financial statements of listed entities;
  - (b) Set out criteria against which all other audits and reviews of historical financial information, and other assurance and related services Engagements shall be evaluated to determine whether an Engagement Quality Control Review should be performed; and
  - (c) Require an Engagement Quality Control Review for all Engagements meeting the criteria established in compliance with subparagraph (b).
73. Criteria for determining which Engagements other than audits of financial statements of listed entities are to be subject to an Engagement Quality Control Review may include, for example:
- The nature of the Engagement, including the extent to which it involves a matter of public interest.
  - The identification of unusual circumstances or risks in an Engagement or class of Engagements.
  - Whether laws or regulations require an Engagement Quality Control Review.

**Deleted:** that an Assurance Practice considers when

**Deleted:** the following

**Nature, Timing and Extent of the Engagement Quality Control Review**

74. The **Assurance Practice** shall establish policies and procedures setting out the nature, timing and extent of an Engagement Quality Control Review. Such policies and procedures shall require that the Engagement report not be dated until the completion of the Engagement Quality Control Review.
75. The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures to require the Engagement Quality Control Review to include:
- (a) Discussion of significant matters with the Engagement Partner;
  - (b) Review of the financial statements or other subject matter information and the proposed report;
  - (c) Review of selected Engagement Documentation relating to significant judgements the Engagement Team made and the conclusions it reached;
  - (d) Evaluation of the conclusions reached in formulating the report and consideration of whether the proposed report is appropriate.
76. The Engagement report is not dated until the completion of the Engagement Quality Control Review. However, documentation of the Engagement Quality Control Review may be completed after the Date of Report.
77. Conducting the Engagement Quality Control Review in a timely manner at appropriate stages during the Engagement allows significant matters to be promptly resolved to the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer's satisfaction on or before the Date of Report.
78. The extent of the Engagement Quality Control Review may depend, among other things, on the complexity of the Engagement, whether the entity is a Listed Entity, and the risk that the report might not be appropriate in the circumstances. The performance of an Engagement Quality Control Review does not reduce the responsibilities of the Engagement Partner.
79. For audits of financial statements of listed entities, the Firm shall establish policies and procedures to require the Engagement Quality Control Review to also include consideration of the following:

**Deleted:** 's

**Deleted:** before the report is issued

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** the r

**Deleted:** er conducts the review

**Deleted:** so that

**Deleted:** may

**Deleted:** the r

**Deleted:** is issued

**Deleted:** An Engagement Quality Control Review ordinarily involves discussion with the Engagement Partner, a review of the financial statements or other subject matter information and the report, and, in particular, consideration of whether the report is appropriate. It also involves a review of selected working papers relating to the significant judgments the Engagement Team made and the conclusions they reached.

**Deleted:** s

- (a) The Engagement Team's evaluation of the Firm's Independence in relation to the specific Engagement;
- (b) Whether appropriate consultation has taken place on matters involving differences of opinion or other difficult or contentious matters, and the conclusions arising from those consultations; and
- (c) Whether documentation selected for review reflects the work performed in relation to the significant judgements made and supports the conclusions reached.
80. Other matters relevant to evaluating the significant judgements made by the Engagement Team that may be considered in an Engagement Quality Control Review of an audit of financial statements of a Listed Entity include:
- Significant risks identified during the Engagement and the responses to those risks.
  - Judgments made, particularly with respect to materiality and significant risks.
  - The significance and disposition of corrected and uncorrected misstatements identified during the Engagement.
  - The matters to be communicated to management and those charged with governance and, where applicable, other parties such as regulatory bodies.
- These other matters, depending of the circumstances, may also be applicable for Engagement Quality Control Reviews for audits of financial statements of other entities as well as reviews of financial statements and other assurance and related services Engagements.
81. Although not referred to as listed entities, as described in paragraph 38, certain public sector entities may be of sufficient significance to warrant performance of an Engagement Quality Control Review.
- Criteria for the Eligibility of Engagement Quality Control Reviewers**
82. **The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures to address the appointment of Engagement Quality Control Reviewers and establish their eligibility through:**
- (a) **The technical qualifications required to perform the role, including the necessary experience and authority; and**
- (b) **The degree to which an Engagement Quality Control Reviewer can be consulted on the Engagement without compromising the reviewer's objectivity.**
83. What constitutes sufficient and appropriate technical expertise, experience and authority depends on the circumstances of the Engagement. For example, the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer for an audit of the financial statements of a Listed Entity is likely to be an individual with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority to act as an audit Engagement Partner on audits of financial statements of listed entities.
84. The Engagement Partner may consult the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer during the Engagement, for example, to establish that a judgment made by the Engagement Partner will be acceptable to the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer. Such consultation avoids identification of differences of opinion at a late stage of the Engagement and need not compromise the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer's eligibility to perform the role. Where the nature and extent of the consultations become significant, the reviewer's objectivity may be compromised unless care is taken by both the Engagement Team and the reviewer to maintain the reviewer's objectivity. Where this is not possible, another individual within the Assurance Practice or a Suitably Qualified External Person may be appointed to take on the role of either the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer or the person to be consulted on the Engagement.
85. The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures designed to maintain the objectivity of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer.
86. The Assurance Practice is required to establish policies and procedures designed to maintain objectivity of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer. Accordingly, such policies and procedures provide that the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer:

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** for

**Deleted:** s

**Deleted:** entities

**Deleted:** s considering the following

**Deleted:** • The Engagement Team's evaluation of the Assurance Practice's independence in relation to the specific engagement. ¶

**Deleted:** <#> . Whether appropriate consultation has taken place on matters involving differences of opinion or other difficult or contentious matters, and the conclusions arising from those consultations. ¶

**Deleted:** <#> . Whether working papers selected for review reflect the work performed in relation to the significant judgments and support the conclusions reached. ¶

**Deleted:** <#> . The appropriateness of the report to be issued. ¶

**Deleted:** engagements other than

**Deleted:** listed

**Deleted:** may, depending on the circumstances, include some or all of these considerations

**Deleted:** 's

**Deleted:** shall

**Deleted:** The Assurance Practice's policies and procedures on the technical qualifications of Engagement Quality Control Reviewers address the technical expertise, experience and authority necessary to perform the role.

**Deleted:** In addition

**Deleted:** , however, care is taken by both the Engagement Team and the reviewer to maintain

**Deleted:** is

**Deleted:** The Assurance Practice's policies provide for the replacement of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer where the ability to perform an objective review may be impaired.

**Deleted:** 's

**Deleted:** are

**Deleted:** the

**Deleted:** For example,

- (a) Where practicable, is not selected by the Engagement Partner;
- (b) Does not otherwise participate in the Engagement during the period of review;
- (c) Does not make decisions for the Engagement Team; and
- (d) Is not subject to other considerations that would threaten the reviewer's objectivity.

Considerations specific to smaller Firms

87. It may not be practicable, in the case of Firms with few Partners, for the Engagement Partner not to be involved in selecting the Engagement Quality Control Partner. Suitably Qualified External Persons may be contracted where sole practitioners or small Assurance Practices identify Engagements requiring Engagement Quality Control Reviews. Alternatively, some sole practitioners or small Assurance Practices may wish to use other Assurance Practices to facilitate Engagement Quality Control Reviews. Where the Assurance Practice contracts Suitably Qualified External Persons, the Assurance Practice follows the requirements and guidance in paragraphs 82-85 and 89.

Considerations specific to public sector audit organisations

88. In the public sector, a statutorily appointed auditor (for example, an Auditor General, or other Suitably Qualified Person appointed on behalf of the Auditor General) may act in a role equivalent to that of Engagement Partner with overall responsibility for public sector audits. In such circumstances, where applicable, the selection of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer includes consideration of the need for Independence from the audited entity and the ability of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer to provide an objective evaluation.

89. The Assurance Practice's policies and procedures shall provide for the replacement of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer where the reviewer's ability to perform an objective review may be impaired.

Documentation of the Engagement Quality Control Review

90. The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures on documentation of the Engagement Quality Control Review which require documentation that:

- (a) The procedures required by the Assurance Practice's policies on Engagement Quality Control Review have been performed;
- (b) The Engagement Quality Control Review has been completed on or before the Date of Report; and
- (c) The reviewer is not aware of any unresolved matters that would cause the reviewer to believe that the significant judgments the Engagement Team made and the conclusions it reached were not appropriate.

Differences of Opinion

91. The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures for dealing with and resolving differences of opinion within the Engagement Team, with those consulted and, where applicable, between the Engagement Partner and the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer.

92. Such policies and procedures shall require that:

- (a) Conclusions reached be documented and implemented; and
- (b) The report not be dated until the matter is resolved.

93. Effective procedures encourage identification of differences of opinion at an early stage, provide clear guidelines as to the successive steps to be taken thereafter, and require documentation regarding the resolution of the differences and the implementation of the conclusions reached.

94. Procedures to resolve such differences, may include consulting with another practitioner or Assurance Practice, or a professional or regulatory body.

**Deleted:** ¶  
 <#>Where the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer makes recommendations that the Engagement Partner does not accept and the matter is not resolved to the reviewer's satisfaction, the report is not issued until the matter is resolved by following the Assurance Practice's procedures for dealing with differences of opinion. ¶

¶  
 <#>The Assurance Practice shall establish policies and procedures setting out: ¶  
 (a) The nature, timing and extent of an Engagement Quality Control Review;¶  
 (b) Criteria for the eligibility of Engagement Quality Control Reviewers; and¶  
 (c) Documentation requirements for an Engagement Quality Control Review.¶

**Deleted:** shall

**Deleted:** the

**Deleted:** is issued

**Deleted:** they

**Deleted:** Conclusions reached should be documented and implemented.

**Formatted:** English (Australia)

**Deleted:** Such

**Deleted:** The report shall not be issued until the matter is resolved.

**Deleted:** An Assurance Practice using a Suitably Qualified External Person to conduct an Engagement Quality Control Review recognises that differences of opinion can occur and establishes p

**Formatted:** Numbered Paragraph - Bullete list + Left: 0" First line: 0", Right: -0.02 cm, Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 94 + Alignment: Right + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Tab after: 1.27 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm, Tabs: 1.69 cm, Left

**Deleted:** , for example, by

**Engagement Documentation**

*Completion of the Assembly of Final Engagement Files*

95. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures for Engagement Teams to complete the assembly of final Engagement files on a timely basis after the Engagement reports have been finalised.**

96. Law or regulation may prescribe the time limits by which the assembly of final Engagement files for specific types of Engagement ~~is to~~ be completed. Where no such time limits are prescribed in law or regulation, ~~paragraph 95 requires~~ the Firm ~~to~~ establish time limits ~~that~~ reflect the need to complete the assembly of final Engagement files on a timely basis. In the case of an audit, for example, such a time limit ~~would~~ ordinarily not ~~be~~ more than 60 days after the date of the auditor's report.

- Deleted: should
- Deleted: es
- Deleted: appropriate to the nature of the engagements
- Deleted: is

97. Where two or more different reports are issued in respect of the same subject matter information of an entity, the Firm's policies and procedures relating to time limits for the assembly of final Engagement files address each report as if it were for a separate Engagement. This may, for example, be the case when the Firm issues an auditor's report on a component's financial information for group consolidation purposes and, at a subsequent date, an auditor's report on the same financial information for statutory purposes.

*Confidentiality, Safe Custody, Integrity, Accessibility and Retrievability of Engagement Documentation*

Formatted: English (Australia)

98. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to maintain the confidentiality, safe custody, integrity, accessibility and retrievability of Engagement Documentation.**

99. Relevant Ethical Requirements establish an obligation for the Firm's Personnel to observe at all times the confidentiality of information contained in Engagement Documentation, unless specific Client authority has been given to disclose information, or there is a legal duty to do so. Specific laws or regulations may impose additional obligations on the Firm's Personnel to maintain Client confidentiality, particularly where data of a personal nature are concerned.

Deleted: or professional

100. Whether Engagement Documentation is in paper, electronic or other media, the integrity, accessibility or retrievability of the underlying data may be compromised if the documentation could be altered, added to or deleted without the Firm's knowledge, or if it could be permanently lost or damaged. Accordingly, ~~controls that~~ the Firm designs and implements ~~to avoid unauthorised alteration or loss of~~ Engagement Documentation ~~may include those that:~~

- ~~Enable the determination of when and by whom Engagement Documentation was created, changed or reviewed;~~
- ~~Protect the integrity of the information at all stages of the Engagement, especially when the information is shared within the Engagement Team or transmitted to other parties via the Internet;~~
- ~~Prevent unauthorised changes to the Engagement Documentation; and~~
- ~~Allow access to the Engagement Documentation by the Engagement Team and other authorised parties as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.~~

- Deleted: ordinarily
- Deleted: appropriate controls for
- Deleted: to
- Deleted: (a) .
- Deleted: (b) .
- Deleted: (c) .
- Deleted: (d) .

101. Controls that the Firm designs and implements to maintain the confidentiality, safe custody, integrity, accessibility and retrievability of Engagement Documentation ~~may include the following:~~

- Deleted: may
- Deleted: , for example

- The use of a password among Engagement Team members to restrict access to electronic Engagement Documentation to authorised users.
- Appropriate back-up routines for electronic Engagement Documentation at appropriate stages during the Engagement.
- Procedures for properly distributing Engagement Documentation to the team members at the start of Engagement, processing it during Engagement, and collating it at the end of Engagement.

- Procedures for restricting access to, and enabling proper distribution and confidential storage of, hardcopy Engagement Documentation.

102. For practical reasons, original paper documentation may be electronically scanned for inclusion in Engagement files. In that case, the Firm's procedures designed to maintain integrity, accessibility, and retrievability of the documentation may include requiring Engagement Teams to:

**Deleted:** ordinarily implements appropriate

- Generate scanned copies that reflect the entire content of the original paper documentation, including manual signatures, cross-references and annotations;
- Integrate the scanned copies into the Engagement files, including indexing and signing off on the scanned copies as necessary; and
- Enable the scanned copies to be retrieved and printed as necessary.

**Deleted:** (a) .

**Deleted:** (b) .

**Deleted:** (c) .

There may be legal, regulatory or other reasons for a Firm to retain original paper documentation that has been scanned,

**Deleted:** The

**Deleted:** considers whether

**Deleted:** for legal, regulatory or other reasons

*Retention of Engagement Documentation*

**103. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures for the retention of Engagement Documentation for a period sufficient to meet the needs of the Firm or as required by law or regulation.**

104. The needs of the Firm for retention of Engagement Documentation, and the period of such retention, will vary with the nature of the Engagement and the Firm's circumstances, for example, whether the Engagement Documentation is needed to provide a record of matters of continuing significance to future Engagements. The retention period may also depend on other factors, such as whether local law or regulation prescribes specific retention periods for certain types of Engagements, or whether there are generally accepted retention periods in the jurisdiction in the absence of specific legal or regulatory requirements.

105. In the specific case of audit Engagements, the retention period would ordinarily be no shorter than seven years from the date of the auditor's report, or, if later, the date of the group auditor's report.

**Deleted:** is

106. Procedures that the Firm adopts for retention of Engagement Documentation include those that enable the requirements of paragraph 103 to be met during the retention period, for example to:

**Deleted:** ordinarily

- Enable the retrieval of, and access to, the Engagement Documentation during the retention period, particularly in the case of electronic documentation since the underlying technology may be upgraded or changed over time.
- Provide, where necessary, a record of changes made to Engagement Documentation after the Engagement files have been completed.
- Enable authorised external parties to access and review specific Engagement Documentation for quality control or other purposes.

*Ownership of Engagement Documentation*

107. Unless otherwise specified by law or regulation, Engagement Documentation is the property of the Firm. The Firm may, at its discretion, make portions of, or extracts from, Engagement Documentation available to Clients, provided such disclosure does not undermine the validity of the work performed, or, in the case of Assurance Engagements, the Independence of the Firm or its Personnel.

**Monitoring**

Monitoring the Firm's Quality Control Policies and Procedures

108. The Firm shall establish a Monitoring process, designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that the policies and procedures relating to the system of quality control are relevant, adequate, and operating effectively. This process shall;

**Deleted:** policies and procedures

**Deleted:** and complied with in practice. Such policies and procedures shall

- (a) Include an ongoing consideration and evaluation of the Firm's system of quality control, including, on a cyclical basis, Inspection of at least one completed Engagement for each Engagement Partner;
- (b) Require responsibility for the Monitoring process to be assigned to a Partner or Partners or other persons with sufficient and appropriate expertise and authority in the Firm to assume that responsibility; and
- (c) Require that those performing the Engagement or the Engagement Quality Control Review are not involved in inspecting the Engagements.

Deleted: a periodic

Deleted: selection of

Deleted: s

109. The purpose of Monitoring compliance with quality control policies and procedures is to provide an evaluation of:

- Adherence to Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements;
- Whether the system of quality control has been appropriately designed and effectively implemented; and
- Whether the Firm's quality control policies and procedures have been appropriately applied, so that reports that are issued by the Firm or Engagement Partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

Deleted: (a) .

Deleted: (b) .

Deleted: engagement outputs

110. Ongoing consideration and evaluation of the system of quality control include matters such as the following:

- Analysis of:
  - New developments in Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements, and how they are reflected in the Firm's policies and procedures where appropriate;

**Assurance Practices only**

- Written confirmation of compliance with policies and procedures on Independence;
- Continuing professional development, including training; and
- Decisions related to acceptance and continuance of Client relationships and specific Engagements.

- Determination of corrective actions to be taken and improvements to be made in the system, including the provision of feedback into the Firm's policies and procedures relating to education and training.
- Communication to appropriate Firm Personnel of weaknesses identified in the system, in the level of understanding of the system, or compliance with it.
- Follow-up by appropriate Firm Personnel so that necessary modifications are promptly made to the quality control policies and procedures.

Deleted: <#>The Firm entrusts responsibility for the Monitoring process to a Partner or Partners or other persons with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority in the Firm to assume that responsibility. Monitoring of the Firm's system of quality control is performed by competent individuals and covers both the appropriateness of the design and the effectiveness of the operation of the system of quality control. The Firm should evaluate the effect of deficiencies noted as a result of the Monitoring process. The Firm should communicate to relevant Engagement Partners and other appropriate Personnel deficiencies noted as a result of the Monitoring process and recommendations for appropriate remedial action.¶ <#>¶

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: s

Deleted: practice's

Deleted: ICAA or CPA Australia

AUST111. An element of the ongoing Monitoring process is the Inspection of a selection of completed Engagements, ordinarily performed on a cyclical basis. In determining the scope of the Inspections, Firms may take into account quality reviews conducted by the professional bodies or regulator.

**Assurance Practices only**

Inspection cycle policies and procedures may, for example, specify a cycle that spans three years. The manner in which the Inspection cycle is organised, including the timing of selection of individual Engagements, depends on many factors, including the following:

- The size of the Assurance Practice.
- The number and geographical location of offices.
- The results of previous Monitoring procedures.
- The degree of authority both Personnel and offices have (for example, whether individual offices are authorised to conduct their own Inspections or whether only the head office may conduct them).

Deleted: Engagements selected for Inspection include at least one engagement for each Engagement Partner over an Inspection cycle, which ordinarily spans no more than three years.

Formatted: English (Australia)

- The nature and complexity of the Assurance Practice's practice and organisation.
- The risks associated with the Assurance Practice's Clients and specific Engagements.

Formatted: English (Australia)

112. The Inspection process includes the selection of individual Engagements, some of which may be selected without prior notification to the Engagement Team. In determining the scope of the Inspections, the Assurance Practice may take into account the scope or conclusions of an independent external Inspection program such as conducted by the professional bodies or regulator. However, an independent external Inspection program does not act as a substitute for the Assurance Practice's own internal Monitoring program.

Deleted: Those inspecting the engagements are not involved in performing the engagement or the Engagement Quality Control Review.

Deleted: ICAA or CPA Australia

Deleted: , rather it can be considered one element of the Monitoring program

Considerations specific to smaller Firms

113. In the case of small Firms, Monitoring procedures may need to be performed by individuals who are responsible for design and implementation of the Firm's quality control policies and procedures, or who may be involved in performing the Engagement Quality Control Review. A Firm with a limited number of persons may choose to use a Suitably Qualified External Person or another Assurance Practice to carry out Engagement Inspections and other Monitoring procedures. Alternatively, they may establish arrangements to share resources with other appropriate organisations to facilitate Monitoring activities.

Deleted: Small Assurance Practices and sole practitioners

Deleted: wish

Deleted: wish to

Evaluating, Communicating and Remedying Identified Deficiencies

114. The Assurance Practice shall evaluate the effect of deficiencies noted as a result of the Monitoring process and shall determine whether they are either:

- (a) Instances that do not necessarily indicate that the Assurance Practice's system of quality control is insufficient to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that it complies with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements, and that the reports issued by the Assurance Practice or Engagement Partners are appropriate in the circumstances; or
- (b) Systemic, repetitive or other significant deficiencies that require prompt corrective action.

115. The Assurance Practice shall communicate to relevant Engagement Partners and other appropriate Personnel deficiencies noted as a result of the Monitoring process and recommendations for appropriate remedial action.

116. The reporting of identified deficiencies to individuals other than the relevant Engagement Partners need not include an identification of the specific Engagements concerned, although there may be cases where such identification may be necessary for the proper discharge of the responsibilities of the individuals other than the Engagement Partners.

Deleted: ordinarily does

Deleted: unless

Deleted: is

117. Recommendations for appropriate remedial actions for deficiencies noted shall include one or more of the following:

Deleted: The Assurance Practice's evaluation of each type of deficiency shall result in r

- (a) Taking appropriate remedial action in relation to an individual Engagement or member of Personnel;
- (b) The communication of the findings to those responsible for training and professional development;
- (c) Changes to the quality control policies and procedures; and
- (d) Disciplinary action against those who fail to comply with the policies and procedures of the Assurance Practice, especially those who do so repeatedly.

118. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures to address cases where the results of the Monitoring procedures indicate that a report may be inappropriate or that procedures were omitted during the performance of the Engagement. Such policies and procedures shall require the Assurance Practice to determine what further action is appropriate to comply with relevant Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements, and to consider whether to obtain legal advice.

Deleted: W

Deleted: , the

Deleted: shall

Deleted: . It should also

Deleted: ing

119. The Assurance Practice shall communicate at least annually the results of the

Deleted: At least annually, t

Monitoring of its system of quality control to Engagement Partners and other appropriate individuals within the Assurance Practice, including the Assurance Practice's chief executive officer or, if appropriate, its managing board of Partners. This communication shall be sufficient to enable the Assurance Practice and these individuals to take prompt and appropriate action where necessary in accordance with their defined roles and responsibilities. Information communicated shall include the following:

(a) A description of the Monitoring procedures performed.

(b) The conclusions drawn from the Monitoring procedures.

(c) Where relevant, a description of systemic, repetitive or other significant deficiencies and of the actions taken to resolve or amend those deficiencies.

120. Some Assurance Practices operate as part of a Network and, for consistency, may implement some of their Monitoring procedures on a Network basis. Where Assurance Practices within a Network operate under common Monitoring policies and procedures designed to comply with this Standard, and these Assurance Practices place reliance on such a Monitoring system, the Assurance Practice's policies and procedures shall require that:

(a) At least annually, the Network communicate the overall scope, extent and results of the Monitoring process to appropriate individuals within the Network Assurance Practices;

(b) The Network communicate promptly any identified deficiencies in the system of quality control to appropriate individuals within the relevant Network Assurance Practice or Assurance Practices so that the necessary action can be taken.

in order that Engagement Partners in the Network Assurance Practices can rely on the results of the Monitoring process implemented within the Network, unless the Assurance Practices or the Network advises otherwise.

- Deleted: system
- Deleted: Such
- Deleted: should
- Deleted: or all
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: system
- Deleted: ; and
- Deleted: (c) .
- Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.26 cm, First line: 0 cm, Space Before: 6 pt
- Formatted: English (Australia)
- Deleted: are entitled to
- Deleted: <#>Appropriate documentation relating to Monitoring: ¶
- (a) Sets out Monitoring procedures, including the procedure for selecting completed engagements to be inspected;¶
- (b) . Records the evaluation of:¶
- (i) . Adherence to Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements;¶
- (ii) . Whether the quality control system has been appropriately designed and effectively implemented; and¶
- (iii) . Whether the Firm's quality control policies and procedures have been appropriately applied, so that engagement outputs that are issued by the Firm or Engagement Partners are appropriate in the circumstances; and¶
- (c) . Identifies the deficiencies noted, evaluates their effect, and sets out the basis for determining whether and what further action is necessary.¶
- ¶

**Complaints and Allegations**

121. The Firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with Reasonable Assurance that it deals appropriately with:

(a) Complaints and allegations that the work performed by the Firm fails to comply with Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements; and

(b) Allegations of non-compliance with the Firm's system of quality control.

As part of this process, the Firm shall establish clearly defined channels for Firm Personnel to raise any concerns in a manner that enables them to come forward without fear of reprisals.

122. Complaints and allegations (which do not include those that are clearly frivolous) may originate from within or outside the Firm. They may be made by Firm Personnel, Clients or other third parties. They may be received by Engagement Team members or other Firm Personnel.

- Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.26 cm, Right: -0.02 cm, Space Before: 6 pt
- Deleted: The Assurance Practice investigates such complaints and allegations in accordance with established policies and procedures. The investigation is supervised by a Partner with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority within the Assurance Practice but who is not otherwise involved in the engagement, and includes involving legal counsel as necessary. Small Assurance Practices and sole practitioners may use the services of a suitably qualified external person or another Assurance Practice to carry out the investigation. Complaints, allegations and the responses to them are documented.

**Assurance Practice only**

123. Policies and procedures established for the investigation of complaints and allegations may include for example, that the Partner supervising the investigation:

- Has sufficient and appropriate experience;
- Has authority within the Firm; and
- Is otherwise not involved in the Engagement.

The Partner supervising the investigation may involve legal counsel as necessary.

124. If during the investigations into complaints and allegations, deficiencies in the design or operation of the Firm's quality control policies and procedures, or non-compliance with the Firm's system of quality control by an individual or individuals are identified, the Firm shall take appropriate actions as set out in paragraph 117.

Considerations specific to smaller Firms

125. It may not be practicable, in the case of Firms with few Partners, for the Partner supervising the investigation not to be involved in the Engagement. These small Firms and sole practitioners may use the services of a Suitable Qualified External Person or another Firm to carry out the investigation into complaints and allegations.

**Documentation of the System of Quality Control**

126. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures requiring appropriate documentation to provide evidence of the operation of each element of its system of quality control.**

127. The form and content of documentation evidencing the operation of each of the elements of the system of quality control is a matter of judgment and depends on a number of factors, including the following:

- The size of the Firm and the number of offices.
- The nature and complexity of the Firm's practice and organisation.

For example, large Firms may use electronic databases to document matters such as Independence confirmations, performance evaluations and the results of Monitoring Inspections.

128. Appropriate documentation relating to Monitoring includes, for example:

- Monitoring procedures, including the procedure for selecting completed Engagements to be inspected.
- A record of evaluation of:
  - Adherence to Professional Standards and regulatory and legal requirements;
  - Whether the system of quality control has been appropriately designed and effectively implemented; and
  - Whether the Firm's quality control principles and procedures have been appropriately applied, so that reports that are issued by the Firm or Engagement Partners are appropriate in the circumstances.
- Identification of the deficiencies noted, an evaluation of their effect, and the basis for determining whether and what further action is necessary.

Considerations specific to smaller Firms

129. Smaller Firms may use more informal methods in the documentation of their systems of quality control such as manual notes, checklists and forms.

130. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures requiring appropriate documentation for a period of time sufficient to permit those performing Monitoring procedures to evaluate the Firm's compliance with its system of quality control, or for a longer period if required by law or regulation.**

131. **The Firm shall establish policies and procedures requiring documentation of complaints and allegations and the responses to them.**

**Effective Date**

132. Systems of quality control in compliance with this Standard are required to be established by XX December 200X.

Deleted: Where the results of

Deleted: indicate

Deleted: ,

Deleted: s

Deleted: ¶  
Policies and procedures established for the investigation of complaints and allegations may include for example, that the artner supervising the investigation:¶  
<#>Has sufficient and appropriate experience;¶  
<#>Has authority within the Firm; and¶  
<#>Is otherwise not involved in the ngagement.¶  
The artner supervising the investigation may involve legal counsel as necessary.¶

Deleted: <#>How such matters are documented is the Firm's decision. For example, large Firms may use electronic databases to document matters such as independence confirmations, performance evaluations and the results of Monitoring Inspections. Smaller Firms may use more informal methods such as manual notes, checklists and forms. ¶  
<#>¶  
Factors to consider when determining t

Deleted: e

Deleted: • The degree of authority both Personnel and offices have.¶

Formatted: English (Australia)

Deleted: The Firm retains this documentation for a period of time sufficient to permit those performing Monitoring procedures to evaluate the Firm's compliance with its system of quality control, or for a longer period if required by law or regulation

Deleted: .

Deleted: 1 July 2006

Deleted: Firms should consider the appropriate transitional arrangements for engagements in process at that date.

## Comparison with international pronouncements

### APES 320 and ISQC 1

APES 320 incorporates ISQC 1 'Quality Control for Firms that perform audits and reviews of financial statements, and other assurance and related services Engagements' issued by the IAASB.

### Compliance with ISQC 1

The basic principles and essential procedures of APES 320 and ISQC 1 issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) are consistent except for:

- The addition of paragraphs prefixed as AUST in APES 320:

[To be completed]

**Deleted:** AUST133.1 . Firms which do not conduct the audit of any disclosing entities (as defined in the Corporations Act) or of any APRA-regulated superannuation entities or other non-ATO-regulated superannuation entities are permitted to implement APES 320 from 31 December 2006.

#### Public Sector Perspective

Members of the Institute or CPA Australia who are employed in the public sector are encouraged to apply this Standard to the extent permissible by legislation and as appropriate to the public sector.

Minimum standards for protecting the independence of public sector auditors are established by statutory measures and therefore paragraphs 18-27 of APES 320, concerned with policies and procedures regarding independence, should be read in this context. Consideration should also be given to the extent to which particular threats to independence as envisaged by paragraphs 18-27 (e.g. familiarity threat) are applicable to public sector auditors.

Some of the terms in the Standard, such as "Engagement Partner" and "Assurance Practice", should be read as referring to their public sector equivalents. However, there is no public sector equivalent of "listed entities," although there may be audits of particularly significant public sector entities which should be subject to the Listed Entity requirements of mandatory rotation of the Engagement Partner (or equivalent) and Engagement Quality Control Review. There are no fixed objective criteria on which

**Deleted:** historical

**Deleted:** information


**Deleted:** ISQC 1 applies to a Firm's responsibilities for its system of quality control for audits and reviews of historical financial information, and for other assurance and related services engagements.

Some paragraphs of ISQC 1 are identified in APES 320 as applicable only to Assurance Practices. Accordingly the whole of ISQC 1 does not apply to compilation engagements, which are classified as related services engagements under the IAASB framework.

**Application requirements**

In this Standard, Firms that have an Assurance Practice are required to apply the whole of APES 320 as applicable to their Assurance Practice or Engagements. Firms that do not have an Assurance Practice, or the non-assurance parts of Firms with an Assurance Practice, are required to apply all paragraphs of APES 320 where applicable other than those boxed and designated 'Assurance Practices only'.

The application requirements are summarised in the flow chart below.



The Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia (the Institute) and CPA Australia originally issued quality control standards in May 1982. Institute members were required to comply with APS 4, which was supported by guidance in APS 5. CPA Australia members were required to comply with APS 4/5.

The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) issued International Standard on Quality Control (ISQC) 1 "Quality Control for Firms that perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements" in February 2004.

In July 2005, the Institute and CPA Australia issued a revised APS 5 based on ISQC 1.

Following the establishment of the APESB, APS 5 has now been reissued under the aegis of the APESB as APES 320. This reissue incorporates conforming amendments to ISQC 1 occasioned by the issuing of International Standard on Auditing ISA 230 in September 2005.

### Transitional arrangements

Within this Standard, reference is made to the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*. This is a reference to the professional standard to be released by the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board as a replacement for the existing joint Code of Professional Conduct of the Institute and CPA Australia. The new Code, which is currently available in Exposure Draft, is proposed to take effect from 1 July 2006. Until that date, or any such later date on which the new Code actually takes effect, references to the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* within this Standard should be read as references to the existing joint Code of Professional Conduct. References to Section 290 of the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* should similarly be read as references to Professional Statement F.1 of the joint Code of Professional Conduct, and the reference to Section 210 of the new Code should be read as a reference to Professional Statement F.3.

AUST133.1 Firms which do not conduct the audit of any disclosing entities (as defined in the Corporations Act) or of any APRA-regulated superannuation entities or other non-ATO-regulated superannuation entities are permitted to implement APES 320 from 31 December 2006.

## Public Sector Perspective

Members of the Institute or CPA Australia who are employed in the public sector are encouraged to apply this Standard to the extent permissible by legislation and as appropriate to the public sector.

Minimum standards for protecting the independence of public sector auditors are established by statutory measures and therefore paragraphs 18-27 of APES 320, concerned with policies and procedures regarding independence, should be read in this context. Consideration should also be given to the extent to which particular threats to independence as envisaged by paragraphs 18-27 (e.g. familiarity threat) are applicable to public sector auditors.

Some of the terms in the Standard, such as "Engagement Partner" and "Assurance Practice", should be read as referring to their public sector equivalents. However, there is no public sector equivalent of "listed entities," although there may be audits of particularly significant public sector entities which should be subject to the Listed

Entity requirements of mandatory rotation of the Engagement Partner (or equivalent) and Engagement Quality Control Review. There are no fixed objective criteria on which this determination of significance should be based. However, such an assessment should encompass an evaluation of all factors relevant to the audited entity. Such factors include size, complexity, commercial risk, parliamentary or media interest and the number and range of stakeholders affected.

APES 320 paragraph 70, states that “The Assurance Practice’s policies and procedures are designed to maintain the objectivity of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer.” Subparagraph (a) notes as an example that the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer is not selected by the Engagement Partner. In many jurisdictions there is a single statutorily appointed auditor-general. In such circumstances, where applicable, the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer should be selected having regard to the need for independence and objectivity.

In the public sector, auditors are appointed in accordance with statutory procedures. Accordingly, considerations regarding the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements, as set out in paragraphs 28-35 of APES 320, do not apply.